

Dont let it die in new.

August 3, 2019 | 196 upvotes | by [Vaporwave02](#)

 BBC › uk 

If a woman forces a man to have sex, is that rape?

Jul 25, 2019 · Should it be **rape** when a **woman** coerces a **man** into sex? ... **How can a man** possibly be abused? Look at ...

rape¹ [reyp] [SHOW IPA](#) 

[EXAMPLES](#) | [WORD ORIGIN](#)

[SEE MORE SYNONYMS FOR rape ON THESAURUS.COM](#)

noun

- 1 unlawful sexual intercourse or any other sexual penetration of the vagina, anus, or mouth of another person, with or without force, by a sex organ, other body part, or foreign object, without the consent of the victim.

Feminists:



Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://www.theredarchive.com)

Comments

Ash5150 • 22 points • 3 August, 2019 03:45 AM*

If a man passes out drunk at a party, and a woman gets him hard, then rides him until she is satisfied...
Technically, by definition, she has raped him...

If a woman knocks a man unconscious, Ties him up, then feeds him Viagra to keep him erect, then rides him for as long as she wishes... That's rape.

Women have raped men, but feminists pretend it never happens, because it destroys their narrative that only men are bad to women, and women are only victims of men.

According to CDC statistics, women in the US rape men at near the rate men rape women. Plus men and boys are raped in prison and juvenile detention. In juvenile detention facilities, FBI investigators found that women guards were having sex with the boys, both with their consent, and without. Statutory rape is still a crime, and so is real rape against boys.

Feminists still pretend that it doesn't happen, or matter...

AlicornGamer • 8 points • 3 August, 2019 07:21 AM

the fact your second sentence is EERILY similar to what male aquatance i know whent through... like damn. obviously nothing came from it because 'wamin cant do bad!11" but atleast he's getting therapy for mild ptsd from it (has a hard time trusting women who he's never met before and even lost contact with alot of female freinds because they made jokes at his expense... fuck)

[deleted] • 4 points • 3 August, 2019 10:52 AM

How come women according to feminist logic, are simultaneously powerful and victims of patriarchy at the same time?

Username1642 • 2 points • 3 August, 2019 06:50 PM

If a man passes out drunk at a party, and a woman gets him hard, then rides him until she is satisfied...
Technically, by definition, she has raped him...

If a woman knocks a man unconscious, Tues him up, then feeds him Viagra to keep him erect, then rides him for as long as she wishes... That's rape.

Unfortunately, that doesn't actually meet the Google definition. As a result it only counts as sexual assault. That said, I'm not counting the first sentence because it's extremely vague.

The definition of rape should be along the lines of "someone forcing someone else to have sex with them" or "sex without valid consent (valid meaning of age and not drunk/drugged) ".

Doc-Engineer • -10 points • 3 August, 2019 06:11 AM

I have never heard a feminist say women can't rape men. I'm sure there are some that are deluded, but can't be as many as with y'all. I have heard them say that it's such a minute portion of all rape in the world that it's hardly as big an issue as females being raped (generally by force and with violence involved, not "coercion" or "force-feeding Viagra" [c'mon, really?]). Aren't most of you anti-feminists also incels complaining that you can't get laid? But also complaining that men get raped? Y'all are such a mindfuck, coming on this sub is like binge watching Stranger Things on Netflix. Women have been violently raped, beaten, stolen from their

homes and forced to wed an old man at 10 years old, been forced to be a stay-at-home wife to take care of kids and a home with a smile, are JUST NOW starting to finally see some movement towards rights and equality, and then all you fuckers spring right up so furious with their progress that your only goal is to end it at all costs. Women are people too, just like you and me, with feelings and insecurities and worries and hopes. Women are all different people. Feminists are all different people. Just because you heard one loud feminist on Reddit tell you men can't be raped, she does not speak for all women worldwide. She speaks on her own stupid personal opinion. Just like you.

JacoLion • 8 points • 3 August, 2019 07:08 AM

this subreddit is okay with the idea of equality(i think, not sure), we're just against the man-hating extreme feminists that despise everything we do.

Doc-Engineer • 0 points • 3 August, 2019 06:01 PM

I agree with that. Try to use the words "extreme" in your posts so people don't see and confuse the message with "all feminists are.." or the even worse (and somewhat common here) "all women do this..." It hurts nobody like you want it to. It hurts everyone in the country and only divides us that much more. We SORELY need the opposite right now in this world.

[deleted] 3 August, 2019 11:58 AM*

[deleted]

Doc-Engineer • -1 points • 3 August, 2019 06:18 PM

"But - IN PRACTICE - the laws, in Court or on college campuses, that are getting enacted every day to give women more protection, and who see men as vile perverts, these DO MATTER. And the message that the media conveys all the time, about how domestic abuse is the result of the patriarchy and toxic masculinity, when it was proven over and over that women are very often perpetrators themselves."

Do you mean laws like the abortion laws in Alabama that resulted in cops who just tried to charge a pregnant woman for manslaughter... because she was shot in the stomach killing the baby? Yes, you heard that right. SHE was shot by a woman she was arguing with. The cops arrested the victim on manslaughter charges. Because she lost her baby. Tell me, do you think feminists wrote those laws? Those ridiculous, disgusting, barbaric laws, that directly effect the lives of all women and the rights they have with their own bodies? No. A group of old white male Alabama officials wrote those laws. The fact that there are A WHOLE GROUP of men, who are supposed to write laws, who actually have the audacity to believe they should be allowed to tell women how to handle their own bodies... And you seriously wonder why the fuck all women seem to be wary of all men??? Its not that complicated... THEY HAVE EVERY REASON TO BE.

"If you are a true feminist, then help destroy this toxic feminism that is going to turn our society into complete chaos."

Sure, I can get behind this. I totally agree with this. Let me ask you, how do we go about destroying this "toxic feminism"? Do you think this sub is handling that properly? To end that, as you mentioned, 0.01% (yes I'm sure it's really more) of toxic feminists, you truly believe we should randomly attack ALL women or even just ALL feminists and that will get your points across? In reality, you all are doing absolutely nothing except providing source material for these toxic feminists to point to when asked why they have a deep hatred of men. If you instead reached out, as a man, and

said "what are your goals, and how can we as a group help you to achieve those goals" do you seriously believe they would come back with "just go die and leave Earth to women"? There is a right way and a wrong way, and posts like this are clearly the wrong way. The added divisiveness this attitude causes should be perfectly obvious to anyone with a brain. Please don't make yourselves into the culturally depressing assholes these toxic feminists that you all hate seem to believe.

Jex117 • 3 points • 3 August, 2019 07:10 PM

Do you mean laws like the abortion laws in Alabama that resulted in cops who just tried to charge a pregnant woman for manslaughter... because she was shot in the stomach killing the baby? Yes, you heard that right. SHE was shot by a woman she was arguing with. The cops arrested the victim on manslaughter charges. Because she lost her baby. Tell me, do you think feminists wrote those laws? Those ridiculous, disgusting, barbaric laws, that directly effect the lives of all women and the rights they have with their own bodies? No. A group of old white male Alabama officials wrote those laws. The fact that there are A WHOLE GROUP of men, who are supposed to write laws, who actually have the audacity to believe they should be allowed to tell women how to handle their own bodies... And you seriously wonder why the fuck all women seem to be wary of all men??? Its not that complicated... THEY HAVE EVERY REASON TO BE.

Blame that on the women who got that legislation enacted into law. A woman drew up the legislation, and women made the deciding votes, they have free will - who are you to tell those women otherwise? It's a free country. Are you proposing we dictate legislative policy as per feminist doctrine, rather than democratic vote? If so, then you're proposing fascism.

In the meantime, how about you explain why the Feminist Movement, which advertises itself as a movement for equality, has spent the last three decades peddling the Duluth Model into legislation and corporate policy across the Western World?

The Duluth model is the epitome of institutionalized sexism, yet it's being pushed by the hands of feminists. Explain.

Sure, I can get behind this. I totally agree with this. Let me ask you, how do we go about destroying this "toxic feminism"? Do you think this sub is handling that properly? To end that, as you mentioned, 0.01% (yes I'm sure it's really more) of toxic feminists, you truly believe we should randomly attack ALL women or even just ALL feminists and that will get your points across? In reality, you all are doing absolutely nothing except providing source material for these toxic feminists to point to when asked why they have a deep hatred of men. If you instead reached out, as a man, and said "what are your goals, and how can we as a group help you to achieve those goals" do you seriously believe they would come back with "just go die and leave Earth to women"? There is a right way and a wrong way, and posts like this are clearly the wrong way. The added divisiveness this attitude causes should be perfectly obvious to anyone with a brain. Please don't make yourselves into the culturally depressing assholes these toxic feminists that you all hate seem to believe.

