

Feminism stops when...

August 8, 2019 | 245 upvotes | by [Dogedoomofinternet](#)

Feminism Stops When.....

419 points • [36 comments](#) • submitted 12 months ago by [Itsbbbitch](#) to [r/maleempowerment](#)

↑ [\[-\] frostdrachen](#) 105 points 17 hours ago

Feminism also stops when the fridge has to get to the third floor.

[permalink](#) [embed](#) [save](#) [report](#) [give award](#) [reply](#)

↑ [\[-\] relationship_padawan](#) 49 points 15 hours ago

Feminism also stops when you're both getting mugged.

[permalink](#) [embed](#) [save](#) [parent](#) [report](#) [give award](#) [reply](#)

↑ [\[-\] MGTOWrath](#) 34 points 14 hours ago

Feminism stops when it rains unexpectedly and she's not carrying an umbrella.

[permalink](#) [embed](#) [save](#) [parent](#) [report](#) [give award](#) [reply](#)

↑ [\[-\] rkelly111](#) 25 points 13 hours ago

Feminism stops when she needs a flat tire changed.

[permalink](#) [embed](#) [save](#) [parent](#) [report](#) [give award](#) [reply](#)

↑ [\[-\] Itsbbbitch](#) 22 points 12 hours ago

Feminism stops when the water cooler needs to be changed at the office. Have never ever seen a woman lift a full bottle and fit it into the filter system in my entire life.

[permalink](#) [embed](#) [save](#) [parent](#) [edit](#) [disable inbox replies](#) [delete](#) [reply](#)

↑ [\[-\] blackierobinsun3](#) 11 points 10 hours ago

Feminism stops when it's time to cut grass/shovel snow

[permalink](#) [embed](#) [save](#) [parent](#) [report](#) [give award](#) [reply](#)

↑ [\[-\] Hineschr](#) 8 points 8 hours ago

Feminism stops when you hear a noise downstairs at night.

[permalink](#) [embed](#) [save](#) [parent](#) [report](#) [give award](#) [reply](#)

↑ [\[-\] Idiot_Savant_Tinker](#) 6 points 6 hours ago

Feminism stops when the car doesn't start.

[permalink](#) [embed](#) [save](#) [parent](#) [report](#) [give award](#) [reply](#)

↑ [\[-\] jrpark05](#) 3 points 2 hours ago

Feminism stops when responsibility begins.

[permalink](#) [embed](#) [save](#) [parent](#) [report](#) [give award](#) [reply](#)

↑ [\[-\] rimper](#) 61 points 18 hours ago*

Feminism stops, where the 'equality' isn't for fun stuff.

Archived from [theredarchive.com](#)

Comments

Muh-So-Gin-Knee • 12 points • 8 August, 2019 03:33 PM

Some grade A truth there.

petetopkevinbottums • 7 points • 9 August, 2019 04:48 AM

Feminism stops when it's time to register to vote (draft)

katsnackshackysacks • 2 points • 9 August, 2019 12:15 AM

PLEASE READ THE WHOLE THING BEFORE YOU REMOVE or RESPOND: Full disclosure, I am not anti-feminist but I came here to this page to understand more about the movement and I think a lot of these points are totally valid. PLEASE ASK ME QUESTIONS and I will always take a very mindful, understanding approach. I just think there is more common ground here than either side would have you believe.

ANYWAYS. *rant*I am a woman and I change the water cooler at work all the time, and I am not a particularly strong woman at 5'7" and 125 lbs. of mostly bones and... some body fat. At first a lot of people were surprised (I also work with mostly men) but it's pretty funny how much that (and other similar things) changed the dynamic between me and some of my coworkers. We also have a water cooler at home and I am the one who picks it up and carries it to my car and into my home because my work shifts are earlier than my husbands, so it's just more efficient that way. I also can change a tire, shovel my own snow, and mow a lawn. I know how to use jumper cables, however I admittedly do *not* know how to fix any real car troubles...

...But then if we want women to do these things, I hope you are teaching your daughters and not just your sons how to do them. When I was a teenager, I was often stuck watching littles when my brothers got to learn cool useful stuff.

