Child support doesn't start at heart beat because you don't spend money to take care of a child while pregnant you dumb cunt

April 23, 2019 | 58 upvotes | by GooseMan126

An interesting idea...

8,539 points•382 comments•submitted 1 year ago by changleosingha to r/TrollXChromosomes



Since abortion is outlawed in 5 states (I believe) at first heartbeat detection, child support should ALSO start at the same time, including required billing of 50% of the medical bills.

I wonder how many mens' opinions would change when they actually had to take responsibility

3:56 AM · 4/21/19 · Twitter for iPhone

Archived from theredarchive.com

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 1 of 5

Comments

```
[deleted] • 19 points • 23 April, 2019 02:26 PM
```

While I believe the whole system of child support is completely and utterly fucked, pre natal care does cost quite a bit of money. Though if it turns out the kid wasn't yours there should definitely be repayment plus damages.

```
InformalCriticism • 5 points • 23 April, 2019 03:48 PM
```

Pretty sure that's covered by health insurance, right?

```
maexx80 • 2 points • 23 April, 2019 03:58 PM yes it is
```

Aro2220 • 4 points • 23 April, 2019 04:24 PM

This is covered by OHIP in Canada.

And if women want a man to care for them while pregnant they should have put a ring on it.

You're on your own, lady!

GooseMan126[S] • 2 points • 23 April, 2019 03:04 PM

Not as much as a born kid

HBenedek38 • 9 points • 23 April, 2019 03:41 PM

I love how she's just going to ignore the fact that men are FORCED to pay child support under ANY circumstance

BTW ask any feminist what she thinks of the idea of financial abortion/paper abortion. They will come up with some mental gymnastics about

"That's different!" (it's not)

"Abortion is legal because of women's bodily autonomy!" (as if you didn't have the right to your OWN property...

"Child support doesn't exist to hurt the father, it's there to help the child" (as if outlawing abortion was to punish or hurt the mother, and NOT to protect the child)

"If men wouldn't pay child support, the child would grow up in poverty!" (if she was clever with choosing a partner and securing her situation financially, she wouldn't have to worry about the child living in poverty)

"Men consent to being fathers by putting their penis inside a woman, they should own up to it!" (even thought the same argument is used by anti-abortion activists regarding women's responsibility).

```
InformalCriticism • 6 points • 23 April, 2019 03:52 PM
```

Yeah, you do a good job of exposing the duplications and hypocritical views they hold. I try to reduce the conversation to its most inflammatory and overly simplified terms.

Women are the only people on the planet who can, legally, either:

Kill babies.

OR

Indenture an unwilling father to their selfish desire to have a child for almost 2 decades.

They have their cake and eat it, too. I'd ask them to choose which one they want more.

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 2 of 5

If they want to kill babies, they can't enslave men. If they want to enslave men, they can't kill babies; equality achieved.

Too bad they don't want equality.

Username1642 • 3 points • 23 April, 2019 06:27 PM

The father has no say in whether the woman gets an abortion or not. It is simply down to her choice and state law. If abortions are legal - which I'm pretty sure is the case in every state - then the woman is *choosing* whether to have the baby or not. This means that it is the woman's choice over whether the man has to pay her for giving birth for 18 years. If it was the man's choice, or the man even had a say, then yes, of course he has to pay for the whole thing. However, a woman could slip something into a man's drink to get him super drunk, sleep with him (which I'm pretty sure is rape), get pregnant, refuse to get an abortion (even if he begged her and offered to pay), and then sue him for child support at the end of it, so he has to pay for all the expenses of *her* child, which she got from raping him. Yep, women are so oppressed.

InformalCriticism • 3 points • 23 April, 2019 06:31 PM

Yeah. Women who lie about being on birth control is probably the most common form of sperm jacking out there, and it's not even somewhat illegal, and probably impossible to prove.

Username1642 • 1 point • 23 April, 2019 06:19 PM

All good except for the last point. You forget that women shouldn't have to be responsible for anything, and that they deserve everything in their life to be perfect in every way, because otherwise it's sexist.

In all seriousness, that argument is potentially invalid in both cases, particularly the child support one, if the man uses protection which fails. Then, he took steps to avoid the pregnancy, and so clearly isn't consenting to be a father.