Clementine Ford preaching feminists to 'kill all men'

"National Castration Day" & "The Grand Culling" of 90% of the male population

"Abort all white boys"

"Male libido causes all wars"

"All masculinity is toxic"

You're not the director of the Feminist Majority Foundation and editor of Ms. Magazine, Katherine Spillar, who said of domestic violence: "Well, that's just a clean-up word for wife-beating," and went on to add that regarding male victims of dating violence, "we know it's not girls beating up boys, it's boys beating up girls."

You're not Jan Reimer, former mayor of Edmonton and long-time head of Alberta's Network of Women's Shelters, who just a few years ago refused to appear on a TV program discussing male victims of domestic violence, because for her to even show up and discuss it would lend legitimacy to the idea that they exist.

You're not Mary P Koss, who describes male victims of female rapists in her academic papers as being not rape victims because they were "ambivalent about their sexual desires" (if you don't know what that means, it's that they actually wanted it), and then went on to define them out of the definition of rape in the CDC's research because it's inappropriate to consider what happened to them rape.

You're not the National Organization for Women, and its associated legal foundations, who lobbied to replace the gender neutral federal Family Violence Prevention and Services Act of 1984 with the obscenely gendered Violence Against Women Act of 1994. The passing of that law cut male victims out of support services and legal assistance in more than 60 passages, just because they were male.

You're not the Florida chapter of the NOW, who successfully lobbied to have Governor Rick Scott veto not one, but two alimony reform bills in the last ten years, bills that had passed both houses with overwhelming bipartisan support, and were supported by more than 70% of the electorate.

You're not the feminist group in Maryland who convinced every female member of the House on both sides of the aisle to walk off the floor when a shared parenting bill came up for a vote, meaning the quorum could not be met and the bill died then and there.

You're not the feminists in Canada agitating to remove sexual assault from the normal criminal courts, into quasi-criminal courts of equity where the burden of proof would be lowered, the defendant could be compelled to testify, discovery would go both ways, and defendants would not be entitled to a public defender.

You're not Professor Elizabeth Sheehy, who wrote a book advocating that women not only have the right to murder their husbands without fear of prosecution if they make a claim of abuse, but that they have the moral responsibility to murder their husbands.

You're not the feminist legal scholars and advocates who successfully changed rape laws such that a woman's history of making multiple false allegations of rape can be excluded from evidence at trial because it's "part of her sexual history."

You're not the feminists who splattered the media with the false claim that putting your penis in a passed-out woman's mouth is "not a crime" in Oklahoma, because the prosecutor was incompetent and charged the defendant under an inappropriate statute (forcible sodomy) and the higher court refused to expand the definition of that statute beyond its intended scope when there was already a perfectly good one (sexual battery) already there. You're not the idiot feminists lying to the public and potentially putting women in Oklahoma at risk by telling potential offenders there's a "legal" way to rape them.

And you're none of the hundreds or thousands of feminist scholars, writers, thinkers, researchers, teachers and philosophers who constructed and propagate the body of bunkum

theories upon which all of these atrocities are based.

You're the true feminist. Some random person on the internet.

Doc-Engineer • -1 points • 3 August, 2019 07:54 PM

"Blame that on the women who got that legislation enacted into law. A woman drew up the legislation, and women made the deciding votes, they have free will - who are you to tell those women otherwise? It's a free country. Are you proposing we dictate legislative policy as per feminist doctrine, rather than democratic vote? If so, then you're proposing fascism."

Are you fucking serious?!?! Did you even read about this before automatically assuming that women passed a bill that 95% of women IN Alabama disagree with? No... It was a man who drafted the bill. The head of the Alabama Pro-Life Coalition (also full of men), to be exact, and then it was a group of 25+ white men in the Alabama Senate who passed the bill. The Alabama Governor who signed it into law was the ONLY woman in the decision making process that should have stopped it, but at that point her vetoing the bill would be political suicide, so she looked out for her own family and financial stability by not pissing off her Republican base. No, no, no. This was a group of a shit ton of men putting immense pressure on the female Republican governor to pass their bill in an attempt to go to the Supreme Court to overturn Roe V Wade.

You are also putting words in my mouth again. I never said shit about "feminist policy", you just don't want to actually discuss the issues you're bringing up. I'll explain what's actually happening here and then you'll come back with, "well feminists are Nazis and you agree with them so you kill Jews for sport?!" Debating with such wasteful indignance is a misnomer. Hows about, when you vote on something that effects every single woman in your state, and potentially the entire country if it goes to the Supreme Court, you maybe... include some of the women whom it effects in your decision making process? When that bill came forth, SOMEBODY should have had the gall to say, "we as a group of only men can not understand these struggles and issues that women face daily well enough to make a potentially permanent decision regarding women's livelihood." But of course we'd rather use our power to suppress and hate, and do it all in the name of God. It's disgusting.

"Mr. Johnston, who drafted the Alabama bill and sees himself as a purist on the abortion issue, said he did not support the spate of restrictive legislation that other states have enacted in recent months, including so-called fetal heartbeat bills."

<https://www.google.com/amp/s/www.nytimes.com/2019/05/14/us/abortion-law-alabama.amp.html>

"You're the true feminist. Some random person on the internet."

How do you know that I'm none of those people you mentioned above? You know nothing about me except that I see little boys making a mockery of America and decide to say something, however pointless it may be because people don't know how to listen anymore. All of these cases you've given consist of entire groups of women having to lobby male lawmakers to change the established order to more adequately support equal treatment of sexes.

You copy/pasted my comment in your response but so clearly didn't read it it's almost

comical. These extremist women that advocate violence against (already violent) men, they have every right to do so considering the drastic numbers of women subject to violence and torture and death at the hands of men since the dawn of time. They have every right to be scared, or angry, or confused. They don't understand why they aren't treated as even human by sooo many people in "modern" society. That does NOT give you the go-ahead to childishly turn it around and make the exact same arguments they do, but for men, when clearly those male issues have arisen basically in this decade alone due to the strong push for equal treatment of women. The tide is turning and that is a GOOD thing. Nobody but psychos are advocating genocide, or mass-murder of every member of a sex, with absolutely no confrontation or abuse to back the "protect yourself" attitude. I think you know that, somewhere in your head, you just don't like it because you hear some things upset women are saying and don't agree with it. Instead of being so sensitive, try to actually hear them, and where they are coming from. Otherwise, you are even worse.

Jex117 • 5 points • 3 August, 2019 12:39 PM

incels complaining that you can't get laid

Actually I'm here to speak out about boys lack of legal protections against female pedophiles, as I experienced throughout my childhood. Having spent my childhood being molested and raped by grown women, I've dedicated a large portion of my life to advocating for the legal rights and protections of boys & men.

Taking shots at my sex proclivity as a means to shame me, and judging my worth as a man purely by how much sex I have, is absolutely disgusting behavior on your part, and feminism at large. My value as a human is weighed by more than simply how much I fuck.

Doc-Engineer • 0 points • 3 August, 2019 06:28 PM

Who did that? Nobody gives a fuck how much you fuck. Not a single Reddit soul. I assure you, your value is determined by your words and actions alone. It's fine speaking out about a lack of legal protections. But why not also speak out about the lack of legal protections for women's rights to decisions regarding their own bodies? At least if a man is raped and wants to forget it, he is still allowed to surgically remove his dick.

Jex117 • 2 points • 3 August, 2019 06:59 PM

You just did, by smearing /mensrights users as "incels." This idea that it's normal to judge men, their worth and value as humans, purely by their sexual proclivity is absolutely disgusting. The fact this anti-male slur has become so popularized among feminist circles speaks volumes of the movement at large.

It is absolutely not ok for you or any other feminists to judge and shame men purely on their sexual proclivity. My worth and value as a man, as a human being, and as a member of society should be judged by far more than merely how much I fuck.

But why not also speak out about the lack of legal protections for women's rights to decisions regarding their own bodies?

Like what? I can cite dozens of legal rights, protections, and outreach programs available to women that aren't available to men.

This idea that women in the Western World are somehow lacking in rights, when they have a

whole plethora of rights, protections, and programs that are only available to women, is absolutely absurd. You're peddling a false construct of reality.