I think what is critical to think here is that if you keep telling women that it is a norm to rely on men for these things, then they will keep doing it. And why wouldn't they? We all have a norm that is easiest to fall under, even if it's totally backwards and unfair to everyone. You sometimes have to ask yourself if criticizing feminism is more important than actually creating a change and I think this is one of those instances.

When you look past all the stupid, base, overly-generalizing, misandrist, non-intellectual feminism (which is what sadly happens to be popular because it feeds on peoples many strong emotions and vulnerabilities) and get at the core, you might see a couple different things.

So the reason I am posting this on this page is because it kind of gives the pro-feminist sentiment that women are not given equal opportunity, expectations and education growing up, a free pass. We might not be objectively as strong as men on average, but we are sure as hell capable of changing a tire and a water cooler.

princesspuppy12 • 4 points • 9 August, 2019 12:30 AM

Honestly what I think should happen is that people teach their daughters how to do this shit tbh. Yes, your daughters won't always like to do stuff with you that you'd with your boys, like fixing the sink, playing baseball, etc but they'll thank you later in life when they have a leaky faucet and don't have the money to have someone fix it or have anyone nearby who could fix it for free.

katsnackshackysacks • 1 point • 9 August, 2019 12:59 AM

I am personally very happy that my husband doesn't try to do this stuff for me, it feels more respectful and that he sees me as equal. He also doesn't hold it against me if there are things I can't do, and he feels comfortable asking me for help sometimes too. I hope in future generations all women will have that

same relationship with all men.

princesspuppy12 • 1 point • 9 August, 2019 02:27 AM

Yeah, I want to help but idk how sometimes so they'll probably explain certain things to me. It's not hard to explain it to me though, you just have to show me the tool and tell me what it is and what I do with it. I'm a visual learner.

[deleted] • 1 point • 16 August, 2019 04:23 PM

As a man, I do the repair and heavy lifting around my house. Why would that mean I don't treat my wife as an equal? I am stronger and have a more mechanical mind. Men are, statistically, better with things. Women are better with people (unless feminism wins).

Being better at a subset of life does not mean one is 'unequal' to someone less skilled at that subset.

There are many other domains to be better or worse at.

I find this one of the most bizarre beliefs in feminism - that women can do anything men can do and do it better, and that women have their own set of skills they are inherently better at than men. It's bullshit.

Men are better on average at some things and women are better on average at some things.

THAT'S WHY SOCIETY WORKS! It's why we're the dominant species on the planet.

It's not a problem, it's a solution. It's not a lie, it's an obvious truth. It's not a putdown, it's a partnership.

katsnackshackysacks • 1 point • 17 August, 2019 12:27 AM

I agree that it's a partnership. Women can't do everything that men can do better, but they can still do just about everything men can do. They also definitely do have their own set of skills they excel in over men, and vice versa. Like you said.

I don't quite agree that society works, though. If it did, we wouldn't be having this discussion. It has never worked.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 August, 2019 06:38 PM

I don't quite agree that society works, though. If it did, we wouldn't be having this discussion. It has never worked.

I guess I see it the opposite. If it didn't work, it would be impossible to have this conversation. Everywhere I go, people are generally quite agreeable to me and to each other. I don't feel afraid where I live and neither do most of the women. I have no fear of going hungry, the power is on, I have clean water and heat. Life is absolutely fantastic for men and women compared to anytime in history. Especially in the West. And all we can do is complain and find fault because things are not some imagined perfection (that's different for everyone, hence impossible).

katsnackshackysacks • 1 point • 19 August, 2019 09:18 PM

Women are also more empowered than they have ever been, especially in the West.

A lot has changed for people in the world but nationally and globally there is a loooooong way to go before *anyone* stops "complaining." People starve and die in the streets in the land of abundance, including children. That, to me, suggests several failures of society.

