

The failure of separate but equal

July 31, 2019 | 56 upvotes | by [User-31f64a4e](#)

I give you two examples:

- * US Soccer Federation Men's and Women's national teams
- * Boy Scouts and Girl Scouts

In the first case, an umbrella organization includes both.

In spite of the fact that the WNT is a [money loosing proposition](#), in spite of the fact that the men's team sells many more seats - and nets much more revenue per game, and in spite of the fact that the Dallas Stars U15 boys developmental team trashed the women's team 7 to 0 - the women are suing for "equal pay".

Clearly, there was no benefit for the US Soccer Federation in having a women's team, and they would be well advised to just focus on the type of soccer people actually watch - men.

In the second case, the complete separation of the Boy Scout and Girl Scout organizations in no way protected the Boy Scouts from infiltration by social justice types, homosexuals and eventually girls. By separating from the girl scouts meant that there was no way to exclude budding feminists (i.e. girls who want to pretend they are boys).

==

I don't know what to make of this. Organizational structure appears to be irrelevant - there is no way to protect a formal organization from social justice and the depredations of activist women.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

Toxicnarwale55 • 3 points • 31 July, 2019 03:32 PM

Wtf is that thing

User-31f64a4e[S] • 1 point • 1 August, 2019 10:00 PM

wtf is what thing?

Not sure what you refer to here.

daphOof • -4 points • 31 July, 2019 07:21 AM

Okay but I honestly think that the women let the 14 year old boys beat them. I mean imagine if a normal football team was against 14 year olds, they would let the 14 year olds beat them

Muh-So-Gin-Knee • 3 points • 31 July, 2019 01:13 PM

Of course, that explains everything. If the women play the boys and lose then the women obviously let the boys win. If the women play the boys and win then YASSS! GURLL PWER!! SLAY QUEEN!

Nice heads I win tails you lose situation you got going there.

daphOof • -1 points • 31 July, 2019 01:15 PM

Eh, I don't think people would be extremely proud that a women's football team won to some 14-year-olds, but ok

User-31f64a4e[S] • 1 point • 1 August, 2019 10:05 PM*

They should be - they have prevailed against a superior team!

By the way, this is not just a US thing.

The Newcastle Jets U15 team crushed the Australian women's national team - the "Lady Matildas" - as well.

Look up Karsten Brasch, "a man whose training regime centred around a pack of cigarettes and more than a couple bottles of ice cold lager". When the Williams sisters (Venus and Serena) were ranked 1 and 2 in the world, and he was ranked 203, he played them one after the other in successive sets, winning 6-1 and 6-2.

It was years before anyone in the WNBA dunked in a game - most professional female basketball players can't jump high enough to dunk.

The height of the net in men's volleyball is 7 feet 11 5/8 inches, and in women's volleyball, it is 7 feet 4 1/8 inches.

The women's tees are significantly closer to the pin than the men's tees in golf.

Women are just nowhere near as capable as men.

The only sports with female athletes worth watching are beach volleyball and the like - not for the sports per se, but for the nice toned bodies. I suppose gymnastics appeal to some, but monkey-flips requiring the light weight and flexibility of pre-pubescent females interest very few men. For actual sport, men's high-school or college contests are vastly superior to anything staged by any group of women.

User-31f64a4e[S] • 1 point • 1 August, 2019 10:02 PM

That makes no sense.

Why would they even be playing then?

No, this is what champion female teams always do. The US and Canadian national hockey teams tune up by playing high-school age boys as well.

The fact is, champion women are at the level of boys just in to puberty.