At least if a man is raped and wants to forget it, he is still allowed to surgically remove his dick.

So when young boys get raped by pedophiles, and are forced to make Child Support Payments to their rapist, your only suggestion to them is to get their dick cut off?

This is exactly the kind of wholesale immorality I see so much among feminists. This blatant disregard for boys and men, this routine borderline man-hating behavior I see coming from so many feminists. This is why so many people are rejecting your malignant philosophy.

Doc-Engineer • 0 points • 3 August, 2019 07:24 PM

Where do you see men's rights users here? ANTI-feminists. That is ANTI-womens rights. That does not equate to pro men's rights. This is a fallacy.

"This idea that it's normal to judge men, their worth and value as humans, purely by their sexual proclivity is absolutely disgusting."

So it's disgusting to judge men by this, but it's acceptable to judge women for their belief that they have a right to and deserve equal treatment? That is absolutely disgusting. That is hypocritical as possible. If you hadn't noticed, the vast majority of anti-feminist posters, including OP, frequent two main subs (now that r/braincells is quarantined). Those are this sub, and r/incels. Calling someone by a term they label themselves is not judgemental in the slightest. That's like saying "black" is a disgusting word to describe a person because it's judging a person solely by their skin color. No, it's categorizing that person the way he/she wishes to be categorized, or the way they categorize themselves.

"Like what? I can cite dozens of legal rights, protections, and outreach programs available to women that aren't available to men."

How bout the pregnant woman in Alabama who got very close this week to a manslaughter charge actually sticking. You know the one. The woman who was shot in the stomach by another person in the street, and then arrested immediately after for "attempted abortion". The only reason she's not going to jail for life is the media shitstorm that followed, especially considering the actual shooter went home and cracked a beer. Why half this country truly believe THEY have the right to tell women how to run their own bodies is fucking astounding. Land of the free my left nut. I will always argue for body sovereignty, regardless of sex or race or reason. What I do is always my choice, NOT the choice of 30+ senile old white men running the places we live in right into the ground.

"So when young boys get raped by pedophiles, and are forced to make Child Support Payments to their rapist, your only suggestion to them is to get their dick cut off?"

I think you must be talking to yourself because this didn't come from me. Did you read that copy/paste above as "male rape victims should cut off their dick"? You aren't seriously so slow, you're just trying to feign misunderstanding to push your own agenda. The point here (obviously) is that nobody tells men what they can/can't do with their bodies. I can go to a hospital today and get my dick turned into a vagina. I can get a visectomy, I can do all sorts of shit. Let's take women now. Not only are states like Alabama taking away women's rights to bodily sovereignty, they are also taking away access to contraception, funding for adoption

programs, and crushing sex education in schools. They do all this on the basis of religion, all the while ignoring that little part in the Constitution that says church and state do NOT mix. Ever. Do you think they actually do these things out of a strong love for God? Or much more likely, a power move to keep senile old white guys at the top rungs on the ladder.

"This is exactly the kind of wholesale immorality I see so much among feminists. This blatant disregard for boys and men, this routine borderline man-hating behavior I see coming from so many feminists. This is why so many people are rejecting your malignant philosophy."

Wholesale immorality. That's rich. As you treat the opposite sex as some kind of other species distinct from yourself. You act like women's struggles now pale in comparison to men's because men fight in wars and don't have protection from rape. Well neither do women buddy. How many men have you heard of accused of rape that get jailed for even one year? Zero. It doesn't happen. But the woman he got pregnant could be jailed for life if she doesn't want to have the child forcibly inserted into her body. This is why so many people are rejecting your misogynistic philosophy. We as a society have grown past the point of taking this type of extremism at face value. If I saw a women's group arguing that all men are evil dickheads... you can be damn sure I'd speak up. Humans are humans, men and women are so similar that it's comical the way you all divide yourselves. As an outsider looking in, I can see the fraying strings of your "free and proud" society as you pick and pull at each other and poke and prod at people's beliefs. Acceptance is a dead notion, tolerance went on its merry way back in the 90s. We have half the country who wants to go back a century, and the other half wants to skip forward a century. Nobody wants to do the civil and social work required of us to find a balance and move forward. If you don't change soon, and yes, I mean you specifically, then start seeking out the people you care for and hugging them now because your time and theirs is short. Be the change you want to see in the world, rather than spending so much time fighting the change that someone else decided to be.

Jex117 • 3 points • 3 August, 2019 08:01 PM

ANTI-feminists. That is ANTI-womens rights.

There you go, lying and manipulating again.

So it's disgusting to judge men by this,

Yes. It's disgusting and morally repugnant that you, and so many others, are willing to judge men purely by their sexual proclivity. There are no exceptions to this - it's just wrong, no ifs ands or buts.

but it's acceptable to judge women for their belief that they have a right to and deserve equal treatment?

There you go, lying and manipulating again. You're attempting to falsely conflate the Feminist Movement as solely revolving around equal rights - when in reality women already have dozens more legal rights, protections, outreach programs, and public scholarship funds that men don't have.

And yes, of course it's perfectly acceptable to judge someone by the groups they associate themselves with - it's not okay to judge someone by their sexual proclivity. This isn't hard - you're just pretending to be confused.

That is hypocritical as possible.

It's not the least bit hypocritical. It's perfectly acceptable to judge a person by the groups they associate themselves with. It's not okay to judge people by their sexual proclivity.

If you hadn't noticed, the vast majority of anti-feminist posters, including OP, frequent two main subs (now that r/braincells is quarantined).

No they don't. You literally just made that up on the spot. You have absolutely no statistics to back up any of your claims.

It's obvious to me that you're not willing to have an honest discussion in good faith. You repeatedly demonstrate a need to manipulate and twist facts around your worldview.

Doc-Engineer • 1 point • 4 August, 2019 12:26 AM

"There you go, lying and manipulating again."

Oh, im sorry, what is the name of this sub? Please, do tell, because when I scroll to the top and look it most definitely is written r/antifeminists.

"Yes. It's disgusting and morally repugnant that you, and so many others, are willing to judge men purely by their sexual proclivity. There are no exceptions to this - it's just wrong, no ifs ands or buts."

Swing and a miss number three! Where did I judge men on sexual proclivity? This is the third time I've asked, you have repeated this same thing every time, and you have yet to provide any evidence that I "judged you" on your sexual proclivity... Go on... I'll wait.

"There you go, lying and manipulating again. You're attempting to falsely conflate the Feminist Movement as solely revolving around equal rights - when in reality women already have dozens more legal rights, protections, outreach programs, and public scholarship funds that men don't have."

Oh really? Why don't you tell that to the 45 female presidents we've had running this great nation? If you don't see the sexual disparity in the country, and instead only focus on what you've lost as a result of the feminist push, then this entire conversation is pointless because you are selfishly avoiding the real discussions that need to be had.

"And yes, of course it's perfectly acceptable to judge someone by the groups they associate themselves with - it's not okay to judge someone by their sexual proclivity. This isn't hard - you're just pretending to be confused."

I'm not confused at all. I understand you very well by now. You like to judge but don't like to be judged. It's OK to be divisive and insensitive until it offends your delicate sensibilities. The whole world MUST be against you as a privileged white male. Go ahead, tell me how privilege doesn't exist now. I'm waiting.

Ash5150 • 7 points • 3 August, 2019 07:04 AM

I live in the SF Bay area. There are plenty of feminists here who claim that only men are rapists (and domestic abusers), only women are victims of rape, and domestic abuse... I get to hear it all the time. I've been in a long term abusive relationship, and was told by feminists that I must have "deserved it", and

how they bathed in my male tears...

Also, men die by the tens of thousands every year due to war, and suicide. 90+% of fatalities due to war, are men, just as 90% of suicides every year are men.

Anyone hear about that in the news? If women died at those rates, the world would be in a panic. But it's just those disposable men, so no one cares... Just like men who are raped.

Doc-Engineer - You might want to stop minimizing other people's pain, especially while ignoring that it exists and pretending that feminists actually care about men's issues.

Doc-Engineer • -2 points • 3 August, 2019 03:14 PM

Ash5150 - You might want to stop extending your own pain onto others, especially while ignoring that it doesn't exist in everybody and not all feminists are extreme and mislead like you seem to believe. Just like you are different than all the other men, every woman is different too. That shouldn't be a surprise to you. Over-generalizations like this is what's happened to America, the land of the free that has become so divisive we're on the brink of civil disobedience or war from 10 different sides. You presume to know all American feminists because you met a couple extremists in SF Bay, they don't stand for everyone. Just like I, as a man, clearly don't stand for the same things as you.