I personally consider history as - very important but also peripheral point of reference because people have been impoverished, at war, enslaved, and uneducated for literally all of it and, while there will never be perfection, I definitely maintain that humanity can just do better.

princesspuppy12 • 0 points • 9 August, 2019 02:27 AM

I'm bisexual so I say they instead of him or her.

ProveSolution • 1 point • 9 August, 2019 10:02 AM

good to know.

i wank to porn which is on impregnating women.

princesspuppy12 • 1 point • 9 August, 2019 11:03 AM

Ok

ProveSolution • 2 points • 9 August, 2019 09:00 AM*

so you answered yourself.

the "popular feminism" (as you term it; specialist term "3rd wave feminism") is treating us like shit.

please, as you say you are intellectual, draw comparisons from sources such as

<http://www.genocidewatch.org>.

i really enjoyed my feminist mother when she was fun and told me all men are like dogs. or that men behave like apes.

i as well enjoyed that girls at school were treated like they were better by our female teachers. female teachers can relate to female students better and TeNdEnCyWiSe (!!!) } females TEND TO choose social careers; which are PAID LESS(!!!) (maybe one of the reasons of gender wage gap that should be addressed? nah!); over technical ones.

there are more women now exiting with a highschool degree. so 50% seem to be left behind; as men and women have both separately an average IQ of 100.

i also enjoy how feminism helps not address the issues this causes and helps not to solve any problems that do emerge from this. it literally helps the opposite on purpose. because women are still in disadvantage; if you pay someone only when he complains, he will carry on complaining. and i don't give feminists the female gender any longer. and "it" in english is blissfully misused. also "it" still refers to some kind of sex, which the feminist seem to lack.

also... you seem scientifically minded. this is rare and i honour you for that. please keep nosy.

curiosity killed the cat; but satisfaction brought her back.

I-am-just-wondering • 1 point • 12 August, 2019 11:13 PM

Hi, It seems as though you are referring to radical feminists. I am sorry you were treated that way, but I would encourage you to be cautious of writing off gender equality advocates simply because you were only exposed to the radicals. Feminism is not supposed to be anti men and those who are anti men, are not true feminists. Note: this is not sarcastic at all, I truly am sorry that you had to experience that hateful language and I understand how hard it can be to be open to a group that was represented only negatively in your life Out of curiosity, if feminism was branded more as equal gender rights, would you be more supportive? I know for some the word feminism conjures up negative images and even though I think

feminism is sometimes unfairly demonized because of radicals, I also know how powerful branding is. As a result, i wonder if a more neutral term for gender rights would decrease the polarization that surrounds feminism.

ProveSolution • 1 point • 13 August, 2019 08:16 AM*

i am perfectly alright with 2nd wave feminism.

that you go into how i feel is very female.

yes. branding is powerful; as is propaganda/public realtions. this is an anecdote, as i have lost the source; but some woman over in austria (europe) wondered, why the gender tendencies on certain professions were consistently going back to male dominated, once you stopped advertising it for women. also i wonder why there is no ads for female construction workers. also being female means that you are tendencywise better at cleaning the house. (because the vision field of a woman is tendencywise more open; yet not going too far; but the male is rather linear) thus being a cleaning woman is also the better job for non-educated women.

to answer your question: feminism is being branded as "equal gender rights". i claim you can almost not brand it any further that way. it is being pushed to the limits of bearable for common sense. so: no. if feminism was just being branded more into equal rights, i would argue this would be a reason for me to take measurements on getting rid of it even further. yet... my way of getting rid of it differs from the leftist approach (which is to scream and to shout and punch). i prefer conservative methods.

you see... you can use whatever variable you think fits best; "safe space" is a nice term for "social experimentation laboratory" which keeps the creeps out. (but also creates its very own kind of creeps) [i like crepes; with nutella] i like to see for myself what certain terms are being defined as. for example "freedom" is an empty value, everyone agrees on. brand feminism as freedom for men, if you want. (this stops neither the lies, nor the ones standing up against them) "value", "term", "variable" (just words and interchangeable) some groups have more elaborate definitions within their values. thus i prefer to use 1st, 2nd, 3rd (and soon maybe 4th) wave feminism. if you lack proper definition, look it up. in austria, we would say this topic is "verhirnkastlt" (meaning you think too much on it; why not enjoy nature?)