HBenedek38 • 1 point • 23 April, 2019 06:49 PM*

While you're right, I wouldn't argue this way, because it leads to a problem. Financial abortions should be the right of men whether they WERE irresponsible or not, just like abortion is a right of women up to a certain point, whether she WAS using contraceptive or not.

By placing an extra condition on men for WHY they are justified to ditch the baby, you're essentially GIVING feminists a weapon they can beat you with, by just saying "well, then the man should be obligated to prove that he was using contraceptives!".

This of course leads to another set of hypocritical feminist debates, but generally, the more conditions that have to be met for men to get their right to abort their unwanted child, the more hassle it will be to convince the public that the feminist position is wrong.

Even worse - if you offer this alternative, that is, only menn who used contraception can abort, we WILL end up with a compromise, and the system WILL inevitably be twisted in women's favour.

This is the same thing as with the gun control debate. If you start debating "well, pistols kill more people than rifles" - they will go after the pistols! And at that point, it's not that hard to twist the legislation into taking away the rifles either.

The solution with these lobbyists and feminists is to ALWAYS keep your back straight, and NEVER back down. Never compromise. Any compromise you make is more power for them, and a further dilution of what you actually wanted. Partial solutions are no solutions.

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 3 of 5

Username1642 • 2 points • 23 April, 2019 08:19 PM

I think I should clarify. I was just pointing out the stupidity of that argument. I wasn't compromising on any of the points or anything. I was just saying "that point is particularly dumb". And also doing a bit of satire.

```
HBenedek38 • 1 point • 23 April, 2019 08:20 PM
Ah I see.
```

Aro2220 • 4 points • 23 April, 2019 04:20 PM

This whole problem would be resolved in a year if we just make women responsible for themselves. No women fucks a guy by accident. If she gets man juice in her vagina it's her fault.

Therefore, no more child benefits. You pregnant? Your problem ... Can't afford your child? CAS takes it away and gives it to the Man.

Oooo women would start being much more careful with opening their legs. And the ones that don't would be destroyed and we could leave them to starve to death.

Or you know we can continue to abuse men and make them die until this mgtow movement continues to build until men in general no longer care about protecting women.

And we can replace all those white men with immigrants from countries like afganistan and Somalia who actually do have a rape culture and who actually will abuse the shit out of women.

Boy the future looks bright for feminism.

And I am at the point where I don't care anymore.

```
Undead_Chronic • 1 point • 23 April, 2019 10:05 PM

As a white male, i dont give 2 fucks what happens to white women

Aro2220 • 1 point • 25 April, 2019 01:36 AM

Well that's weird.
```

SophistMonk • 2 points • 23 April, 2019 07:54 PM

Wish someone aborted her before first heart beat.

```
Uranium Donut • 1 point • 23 April, 2019 09:12 PM
```

Honestly, I have nothing against abortion, but the double standard in child support baffles me

```
Ultrcombraun • 1 point • 23 April, 2019 10:01 PM
```

Ah yes, I shall be legally required to pay for 1 half of everything you buy in the next 7 months. MAKES SENSE TO ME

```
activiss • 1 point • 24 April, 2019 12:24 PM
Your body your responsibility
Bryston32q • 1 point • 24 April, 2019 02:29 PM
Fr.... Smh
```

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 4 of 5

hateyoukindly • 0 points • 23 April, 2019 09:41 PM

I mean... you DO spend money while pregnant preparing for when the baby comes. that's the point of baby showers.

Bryston32q • 1 point • 24 April, 2019 02:32 PM

Your not supporting the child. More like herself.

hateyoukindly • 0 points • 24 April, 2019 09:21 PM

that is incorrect. you are supporting the child. it's called a BABY shower

Bryston32q • 1 point • 24 April, 2019 10:37 PM

U don't have to spend money for a baby shower. The women can ask someone for a cake and another for decorations bam then she has baby shower | get crap for the baby . Noice! The man don't have to pay for baby shower or baby before shower | get crap for the baby cost money. Idk why that's so hard to understand. everything about a child is money money money as soon as it's known that it exists.

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 5 of 5