Also, you don't hear about soldiers dying in the news, not because it's men, but because it's expected. Go crash a plane into a Mens club in America and you'll be on the news all month.

Ash5150 • 4 points • 3 August, 2019 05:37 PM

Doc-Engineer... I'm quite aware of the pain of others. It's rather forced down our throats daily by media..., Especially women's pain.

Funny how you choose to ignore the fact that I have spoken to hundreds of feminists, almost all with the same attitude towards men..., But I Must be "over generalizing", despite my lived experience... This IS the general attitude of Feminists where I live! But, I guess you know better, despite not living here...

There is a meme out there. "Meteor strikes Earth! Millions dead! Women most affected!"

It's a meme, because we live in a gynocentric world that cares more about women than men. And that's fine. Feminists claim to want equality, while pretending that men are only perpetrators, and women are only victims of men, and pretending that men don't suffer, since they have "privilege"...

When you define someone as "toxic", you are de-humanizing them, that is what feminists are doing to men. That's what you do to people you go to WAR with. You also treat them as perpetrators of crimes, especially against women. (Research war propaganda) You don't humanize them, by showing them as victims, only as perpetrators. You don't do that if all you want is equality.

Tell me... Which "Men's Club". I don't think I've seen one in decades that wasn't infiltrated, and destroyed by feminists... When women are included in a Men's Club, it's no longer a "Men's Club"...

What's next? Yet another strawman argument, or a No True Scotsman fallacy, like "not all feminists"...? Perhaps an ad hominem?

Doc-Engineer • -1 points • 3 August, 2019 05:59 PM

You are aware of it, yet you voluntarily choose to contribute rather than empathise with others? What, because the media actually reports some of the cases you're saying it doesn't

matter anymore? The message has been sent so not your problem?

Funny how you think I'm choosing to ignore some random dude's personal experiences that I don't know or care that you've had. YOUR experiences, whatever they may be, are not an excuse to treat people however the fuck you want, and absolutely does not mean every single woman in the world is automatically in the same category. That would be like me saying, because I've met a whole bunch of dumb white men who are angry and racist, that you too are angry and racist. That would upset you I'd imagine, because I have no idea who you are and am making over-generalizations based on nothing but appearance. It is bigotry, no matter how you wish to defend your behavior.

"This IS the general attitude of Feminists where I live! But, I guess you know better, despite not living here..."

Maybe you just like to over-generalize everything. Love putting words in people's mouths, clearly. So tell me... where do I live since you seem to know? And by the way, I do know better. I know better than to group an entire sex into one category based on a few personal experiences you might've had in your 16-18 years on this Earth. We used to categorize races the same way. Anthropologists have since made the *amazing* discovery that categories and generalizations DONT work on people, because we are all different. Between cultures, races, sexes, doesn't matter. We are all the same in that we are different.

"What's next? Yet another strawman argument, or a No True Scotsman fallacy, like "not all feminists"...? Perhaps an ad hominem?"

Do you know what a strawman argument actually is? You can't just call any argument against what you say a strawman, that's not how this works. What I've said is directly relevant to your comment and the way you treat entire groups of people. It's disgusting and wrong and your mother should've taught you that. You remember her? The person who birthed you who would be disgusted at the way you speak against women?

"There is a meme out there. "Meteor strikes Earth! Millions dead! Women most affected!""

Ya? Tell **SOME** men that the world is working towards "equality"... watch what happens. Watch as they squirm and complain that they're losing their place. Your father, his father, his father's father, and on and on and on have had the advantage of being first-class citizens for centuries upon centuries. You wonder why there is such a push for equality today? Because for the entirety of history the people who want nothing but to be treated the same have NOT been treated the same. YOU have no right to get upset that a woman over-generalizes men. They not only have lived experiences backing them up, the threat of violence and rape and embarrassment and degradation, all of history backs up their story. Women push for equality in military? Violent rapes go up in the military. A woman is angered that she became pregnant during a forcible rape? Too goddamn bad, you will keep that child in your fucking body until it comes to term, or we (white men) will put you in jail. People like you acting like this throughout all of time and space is literally what caused extremist feminism to rise to such a status. If people like you didn't exist, people like them wouldn't either.

Hate will only ever create more hate. You don't like the way these feminists you know talk about men? Then don't encourage their behavior by being the exact petty child they despise. Don't claim they belong in the kitchen, or that they hate all men, or that women are emotional

or whatever the fuck your argument tomorrow is. Instead, maybe try to convince them that you AREN'T an asshole, and maybe they can change their views as well.

Ash5150 • 4 points • 3 August, 2019 06:27 PM

Wow... You act as though you know all about me, and what I've experienced, now you complain that I'm doing the Exact same thing to you! LoL!

I also find it funny how you rushed to the defense of feminists and women, while dismissing the whole topic... As well as how you over generalize men, while complaining about me... Projection much?

Feminists are taught in univerities,band through the media. Don't even attempt that pathetic ad hominem about me encouraging feminists to behave badly, because I'm some child... Called it!

Strawman - Check! No True Scotsman Fallacy - Check! Ad Hominem - Check!

Perhaps, you might convince us you aren't an asshole. Maybe you could change our views...

The fact is that I don't hate anyone, especially women. I'm polyamorous, and in the kink community. I have three women I adore in my life, and whom I respect. I am a Zen Buddhist. But, because I Know what Feminists think. You "think" you know me... I'm haven't been a child in decades. I grew up back in the 1970's. You prove with your words, you have no valid argument when you attack your opponent. Only children use that tactic.

Doc-Engineer • 0 points • 3 August, 2019 06:37 PM

You didn't read that first sentence? The part about nobody giving a flying fuck about your personal experiences? Guess what... I have personal experiences too. I didn't let them turn me into a bitter asshole.

"I also find it funny how you rushed to the defense of feminists and women, while dismissing the whole topic... As well as how you over generalize men, while complaining about me... Projection much?"

For your benefit: THIS is a strawman argument. Please, show me where I over-generalize men? I "generalized" you, based on your words and actions that ive seen in this thread alone. You aren't all men as much as you probably wish to be.

"Feminists are taught in univerities,band through the media. Don't even attempt that pathetic ad hominem about me encouraging feminists to behave badly, because I'm some child... Called it!"

And clearly you were not taught in a university... Do you know what an ad hominem is? You are misusing words like you're Lil Wayne dropping a new single. You are encouraging both men AND woman to behave poorly. You are blaming all women for your specific troubles, and you are pushing any men who will listen to jump on board the incel/anti-fem train. You are actively and angrily attempting to divide the nation by sex. What exactly do you expect to happen from the message you want to send to the world? Are you hoping all women will just shut up and slink back to the kitchen? What exactly is the end goal here? Clearly it's not true equality. You couldn't give a fuck about that I'm sure as long as you stay privileged. Go ahead, now tell me all about how you have absolutely no privilege. Id love to hear about your life on the

streets.

Ash5150 • 4 points • 3 August, 2019 06:38 PM

Women have it Worse argument used as an attempt to minimize, and denigrate the original topic of a man's issue. Talk about derailing!

Men dying - Women are suffering! Men being raped - Women get raped more! Women always have it Worse... And White Knights (tm) like you are going to save them... If they aren't victims, why do they need to be saved? If they are your equals, why do they need You to save them?...

I love how you put the absolute worst words in my mouth... I must be an awful person! Just dreadful. I must confess my awfulness to my girlfriends, and kinky partners, at once! Because, you know me well enough to put such words in my mouth...

Hate does breed hate. Perhaps, you might not make such terrible assumptions about people you don't agree with... Perhaps listen to what they actually say, rather than what you think they say... Perhaps, you might try to not hate.

Doc-Engineer • 1 point • 3 August, 2019 06:53 PM

"And White Knights (tm) like you are going to save them... If they aren't victims, why do they need to be saved? "

Ya, nobody would need to be saved if it weren't for people deliberately creating a divide. There is a difference between saving someone in need, and chastising someone who is doing wrong. That's supposed to be your mother's job, but clearly it didn't stick or didn't get taught at all. Treat ALL PEOPLE how you want to be treated. It's a simple philosophy.

" Ilove how you put the absolute worst words in my mouth... I must be an awful person! Just dreadful. I must confess my awfulness to my girlfriends, and kinky partners, at once! Because, you know me well enough to put such words in my mouth..."