ProveSolution • 1 point • 13 August, 2019 08:54 AM

and to answer your question even further:

your actions matter to those who fight against feminism. not your words. or your words only in so far what ideology lies behind.

do you think, we are stupid and don't get it, when you term "feminism" as "neo-seriouscity" and act exactly the same; just with a different branding?

it is as if you sold chocolate under the name of mouthfiller. at first you are like: cool! a new product! and then it is like "aww.. just chocolate"

I-am-just-wondering • 1 point • 13 August, 2019 02:39 PM

Hi, Not sure if your use of "you" was a broad term or directly referring to me. I wanted to emphasize that if you were referring directly to me, no I don't think you are stupid (you and whatever we you referred too). Honestly I don't think anything in my comment was an insult to your intelligence.

ProveSolution • 0 points • 13 August, 2019 02:51 PM

you as singular person; not many. (unless you are split ^^)

also.. would not have taken it as an insult. it was a rethorical question. this very question, if you would examine it deeper, assumes that you did not think ahead enough.

thinking takes ressources. i dont blame you.

also.. in fact i don't assume you to be stupid. just being overly precise here.

IQ also is not a precise measurement for smartness in my oppinion; yet it is the only one.

social skills is where the power lies at. and anyone can practise them.

I-am-just-wondering • 1 point • 13 August, 2019 03:17 PM

Okay to be honest your comment has a bit of a condescending tone to it. My response asking if you thought I was insulting your intelligence was just an attempt to be polite. Just because I am being detailed and civil does not mean I am unprepared or not "thinking ahead". My comments have been well thought out and worded civilly. I am just attempting to have a thoughtful discussion. If you do not want to have a discussion I will refrain from commenting on this thread.

ProveSolution • 1 point • 13 August, 2019 06:39 PM

i like to answer you.

maybe... also i do not really..... unconsciously.....

because... if i would answer you on why women are more powerful than men, i would give away a huge amount of knowledge.... and i do not know if i can trust you on that....

women are more powerful NOT because they would be if they were treated equally. they are treated better than equal.

they are more powerful if they find back into their true nature because men tend to do what the woman thinks to be the correct decission. this holds true for this society, as well as middle eastern ones. even though women are being cut off the head of the clitoris over there.

i won't support this claim and you may or may not believe it. but men would happily serve women and give them everything to make them happy. if you let us. not because we want to destroy you by making you incapable of doing it but because we want to make your life easier. we would if you raised us accordingly.

also... women are NEVER killed at war. And every emperor who does is considered as below human level. maybe even below animal level. women get raped, but never ever killed. men do, though.

I-am-just-wondering • 1 point • 15 August, 2019 03:43 AM

What. I'm not quite sure I understand what you are saying. No disrespect, but I don't see a clear thread of logic in your response. Please clarify.

ProveSolution • 1 point • 13 August, 2019 06:45 PM

well.. lets not refer to IQ from now on. it's of no real issue anyways. and you are new to the topic.

RXelaH1984 • 1 point • 23 August, 2019 05:39 PM

If the feminist movement leaders hate men, how is it not anti-male? It demonizes and discriminates against the male populous, goes against fathers' Rights, spreads ideological idiocy to the female populous, saying that 'it's the men' instead of saying that women are more privileged than men in first and second world countries. Yes, 'radical' feminism may be more anti-male, albeit it's the most abundant and mainstream form of feminism. Furthermore, the Males' Rights Movement and Egalitarians work together to improve the society, yet feminism actively discriminates against both movements. Egalitarianism, it isn't as popular as feminism due to it not discriminating against any males or females, the media has no need to report on it due to it not being as controversial and peculiar.

ProveSolution • 1 point • 9 August, 2019 09:07 AM

And all of this...

you are strong. so what.

some are weak. so what.

we all have advantages and disadvantages in life.

our human intelligence is able to adapt to situations that do not serve us at this moment.

nature selects that which has no ability to live.

so time will tell (over and over again) that if we separate the genders and start a war on 50% that we are prone to die together.

rather than dieing together we could find out what the other gender likes best and then adapt to it and make it a living heaven for ourselves.