Is it copycat day? I said you're putting words in my mouth, with supporting evidence at that, so you just jump on the train and say the same with no defense? Where exactly did I do this, pray tell.

"Hate does breed hate. Perhaps, you might not make such terrible assumptions about people you don't agree with... Perhaps listen to what they actually say, rather than what you think they say... Perhaps, you might try to not hate."

Again putting words in my mouth (as seen above, you know, proof). What assumptions did I make about you that didn't come directly from your comments? I have listened to what you have to say. And let me tell you, "advocating men's legal rights" is NOT the same thing as "attacking women's legal rights", which is what you seem to want to do. Why can't you argue for both? Why can't you defend men's AND women's rights? Neither men or women should have to deal with sexual assault, but ESPECIALLY women, because male on female violence ends in pregnancy or death WAAAY more often than female on male "violence". I don't hate you at all. I hate the message you are spreading to other men. I hate the way some of this subs followers to

into the feminist sub and insult and degrade them and their opinions. I hate how, because women are finally at a point close enough to equality for men to believe they're losing their place in society, these men respond by blaming women for displacing them. We are all people. We are all the same. Just like you wouldn't want to wake up with a random woman sitting on your dick, how do you think a woman feels getting forcibly held down by a bigger stronger guy, clothes forcibly removed, and some random baby-making dick shoved inside them, entirely unwanted, and then left their afterwards like trash to pickup the pieces? Both are clear violations, but it's just simple logic that women got the shorter end of the stick. So perhaps try not to hate these women who probably have had the exact experience I just described above at least once in their lives, while you and I most likely, statistically, never will.

Ash5150 • 1 point • 5 August, 2019 12:55 AM*

LoL. Still, all your arguments are based in Feminist propaganda. Ever heard of divorce rape? What about the homeless gap? Sentencing gap? Suicide gap?

When a woman gets divorced, she loses a husband. When a man gets divorced, he loses his family, and half of everything he earns, as well as half of his possessions...

70+% of the homeless are men.

Women get, on average, 60% less of a sentence than a man for the Exact same crime.

90% of all suicides are men.

90% of the the dirtiest, and most difficult jobs are done by men.

90% if All workplace deaths are men...

These are based on US government statistics. Feminism's argument is that Women have it Worse... No statistics back their assertions up.

Just like Your assertions that "Women have it Worse"... Name one. Just one legal right that women don't have that men do. Name a current law that discriminates against women that doesn't do the same to men...

I can name a Right that women have that men are not allowed to have that are backed by Law. Reproductive Rights. Men are legally banned from having any. Men are only allowed to have reproductive Responsibility, which is enforced by Law.

But women have it Worse... SMH.

You come to an Anti-Feminist sub to argue for Feminism... You aren't going to convince anyone with the emotion based points you use, while ignoring everything else to the debate... I imagine you will be hitting brick walls all over here, and doing so intentionally. Not too bright, IMHO. You won't be changing beliefs here, just as I wouldn't be able to on a Feminist sub...

You still haven't addressed the OP's original topic... I guess it's ok for men to be raped, because women have it Worse... Not a valid argument, just like all the others you have attempted, along with the strawmanning, red herrings, ad hominens, etc... (I know what those are, having multiple degrees, lots of experience in life, and an IQ of 150+. I say this to refute your character

assassination attempt used previously, and to forestall yet another attempt, since you have tried it before...) You might want to further your education, take logic, and critical thinking courses, as I did. Perhaps even join the debate team.

Keep shilling for the Feminists, who will only use and discard men, while claiming to be about "equality". You are warned. You Will be discarded when you are no longer useful to those you defend.

BTW- My lived experience hasn't made me bitter, nor do I hate women. It's made me see reality. One if your many ad hominem against me claiming that my experiences made me "bitter"... Truth is harsh. Lies can be so soothing. I refuse to be blind and ignorant. I despise lies, and those who knowingly spread them. I believe you are indoctrinated, and don't know you have been deceived. I feel pity for you. Be well.

Doc-Engineer • 1 point • 5 August, 2019 02:55 AM

”LoL. Still, all your arguments are based in Feminist propaganda.”

Propaganda? The argument was regarding rape, especially statutory rape since that's the vast majority of male rape cases, that makes up 5% of all cases.

”When a woman gets divorced, she loses a husband. When a man gets divorced, he loses his family, and half of everything he earns, as well as half of his possessions...”

Really? My parents got divorced, my dad kept the house, both kids, and my mom moved out. Once they sold the house they had to split the profit from the sale, and they evenly portioned out the savings that they both built over their lives. So no, generally when the law goes that strongly in favor of the women, it's because they either got a good lawyer or had a good reason.

”70+% of the homeless are men. Women get, on average, 60% less of a sentence than a man for the Exact same crime. 90% of all suicides are men. 90% of the the dirtiest, and most difficult jobs are done by men. 90% if All workplace deaths are men...”

OK. Good statistics, which have nothing to do with male and female rape or the results and laws surrounding those circumstances. I could argue that the reason 90% of workplace deaths are men is because women are kept from the professions where that is most likely to occur (by men at that), but that will just annoy you. You're totally skipping over another MASSIVE statistic.

100% of US Presidents have been male. How's that for equality? I have a quote for you.

”While others sought to show that there was no valid reason why woman should be treated socially and politically as a being inferior to man, I boldly entered the arena of politics and business and exercised the rights I already possessed. I therefore claim the right to speak for the unenfranchised women of the country...I now announce myself as a candidate for the Presidency. I am quite well aware that in assuming this position I shall evoke more ridicule than enthusiasm at the outset. But this

is an epoch of sudden changes and startling surprises. What may appear absurd to-day will assume a serious aspect to-morrow."

That quote was Victoria Woodhull, the first woman to announce a presidential candidacy, back in 1872 (before women even had the right to vote). Now, this woman knew that she would be mocked, she says it right here in her own words, but she felt back then that women deserved equal treatment. Finally in the 1920s the men in government conceded women the right to vote after one of the largest political campaigns in all of US history. Now, let's run all the way forward to the 2016 presidential campaign, almost 100 years after women finally earned themselves the right to vote, and where for the first time in US history we have a woman running as the first female presidential candidate of a major political party, meaning the first woman with an actual chance at winning. And tell me, what happened to Hillary Clinton (besides losing)? The 2016 election was the first election we've ever had that had absolutely nothing to do with what the candidates have done or could do, but only about who could be trashed less. It turned the presidency into a joke (although I could also suppose that was Trump, as a result of being forced to run against a woman). Do you see the point I'm getting at here? You claim women already have it better, equality be damned. Yes, all the statistics you mentioned are horrible incidents that we should also strive to stop, but homelessness and suicide and workplace deaths don't change the fact that only 100 years after CLEAR inequality of the sexes, men all over the country are now trying to claim they actually have it worse. Well buddy, if we have it worse then we damn sure did that shit to ourselves, so maybe it's time to give someone else a shot at it, no? You remind me of 97 year old Joe Biden on stage with a bunch of kids not wanting to give up what he knows and move forward.

"You still haven't addressed the OP's original topic... I guess it's ok for men to be raped, because women have it Worse... Not a valid argument, just like all the others you have attempted, along with the strawmanning, red herrings, ad hominens, etc... (I know what those are, having multiple degrees, lots of experience in life, and an IQ of 150+. I say this to refute your character assassination attempt used previously, and to forestall yet another attempt, since you have tried it before...) You might want to further your education, take logic, and critical thinking courses, as I did. Perhaps even join the debate team."

See, you claim to be a genius here with multiple degrees, but then talk about a fucking debate team like you're a nerd in high school with a pissed off view at women and the world around you, but don't like to be perceived as such. I'm only calling it as I see it, because generally these are also the types on Reddit to use these words so quickly, like strawmanning, red herrings, ad hominem, etc etc, which emphasizes a lack of responsive ability and ability to actually refute the argument. I'm copy/pasting your comments and directly responding to each random argument or comment (having little to do with the original topic) and then you repeat yourself and show little to no understanding of the topic at hand, instead a regurgitation of fancy lines some guys taught you when you

were complaining about life to each other.

"You still haven't addressed the OP's original topic... I guess it's ok for men to be raped, because women have it Worse... Not a valid argument"

And just so we're clear, THIS is strawmanning. If you would go back and read any of my responses, find where I say it's ok for men to be raped, or that men can't be raped, or that boys should have to pay child support, copy and paste that dialogue and come back here to support your proposition that I haven't addressed OP's topic or that it's cool to let any kids at all get sexually assaulted by adults. There is a reason women have the sexual protections they do today, and it's from a vast history of male on female violence. There is a very very minute history of female on male violence, especially deadly violence. So for you and OP to argue that feminists are fighting AGAINST men and not FOR their own equality shows you are blind to their troubles but want everybody to run out to listen to your issues anyways, and how feminist goals are in direct opposition to everyone who will listen. If you want courts to understand that this treatment of male rape victims is wrong, why the fuck would you take the battle to feminists, who are clearly defending their own personal interests, and not take it to the courts like they did to get those laws and protections? Everybody wants to make sure they themselves can be and feel safe. That is what they are doing, I assume that is what you are doing, that is human nature. It doesn't mean we can't help each other to reach our goals. I know VERY many women would happily try to defend boys who have been victim to statutory rape.

Also, think about their fears and past experiences? What do you think would happen if men could get out of child support by only claiming they were "raped". Not only would it cheapen the claims of real rape, it would provide a legitimate out for supporting a child that the man helped create. Nobody is hating men or trying to throw your male children in jail for child support payments, they are protecting themselves, their mothers, their daughters, half of humanity.

Honestly I might be worried that I need to dumb some of this down for your 150+ IQ if you turn around and shout strawmanning with zero support to that claim again. I know you will though, so when it comes up without a direct example and description like I've given you of your case of strawmanning above then I'm out.

Jex117 • 4 points • 3 August, 2019 12:35 PM

<https://soundcloud.com/889-wers/male-rape>

Theresa Phung: "For the men who are traumatized by their experiences because they were forced against their will to vaginally penetrate a woman.."

Dr. Mary P. Koss: "How would that happen...how would that happen by force or threat of force or when the victim is unable to consent? How does that happen?"

Theresa Phung: "So I am actually speaking to someone right now. his story is that he was drugged, he was unconscious and when he awoke a woman was on top of him with his penis inserted inside her

vagina, and for him that was traumatizing.

Dr. Mary P. Koss: "Yeah."

Theresa Phung: "If he was drugged what would that be called?"

Dr. Mary P. Koss: "What would I call it? I would call it 'unwanted contact'."

Theresa Phung: "Just 'unwanted contact' period?"

Dr. Mary P. Koss: "Yeah."

<https://imgur.com/n4NZfxA>

Mary Koss is propped up as a leader within the feminist movement, and is heralded for her 2011 FBI redefinition of rape - yet isn't criticized for deliberately writing-out male victims of female rapists.

Doc-Engineer • 0 points • 3 August, 2019 06:24 PM

Maybe it's because male rapists get less jail time than the women they raped in some states (aka Alabama). Maybe it's because male rape victims aren't forced to carry an unwanted child INSIDE THEIR BODIES for 9 months, literally risking their health and lives. Tell me, when is the last time a male was raped and it ruined his entire life (outside of emotional damage, I'm talking actual health problems, ridiculous financial burdens, and complete and utter destruction of all life goals and plans)? The fact that y'all don't see the difference between the two astounds me. We have women in the US getting violently raped, becoming pregnant, and then being forced to carry this growing parasite inside their bodies (against their every will), and if they disagree with their treatment and try to change it? Well that's life in jail.

But ya, I can see how Ms. Susie from next door "raping" you incel bastards is soooo muuuuch wooorse than what all women deal with on a daily basis. The emotional trauma alone must feel like getting a clothes hanger shoved in your uterus voluntarily, huh?

Jex117 • 3 points • 3 August, 2019 07:18 PM

You have perfectly exemplified how everyday feminists are willing to dismiss and justify blatantly immoral behavior from their elected leaders and advocates.

Mary Koss has been one of the most prominent feminist leaders for decades, yet you're willing to blindly brush off her rape-apologizing?

What of the little boys who have to make child support payments to the pedophiles who raped them? I wouldn't put it past a feminist like you to mock and shame them for being "incel bastards" too.

But ya, I can see how Ms. Susie from next door "raping" you incel bastards is soooo muuuuch wooorse than what all women deal with on a daily basis.

I'm a MensRightsAdvocate specifically because I couldn't get any protection or representation from my mother and her friends. I spent my entire childhood being molested and raped by them, yet I've had a therapist and court arbitrator tell me to my face they think I'm making it up because *"a mother would never do that."*

Smearing me for being *"involuntarily celibate"* is morally repugnant behavior on your part, and by feminism at large. You're smearing and judging me based solely on my sexual proclivity, as though my worth as a man is based solely on how much I fuck.

My sexual proclivity is absolutely none of your business, and it's absolutely not ok to judge men, their value as human beings, and their worth in society based solely on their sexual prowess. The fact this kind of behavior has become so popularized among feminist circles speaks volumes of

the movement.

Doc-Engineer • 1 point • 3 August, 2019 07:57 PM

TL;DR. If you can't slow down and gather your thoughts all in one response then I'm not going to continue doing this back and forth with you. I think you already know what's so wrong about what you preach, but you like the attention that comes with being the loud extremist on the internet.

Doc-Engineer • 0 points • 3 August, 2019 08:08 PM

"My sexual proclivity is absolutely none of your business, and it's absolutely not ok to judge men, their value as human beings, and their worth in society based solely on their sexual prowess. The fact this kind of behavior has become so popularized among feminist circles speaks volumes of the movement."

Where exactly did I judge you on your "sexual proclivity"? Can you read? This is the second time we've been through this. Go back and read comment #4 and you'll have your answer... Again. NOBODY and I really mean NOBODY, gives a fuck about whether or not you can get laid.

Because you are CHOOSING to be ignorant of the point, I will slowly and surely spell it out for you, again. If YOU get raped by your mom, sure, you might be upset. It might damage you, emotionally. Your mom should go to jail. However, if a daughter got raped by her dad, she can become pregnant. She has sustained the same, if not worse emotional damage than you (because forced sex actually hurts for women), plus ACTUAL PHYSICAL DAMAGE. Her entire life and livelihood has been threatened, and possibly taken away entirely. She could be pregnant, have no options but adoption after 9 months of dealing, and then these same legislators taking away abortion rights are at the same time cutting funding for adoption programs. So hopefully they can put up the child for adoption. This is not including the actual bodily damage that is a common occurrence after male-female rape. They can have literally torn cervixes and left in such a state that sex may very well NEVER be enjoyable again. Sure, your story sounds bad. Almost every single rape story I've ever heard from women has been much much worse, so traumatizing to the point I don't think I myself could cope with it. If you can't understand that fundamental difference between the way sex biologically and physiologically works for men and women, you are sadly a hopeless cause. You will go off and meet a woman and be able to have a sexual relationship, once you get past the emotional trauma, that is also arguably MUCH less severe because that emotional trauma doesn't stem from actual physical bodily trauma, like a ripped open rectum or cervix preventing pleasurable interaction for years to life. But men see this struggle and instead get fucking egotistical and can't empathize so try to claim the struggle for themselves

DJ-Roukan • 4 points • 3 August, 2019 08:36 PM

He fella?

In this country if you are raped by a woman and a baby ensues, you will be charged with child support. There is a list of boy of 14 years of age that are doing so.

so imagine for a moment you are the parents of a 14 year old boy raped by a grown woman...and are now paying her for it, so she can raise her next victim. is that emotional damage enough for ya?

There is no "worse", there is only rape, and a rapist, Justice for one, support for the other. and I could continue to tear to shreds all aspects of your argument, but I will simply state the You have fallen into the common trap of ignorance of the issue, been mislead by incomplete information, analysis, and a great deal of dogmatic misinformation...and as a result are trying to bash, and insult this man you are arguing with.

You should stop now.