PLEASE: don't reframe the past!

the past is the past; maybe the women liked it the way it was? we can not tell. all we can tell is that then did not rebell until 200 years ago. and as soon as they did, rights were granted. slowly; but they were. also note that the prohibition was caused AND ended by women soley. women in fact are the more powerful beings if they live by their true nature.

the past is the past; the question remains: what should we do now, so we have a jolly good time together?

I-am-just-wondering • 1 point • 12 August, 2019 11:15 PM

Hi there, Quick question. When you say women are more powerful beings, do you mean they are more powerful than men? Or that when they are allowed to act as equals, they are more productive than if they are discriminated against and have to act under restrictions?

Itsbbbitch • 1 point • 15 August, 2019 03:52 PM

But then if we want women to do these things, ***I hope you are teaching your daughters and not just your sons how to do them.*** When I was a teenager, I was often stuck watching littles when my brothers got to learn cool useful stuff.

LOL. Nobody "teaches" sons to do things. Sons are forced, bullied, belittled, emasculated, forced, threatened, bribed, blackmailed, shamed, beaten, cornered, tricked, belittled, insulted, yelled at, and pushed into performing those chores by everybody around them. Why? To serve women. Because it's "expected".

That's why your brother's HAD TO, not "got to" learn those things you find so cool. Because they were given no choice. All so that you and your mother and your sisters and your friends and every other girl in the world could sit on your ass waiting for the slaves to do all the slavelabor that keeps the world functioning while women sit on their entitled ass ranting about nothing.

That's the way society works, and that's the reason why women are useless knowing nothing and doing nothing.

katsnackshackysacks • 1 point • 15 August, 2019 05:09 PM

Clearly you don't serve women. Or anyone. So I wonder what has you so butthurt.

Itsbbitch • 1 point • 15 August, 2019 05:18 PM

The inherent uselessness of your gender, duh. You posted here inviting people to talk to you and once I explained to you how men are mistreated in society, including your own brothers, instead of accepting the insight of how society treats men and how it differentiates from how it treats women ... instead of using the information to enlighten yourself how the world operates ... all you did immediately blocked it out and dismissed it. If that doesn't prove your inherent uselessness derived from your gender, what else will? You will never amount up to your brothers or any other man because you will never be able to handle the teaching process that men suffer throughout their lives.

katsnackshackysacks • 1 point • 15 August, 2019 05:44 PM

I guess I was caught off guard because my brothers, rather than feeling hopeless and degraded, used what they *were taught* and tried their best to influence me in ways my parents had failed. We are all self-sufficient. I really do not know a man in my life who was not afraid to ask for help. I guess I just choose to hang out with self-assured guys like the ones I was raised with rather than those who feel like/are victims? That's just been the norm in my life, personally.

There are definitely many households that have "men's work" and "women's work" but if there's ever someone who does "no work," I call that a bad/abusive partnership, not male slavery. There are also countless institutions where boys are made to feel like less if they can't accomplish "masculine" tasks, but I see that perpetuated so much more by other men (many of whom I would guess went through the same thing) than women. If I had to GUESS, (not saying I know) it would more often be mothers to comfort their sons than their fathers.

If I can just ask, what are you trying to accomplish? All I'm saying is that the view that women never do mundane mechanical tasks is just confirmation bias and suggests that women are incapable.

Itsbbitch • 1 point • 15 August, 2019 06:00 PM

used what they were taught

We **ALL** use what we were taught. That's the only thing we have left after being dehumanized all throughout life. The skills we pick up after being stripped of our dignity. It's funny how you think your brothers and "self assured guys" as you so called describe them were not victimized their entire life. That's what built them into the people they are today. Notice how you need to surround yourself by capable self-sufficient men whereas they ARE the capable self-sufficient men. Stop trying to downplay experiences that you are incapable of understanding.

katsnackshackysacks • 1 point • 16 August, 2019 11:35 AM

I'm just going to establish my credibility of knowing these people while you do not. What I meant is that I don't hang around people like you, always feeling victimized. I should also say that these men both found equally self-sufficient women to be with, so they don't *need* to serve anyone. I don't hang around these people because they serve me, but because they treat me as an equal and are comfortable expecting that from me.