Doc-Engineer • 0 points • 4 August, 2019 01:20 AM

I have not insulted nor bashed him once. Maybe instead of jumping in the last chapter of the book to offer your opinion you should read the whole thing. There is most definitely worse. When one might cause DEATH, and the other might cause child support payments... you are arguing that I should stop arguing with a man who is against female rights. Again, this sub is not r/malevictims or r/immoralchildsupport. This sub is r/antifeminists. There is no purpose behind it except to degrade believers in feminism and an attempt to equate male struggles to female struggles. You are arguing about a child support law that is NOT designed to benefit the rapist. It is designed to benefit the child. Sure, it's a child the man didn't consent to, and he now has to pay a small sum of money each month (in certain cases, not all as it is not federal law). I agree that's wrong. I never once said it wasn't, had you read what I wrote you would understand my issue with his arguments. He is downplaying the struggle women have gone through for centuries to get where they are today, citing such ridiculous things as protective laws and college scholarship funds as showing female entitlement. That's such a fucking joke it's scary. We HAVE all of these programs and laws to protect women, because before this decade a man could do whatever the fuck he wanted to women. They were treated as objects, and are only just now building a more balanced playing field. And now men are pissed. If this subs only focus was male sexual assault victims rights, I'd be totally on board. Instead, this sub only focuses on the negative, they try to actively put down an entire group who has struggled for generations to get where they are, rather than do what those women did to get here and advocate for fairness and equality. Anti-feminists are not vouching for equality. They are vouching for male privilege, because most believe that is what they deserve since their fathers and grandfather's recieved that beneficial treatment. For you to show up so late to the show and try to posit that male victims of rape somehow have it worse for paying child support, while the female victims ACTUALLY HAVE TO RAISE THE CHILD... It's beyond obnoxious and nonsensical. We are successfully depicting America as more backwards than the world already tends to believe. At this rate, the Muslim countries will reach gender equality far before America.

It's always the same though. We take ONE STEP in direction of progress, and then a bunch of people frightened by the changing status quo come out and take us ten steps back. Look at Obama... and then Trump. First black president in the history of the nation, and then the most racist president we've had since Andrew Jackson. Feminists get a leg up with affirmative action and college funding and equal pay? Well feminists are just man-hating whackos who would murder every man in a heartbeat to get a better paycheck. It's so gross it makes my skin crawl. But go ahead and keep feeling like you're holding the moral high ground. I'm sure you'll remain king of the hill til you get knocked off by a bullet at the start of the Second Civil War caused solely by the

great rift we all are trying so hard to expand.

DJ-Roukan • 2 points • 4 August, 2019 02:29 PM

Don't have to read the entire book. I wrote it, guy...and I've spent the better part of 30 years witnessing exactly what people like you are spewing.

Case in point.

and then Trump. First black president in the history of the nation, and then the most racist president we've had since Andrew Jackson.

Hear it all the time, then ask for proof, and I get insults...or some idiocy saying that he's closing our borders, killing children, etc When what he is doing is to keep illegals out. I hear the leadership, like Mz. Warren claiming that walls don't work, are useless, but then you look at her compound and the wall surrounding it.

I hear that he's racist, but then we look at the facts and see that black employment is the highest it has ever been, that he's funding black colleges, school choice, urban development programs, taking on gangs.

You did attack him, and you are spewing nonsense the likes of which every left end extremist (and I'm a Democrat) spews every election, claiming that the white racist is harming black people and only they can protect them...then doing nothing.

Trump has done more in 2+ years for this country than the last 16 under democratic rule, and the 4 under an idiot...and every measurable stat demonstrates that.

It's always the same though. We take ONE STEP in direction of progress, and then a bunch of people frightened by the changing status quo come out and take us ten steps back.

Exactly what you are trying to do with Trump, but as I say, if a feminist, progressive, left wing extremist is accusing you of something, it is something they are doing themselves.

...and you are even trying to do so with this sub, your critique, no proof, no citation, just opinion because you have an internet connection.

It is why the arguments have ended. We don't argue, we expose, and that is what I've just done here.

Have a nice day.

Doc-Engineer • 1 point • 4 August, 2019 04:05 PM

”Hear it all the time, then ask for proof, and I get insults...or some idiocy saying that he's closing our borders, killing children, etc When what he is doing is to keep illegals out. I hear the leadership, like Mz. Warren claiming that walls don't work, are useless, but then you look at her compound and the wall surrounding it.”

Really? You haven't found anyone who could prove Trumps rampant racism? How about the feds who blatantly came out to say Trump's tweet following the debates was absolutely criminally discriminatory? But again... we can't indict a sitting president. How about the chants he had going on just after in his rallies? He was all for them until the news payed attention, and then “oh that's not what

I wanted from them". BULLSHIT! If you can't see what's that clear in front of you, you just don't want to. "GRAB EM BY THE PUSSY". Yes, you can discriminate sexually too, buddy.

"You did attack him, and you are spewing nonsense the likes of which every left end extremist (and I'm a Democrat) spews every election, claiming that the white racist is harming black people and only they can protect them...then doing nothing."

I don't need ANOTHER dumbass showing up to make claims with no backup. I've already got 3. Welcome to the club number 4. Please, show me where I attacked HIM and not his beliefs or ideals? I'll wait. After all, you were the one asking for proof first, right? I will await your coming idiocy. I'd love to see the part where I mentioned Trump beating black people in the streets.

"Trump has done more in 2+ years for this country than the last 16 under democratic rule, and the 4 under an idiot...and every measurable stat demonstrates that."

Trump has **UNDONE** more in 2+ years for this country, I think you meant. Going **BACKWARDS** is not progress. How about breaking off from worldwide environmental treaties with the sole purpose of expanding the oil&gas industry (of which he owns a huge part)? How about pushing for America to be the number one producer of oil&gas (of which he owns a huge part)? How about raising taxes and placing tariffs on Mexico (you know, stealing their only means of proper income) and then building a wall to keep them out, all while simultaneously heating the Earth (which effects Mexico first) and destroying the environment? Stop me when I get to progress.

"It is why the arguments have ended. We don't argue, we expose, and that is what I've just done here."

You're such a dumb fuck it makes me smile ☐ If the arguments have ended why won't these fuckers shut the hell up? I guarantee you and thirteen other people will have your stupid retorts ready by noon. Try not to be so hypocritical. How's about, show me **ONE** ☐☐ piece of evidence, supporting doctrine, or disproving information for any of the claims you just blatantly made from your comment above? Your critique, no proof, no citation, just opinion because you have an internet connection. This is why you've been made to sound like an idiot most of your life.

Have a nice day!

Mattxmelos9595 • 1 point • 16 August, 2019 02:20 PM

The fuck are you even talking about, both are horrendous acts of animal beings unable to control their perverted urges at the expense of another harmless and defenceless human being. Stop thinking with your ass, stop talking with your ass please. What you are saying is making me sick, both men and women getting raped are being **RAPED**, what happens after the fact is consequential. If a girl becomes pregnant it's **FUCKING HORRIBLE** because that poor creature will have to suffer

more. If a boy gets raped and dismissed by people because he's a man or he has to pay alimony to the piece of shit fuck that could not keep her hands off it's FUCKING HORRIBLE, why are we even talking about this, why are we even comparing to things that suck sooooo much, when the problem is RAPE. SHOULD. NOT. BE. HAPPENING.

WAKE UP, we are the same. We are the same! WE ARE THE SAME. MALES AND FEMALES.

Doc-Engineer • 1 point • 16 August, 2019 04:17 PM*

The fuck are you bouncing a two week old thread to offer the same worthless opinion that's repeated too many times throughout this thread as is?

By the way, thank you for wholeheartedly agreeing with the point I've been making throughout this entire thread. Rather than try to claim women rape victims get all the attention and don't deserve it, why not work on getting males the attention they deserve just as much as the women victims? Thank you kind sir, for agreeing with me in entirety. Quit trying to tear one group down for the sake of another, instead fight equally for both groups, because they both deserve it. Only people who don't understand that would be a bunch of anti-feminists.

Jex117 • 3 points • 3 August, 2019 08:21 PM

Where exactly did I judge you on your "sexual proclivity"? Can you read? This is the second time we've been through this. Go back and read comment #4 and you'll have your answer... Again. NOBODY and I really mean NOBODY, gives a fuck about whether or not you can get laid.

And yet you routinely smear men as being "incels" - "involuntarily celibate." Again, you're judging their worth solely on their sexual prowess and proclivity - again, this is literally none of your business. You have absolutely no reason to judge my value as a human being solely by how much I fuck.

Because you are CHOOSING to be ignorant of the point, I will slowly and surely spell it out for you, again. If YOU get raped by your mom, sure, you might be upset. It might damage you, emotionally. Your mom should go to jail. However, if a daughter got raped by her dad, she can become pregnant. She has sustained the same, if not worse emotional damage than you (because forced sex actually hurts for women), plus ACTUAL PHYSICAL DAMAGE. Her entire life and livelihood has been threatened, and possibly taken away entirely. She could be pregnant, have no options but adoption after 9 months of dealing, and then these same legislators taking away abortion rights are at the same time cutting funding for adoption programs. So hopefully they can put up the child for adoption. This is not including the actual bodily damage that is a common occurrence after male-female rape. They can have literally torn cervixes and left in such a state that sex may very well NEVER be enjoyable again. Sure, your story sounds bad. Almost every single rape story I've ever heard from women has been much much worse, so traumatizing to the point I don't think I myself could cope with it. If you can't understand that fundamental difference between the way sex biologically and physiologically works for men and women, you are sadly a hopeless

cause. You will go off and meet a woman and be able to have a sexual relationship, once you get past the emotional trauma, that is also arguably MUCH less severe because that emotional trauma doesn't stem from actual physical bodily trauma, like a ripped open rectum or cervix preventing pleasurable interaction for years to life. But men see this struggle and instead get fucking egotistical and can't empathize so try to claim the struggle for themselves

Yet again you perfectly exemplify the kind of routine angry, aggressive, hateful, abusive vitriol spewed by average everyday feminists. As though having to face the reality of my childhood sexual abuse has made you angry and offended at me personally, like I'm somehow at fault for making you confront something that contradicts your narrative.

Again I ask, is this what you'd tell all the young boys who have to make child support payments to the pedophiles who raped them?

You talk about the longterm physical and mental damage of rape, yet you don't seem to bat an eyelash at rape victims having to make payments to their rapist or face years of incarceration in prison.

Do you honestly not see a problem with sending rape victims to prison for failing to make payments to their rapist? Or is this question so offensive to you that you're going to rattle off your hatefully aggressive vitriol again?

Doc-Engineer • 1 point • 4 August, 2019 01:35 AM

"And yet you routinely smear men as being "incels" - "involuntarily celibate."
Again, you're judging their worth solely on their sexual prowess and proclivity - again, this is literally none of your business. You have absolutely no reason to judge my value as a human being solely by how much I fuck."

Ha!!! I TOTALLY called this! This is number 4 by the way! You do know what "routinely" means right? It means commonly, as in, more than once. I'm still waiting for one example of my attacking you for your sexual prowess. Let's see it. Sources or bullshit bro. You really need to quit saying the N word in public and pissing in people's drinks at Starbucks. You do shit like that and have the gall to say other people are wrong?

QUIT SHITTING ON PUBLIC TRANSIT SERVICES BRO ITS FUCKING DISGUSTING! (See? I can do that shit too).

"Yet again you perfectly exemplify the kind of routine angry, aggressive, hateful, abusive vitriol spewed by average everyday feminists."

Again, here you lump an entire group of people under one category. I hope none of your ancestors were German you goddamn Nazi scum. See how that works? It doesn't... The "average" feminist is anything but hateful. You are wrong. Just like the "average" Republican is anything but a racist redneck piece of shit. Sure, the loud ones might be. But the loud Christians are literally the ones who fought against women's rights, and against African American rights, and now against LGBT rights, all the while claiming God is on their side. It's exceptionally hypocritical. It does NOT mean that I should believe all Christians share the same ideals. They are all people too, which is the part you all seem to not get. These are your mother's, wives and daughters who you are actively attempting to strip of their hard-earned rights.

And to all the young boys paying child support payments? I would tell them "be glad you aren't a woman, if you were then YOU might be the one birthing and raising that child against your will. You think child support payments are harsh? Try paying to actually raise the child. I guarantee those payments are a fraction of the total funds required. And that's totally disregarding the health risks, lack of state-funded pregnancy programs, lack of funding for adoption programs, limited access to contraception, and now life-sentences for abortion in some states. If it were me, I'd take the child support any day of the week. **FOR YOUR UNDERSTANDING: THIS IS NOT ME SAYING THESE MEN SHOULD HAVE TO PAY CHILD SUPPORT. I HAVE NOT SAID THAT YET AND YOU WILL NOT HEAR ME SAY IT. PLEASE PAY ATTENTION BEFORE CLAIMING I SAID ANYTHING THAT I HAVE NOT** (like judging you for sex, still waiting on that quote).

"Do you honestly not see a problem with sending rape victims to prison for failing to make payments to their rapist? Or is this question so offensive to you that you're going to rattle off your hatefully aggressive vitriol again?"

Let me retort with "Do you honestly not see a problem with sending rape victims to prison for attempting to abort an unwanted child forced upon them by said rapist? Or is this question so offensive to you that you're going to rattle off your hatefully aggressive vitriol again?"

Apielo • 1 point • 4 August, 2019 02:49 PM

Im not the guy you were arguing with but I see some problems with the argument you have here. Don't get me wrong rape against either gender is absolutely wrong in every way. What you are doing though is trying to invalidate his argument and not truly acknowledging the problems with men being raped. Yes women can get injured and pregnant from being raped. Men can also be injured and never have enjoyable sex again too.

Let's go to his point about paying child support. While this may be cheaper than actually raising a child how is a 14 year old boy supposed to afford child support? At 16 years old I was working my first job making \$350 a month on average. My parents are divorced and my dad was paying my mom \$750 a month for child support. Now then if I was in my dad's situation where would my other \$400 come from? I wouldn't be able to pay the child support and as a consequence I would be sent to jail when I was old enough. Now then if we go back to the cause and effect of all of this I was essentially sent to jail for being a rape victim. Now then let's say I get released from jail. I could still have to pay child support while not being able to find a well paying job because of my criminal record.

At the end of the day rape is harmful to both genders. You have to acknowledge the punishments of both sides. The point of feminism is equality but that's not what your argument preaches. You the entire time were saying it's worse for women and not realizing the life ruining punishments men can receive from it.

Doc-Engineer • 1 point • 4 August, 2019 03:53 PM

Is that what im doing from your completely late to the party outside view?

You're right about one thing, you are not the guy i was arguing with. If you had been paying attention in the slightest, you would have seen me explicitly say on

multiple occasions that ALL rape is bad, but to try and fight AGAINST the progress women have managed to make in the last century is immoral and gross.

The part all of you people seem to widely be missing: there have been exceptionally few court cases where male victims are made to pay child support. Nick Olivas and two others are the only publicized events, plus a handful of precedent setting cases since the late 60s. Even still, statistics show that 5% of statutory rape victims are male. Meaning what exactly? I don't think I need to tell you the statistics on female rape and just how many women it happens to every year. 95% of statutory rape victims are female, meaning the frequency it STILL is happening to women and little girls in this country is astoundingly large. And that's not counting the worsened emotional and physical toll the act generally takes for women as compared to men, as sex for women includes literal violent invasion of bodily organs. For you all to turn around and be like "but we would have to pay CHILD SUPPORT" does absolutely nothing but tell me how absolutely blind you are to women's issues and JUST HOW PREVALENT they are in today's society. Find 6 women right now. Statistics say at LEAST one of them has been raped in their lives. Can you say the same about men? I HAVE NEVER SAID MALE RAPE IS NOT AN ISSUE. BUT TO TRY TO EQUATE IT TO THE ISSUES OF FEMALE RAPE PLAGUING OUR COUNTRY FOR GENERATIONS IS SHOWING THE PUBLIC YOU COULDN'T GIVE A SHIT ABOUT WOMENS PROBLEMS, BUT WILL QUICKLY JUMP IN TO DEFEND SOCIETY WHEN IT MAY DIRECTLY EFFECT YOURSELVES. THIS IS CALLED SELFISH.

I will recant my statement if you can prove to me you have ever once fought for women's sexual rights as well. Maybe you petitioned for easy access to contraception, maybe you marched for access to abortion. Maybe you heard for the first time about consequences to a male victim of rape, and decided that is when you pick up the SJW crown and go to work. I'm guessing the latter.

Ash5150 • 2 points • 3 August, 2019 07:06 PM

Ahhh... Doc... You crack me up! Defend the women's against all evil mens!

Once again, you only have personal attacks... About people you have no clue about, and as you admit, you have NO empathy for... While you expect everyone to have empathy for... Women.

You see. YOU are the one who is spreading hate with your vitriol, ad hominem and character assassination tactics. YOU are the one who came here to defend feminists, and derail the topic...

SO transparent! SO boringly typical... Yawn

sam123cherif • 1 point • 3 August, 2019 02:45 PM

Uuuuh duh.

gangsta_nepu • 1 point • 3 August, 2019 10:18 PM

Nice danganronpa meme

Big-sp00ker • 1 point • 5 August, 2019 03:23 AM

Don't bring poor makoto into this