Also, I want to be clear that real feminism (when you set aside all the distracted 3rd wave superficial ness) wants to END the bullying and berating of boys... what you are talking about is "toxic masculinity," and is perpetuated by men *and* women.

Itsbbbitch • 1 point • 16 August, 2019 01:37 PM

Im not talking about only people you know. I'm talking about all men on thr planet. We live in a completely different society than you do. We learn from treatment you would consider abusive because you are too soft and fragile to live the lives boys live. Even now you think I'm "victimizing' myself - no, what I'm doing is educating you. But your brain is incapable of understanding what I am saying. I am no more of a victim than any other guy you know ... But if you had to live any of our lives, you would consider yourself a victim and cry about it instead of learn from KTM

Also there is no such thing as "toxic masculinity". All toxic behavior is perpetrated and enabled by women for women's privilege. Men are slaves, women are princesses. That's why you could never be strong and tough enough to learn the things your brothers learned in life. You're not good enough to go through the experience of learning it. That is your toxic nature, not theirs.

katsnackshackysacks • 1 point • 17 August, 2019 12:52 AM

All skill and knowledge is power, regardless of how it came to be. Also, my original post was about how I regularly do things that other men do, so what is it that I am "not good enough" to learn, specifically? I will admittedly not know what it is like to never be a man. Is that what it is to "not be good enough?"

Listen, I am not trying to minimize your or any other man's trauma. I know I haven't lived your life, but surely that doesn't make me fragile. You haven't lived mine, either. I am not the one projecting here. We were both referencing the men I know and grew up with, so please stop trying to remove that context to make it seem as though I am the one who has made assumptions.

I'm not denying that the demands on most men globally is unfair. But what do you suppose is the best way to convince me that men are slaves? By making assumptions? Should we stop expecting men to do the things that, by several other accounts that I've received on this thread, men are inherently better at? Or would you say that is not true after all? And if men are so strong and tough, then why, by what *you* claim to be true, do they continually bend to the weaker sex's wills? I honestly for the life of me can not remember the last time I asked a man to do something for me. I manage my vehicle, my home, my washing machine, etc. and it would 100% be my priority to teach more girls these things than to nag some guy to them for me and perpetuate the cycle of unnecessary male labor. I would also be happy to convince other women to adopt the same policy.

Still, there are some things that men do more efficiently. I don't think we should have to make them suffer to do it.

My vision would be to educate girls and boys equitably, with discipline but without shame, and give them space to pursue their natural strengths as they grow. Everyone would still have the same basic industrious, social, utilitarian and empathetic skills, but they would all still have room to explore their natural strengths more in-depth as they grow older. We can teach everyone the same basic stuff and still maintain gender-specific boundaries in an organized way.

I know that the female attitude you describe is out there. Honestly, I see it more in the form of nagging/ poking/ "joking" but boys growing up in poverty are often the primary victims of other peoples' emotional exhaustion and anger. These things can without a doubt permanently impact anyone's mental health and life. I also know that it is entirely possible and quite common for women to be the abusers in relationships, and it never gets enough attention. Actually, the lack of attention in these areas is a serious hindrance to the feminist movement (which, at the CORE, is for gender equality, NOT female domination as many would have you believe).

What has me is this, though.

How can you, in one sentence, criticize women for being the ones belittling men for not being strong or tough, but then in the same breath come back at me and say the words "you will never be strong and tough enough," or "not good enough"(even though my original comment was about learning the other things my brothers learned in life)? Masculinity aside, is it just your personal nature that is toxic? Does it make you feel more superior to put someone down in the way you have been put down?

princesspuppy12 • 2 points • 9 August, 2019 12:34 AM

I think it depends on the woman though and how they were raised. I know that I'm not as strong as men or even women but that doesn't mean that I am a little damsel in distress and I hate to be treated that way but I was raised to act that way and eventually learned how to do it myself. I'm young so I'm still learning about many things too.

NotSoCasualAsshole • 1 point • 9 August, 2019 05:58 AM

feminism stops when they want pickles for dinner

ProveSolution • 1 point • 9 August, 2019 08:41 AM

what subreddit did you get this from?

Itsbbitch • 2 points • 15 August, 2019 03:55 PM

/r/maleempowerment

ProveSolution • 1 point • 15 August, 2019 05:03 PM

thanks

User-31f64a4e • 1 point • 10 August, 2019 03:40 AM

Feminism stops when it's about responsibility, not privilege.

I-am-just-wondering • 1 point • 12 August, 2019 11:07 PM

Hi, I may be wrong, but isn't this post completely miss-portraying what feminism is about? Feminism is a form of gender equality and I have never viewed it as a fight for people to stop helping each other. I am pro gender equality and so therefore by definition I am technically a feminist (although I do not agree with radical feminists at all) but I have never interpreted someone lending a hand as an attack on my ability to do something. And when I offer to help or have an umbrella etc. I am merely intending to help someone, not trying to say that they are incapable. Being polite is not being anti women, and I think legitimate feminists know this. Therefore, feminism does not "end" when a woman needs help moving a piece of furniture or a man needs to borrow an umbrella. Politeness and the willing to aid others is admirable and in no way a form of sexism.

ProveSolution • 1 point • 13 August, 2019 06:26 PM

translate "feminism" with "false rape accusers, who get away with it if they are not proven wrong / people who constantly mock you for your nature / liars / the list goes on" and then ask the same question again. and all of these things are what feminists are getting away with.

would you help someone like this? no? well... the privileges feminists have claimed for themselves are ending there. as long as feminists can not order you on the street to hand over your goods (because you are an evil man and deserve it; as you rob the women according to gender wage gap [gender wage gap is a lie]) well so long this is where feminism ends.

I-am-just-wondering • 1 point • 15 August, 2019 03:39 AM

Translate "false rape accusers" as radical individuals. You cannot define a movement by its radicals. A male rapist does not mean all men rape. A false accusation of rape does not mean all the other women or men are lying. As for gender wage gap, there are too many conflicting reports for me to have an opinion. Yes women face discrimination in the work place. Yes there are cases where a female employee is payed less than her male counterpart. But are these cases a representation of the majority? I honestly don't know so I will not pretend to because I find it frustrating when others act as if they know things for fact when in reality they know very little.

ProveSolution • 1 point • 15 August, 2019 05:19 AM

these men are afraid of false accusations and the fact that women get child custody (even though you love the children just as much) and the fact that men are only not facing consequences if their innocence is proven (usually you should be considered innocent until proven guilty); and (proven) false rape accusations do not harm women at all; in fact they can often times build a career on top of it.

see... the way reality works is by truthful assumptions. this is one of the reasons why you can make studies represent both sides of view, usually. and if you assume women to get less and have faith in it, you must come to this conclusion. talking this through is pointless as all is within.

i did not point out that all women are lying... i pointed out that women usually get away with their lies, while men suffer from it. and if men were lying the way women did, they would be considered patriarchal and condemned. but... i am aware of this being futile.

you see the point? if some of a (percieved) group are that way; and if it is too many; then you lose interest in interacting with them. also boys are treated like dysfunctional girls; making them fight against themselves internally (aka within) leading to all sorts of mental illnesses. there are more males with mental illness and i blame society; as there are other societies where these very same symptoms disappear, once you move there. a friend of mine has the oppinion that you can not heal from

something as long as the aggressor is still around.

it is known that changing the belief system makes it easier to quit with an addiction. may this be the case why people, who often times came out of solitude and seclusion are able to lead whole peoples (moses, mohammed, zarathustra, ...)? because they were able to heal from the trauma, caused by the ancient cataclysms? (as trauma is being passed on by memetics)

one thing for you to understand is: men and women have a co-evolution! if you assume men to be evil and the cause of your problems; for once, they will become it; and for second you are making a mistake, not only because you make yourself unable to go for the true reasons, but also because you destroy everything every society ever in history and prehistory was based upon. and trust is love. lies lead to mistrust and thus the inability to love. yet love is one of the keys. without love, you will not have a fulfilled life as a human.

wouldn't it be awesome, if we all could co-create life together and evolve together to evermore new possibilities? wouldn't it be great to see how the divine masculine and the divine feminine force (1&0) balance each other out, so we could see whatever is still in the occult (hidden from) for us?

if the women decide that the male force is to be replaced by women, they kill mankind. "Mann" meaning "man" meaning "human"; etymology-wise. Meaning: you kill yourselves this way. indirectly. and this is karma.

i can explain it in numbers:

if the great nothingness is being recognised, it is defined as 0.

if 0 is being seen as what it is (something), it creates the 1.

the first "child" of 0 and 1 is 2; which is already base 3.

the nothingness in the very beginning is female. if the 1 emerges out of 0, the 0 becomes the female force (life giving) and the 1 is the male energy. if the women decide to become the male force energy, they need to balance this with nothingness. yet what you refer to, you attract into your life. so the women who want to be male attract the nothingness and thus the beginning and end of that which is and is not.

"god" is the undefined life force energy. neither male nor female. the cult of the goddess and goddess only (inga without ing; freya without frey; venus without mars) would lead to the darkest ages possible. the 1 without the 0 is unable to sustain itself. thus women always have the power to destroy men. i hope, you are wiser than scientists. when the first hydrogenbomb was detonated, they estimated that this could light up the atmosphere and kill life as we know it. yet the scientists did it anyways. scientists do it because they can; not because they should.

I-am-just-wondering • 1 point • 9 January, 2020 11:25 PM

Hello, I just saw this. True feminism is not discrimination against men and real feminist leaders do not hate men; those that do are not true feminists. While I understand how the demonizing of feminism is spread through some news sources (and therefore understandably spreads to many people) I would encourage you to try to choose your views based on what feminism truly is: it is an idea meant to give both genders equal rights. The reason however it is called feminism even by people who are egalitarians, is because the movement is historically rooted in fighting for women's rights specifically and was born in a time in which women truly were systematically oppressed (institutionalized sexism). While it can be argued that some of this sexism actually benefited women (for example women could not be drafted) the overall gender discrimination was directed towards females. I do not know much about discrimination towards males in the time of women's suffrage, but I do know that it is fairly undeniable that feminism was caused by need, which is to say that people became

feminists out of the need to fight against one of the major injustices of their time. Obviously the exact forms of discrimination have changed over time. Today, there are very clear signs of sexism towards males, and while males do not experience as much gender discrimination as females or at least not as much measurable dangerous discrimination, it is still a pressing issue. Feminism is about achieving rights that should go both ways. However, often the people opposing feminism and saying that feminists hate men, are also the ones perpetuating male stereotypes and male discrimination. Male rape victims are constantly discriminated against and there are also many stigmas surrounding male nurses. There are also female stereotypes that hurt men as well such as the normalisation of female teachers (there is a shortage of male teachers in the US possibly because stereotypes are projected onto male children which limits their career options). Discrimination towards men is a real issue, but the men's rights movement is inherently anti-feminist and that is not productive. I would hope to rebrand feminism as egalitarian but I know that due to the terms history and significance it is unlikely. Either way, true feminism (egalitarianism with a historical name) is meant to achieve rights for all genders and sexes. We need to leave our party alignments and media biases behind and support the quest for equal rights. Hope this clarifies some things! (:

InformalCriticism • -18 points • 8 August, 2019 02:05 PM

Wow, where was that getting upvoted?

Dogedoomofinternet[S] • 7 points • 8 August, 2019 04:51 PM

Are you blind?

tw1nm3t30r • 1 point • 9 August, 2019 07:15 AM

Check the photo carefully Eagle Eye.