

Feminists think women wreaking havoc and doing as they please makes them Goddesses & brings peace.

May 21, 2020 | 264 upvotes | by [winninglifeagain](#)

<https://i.redd.it/xg070s3yf7051.jpg>

Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://www.theredarchive.com)

Comments

Gordop • 16 points • 22 May, 2020 01:24 AM

Most atrocities throughout history have been committed "in the name of good". Only morons and ideologues think they have a monopoly on morality.

El_Maltos_Username • 3 points • 22 May, 2020 07:50 AM

Whalecum to feminism, my dude.

AbstruseMurmurings • 26 points • 22 May, 2020 03:07 AM

...aaaaand that's why God is not a woman.

F3daykin • 32 points • 21 May, 2020 11:56 PM

To be fair this person is one of those internet (e-whore) nut jobs. Complete with mystical "vibrations" and other bullshit.

Bet she'd do anything to be famous. Just another person seeking validation from strangers for profit.

[deleted] • 5 points • 22 May, 2020 04:25 AM

Idk hestia was pretty pure I think

Combatmedic2-47 • 1 point • 22 May, 2020 11:36 AM

Which is why she was the last Olympian.

Gdrew72 • 6 points • 22 May, 2020 03:40 AM

No, they do shit that would get a man arrested or knocked the fuck out because they know that a pair of tits will let them get away with it. Amazing how they want to be equal or better than a man, but when they get treated like a man would they drop that woman card in a hurry.

iHaveAtinyWoody • 5 points • 22 May, 2020 04:03 AM

Bunch of corny bullshit

snowflakesarestupid • 3 points • 22 May, 2020 10:22 AM

I think she is confusing goddess with a slut.

quarthomon • 5 points • 22 May, 2020 01:53 AM

You're trying too hard to find meaning in her words. They are just gibberish attention-seeking.

adriens • 3 points • 22 May, 2020 06:59 AM

> feeling so impure that the very word offends you

> so megalomaniacal that you identify as a goddess

Lawl

Aragol • 2 points • 22 May, 2020 12:31 PM

Utter morons...

beniesixx98 • 5 points • 22 May, 2020 04:12 AM

I mean there were goddesses like that , not all but some . But that doesn't us as humans to act in such a manner , like ffs just because I believe in certain gods or goddesses doesn't mean I act like them . I never understood that mindset .

EightBitEmi • 2 points • 22 May, 2020 05:48 AM

They aren't anywhere near anything related to any deity whatsoever. They're very clearly human - and very, very flawed indeed. We all are, the only difference is they're willingly ignorant.

TechnoTheFirst • 3 points • 22 May, 2020 06:12 AM

They sound less like "goddesses" and more like justified assholes.

akihonj • 2 points • 22 May, 2020 06:21 AM

She could have just said it's good that I'm a shitty person and being a woman lets me get away with it.

MingSushi • 1 point • 22 May, 2020 08:15 AM

Yeah gods and goddesses create chaos but if all they do is cause chaos, mayhem and ruin people's live, people won't love or support the god.

Take Ares for example, the Greeks hated him because he was so bloodthirsty and most of the stories with him were about his humiliation and he was getting mocked by them and the other Greek gods.

backwardrollypolly • 1 point • 22 May, 2020 10:43 AM

This is just bastardised jungian theory.... Not sure you can use this as a blanket classification

akihonj • 1 point • 22 May, 2020 11:52 AM

I think you can if she's ever claimed the feminist label and doesn't get called out for shitty thinking by others. It might be a damning indication of course but many, in fact too many feminists run around claiming to have all the answers, usually everything gets solved with tea and hugs and agreement that men are evil and should be killed, but reject the idea that they should police their own house first.

Pot meet kettle, then.

backwardrollypolly • 1 point • 22 May, 2020 12:14 PM

Your classification of feminism is wrong. This sub is anti third wave feminism, aka rad-fem or neo-feminism. Feminism as a whole is a movement dedicated to equality between sexes. The problem is in recent years the term has been militarised by Third wave feminists. Hence the creation of this subreddit. Don't espouse incel like ideology because it ruins any credibility that this sub has.

This woman agreeably is bat-shit crazy but she seems to be pursuing this from a commercial avenue. Crazy and exploitative maybe? But not a good example of radical feminism.

akihonj • 1 point • 22 May, 2020 12:30 PM

So your best argument to counter my view is to go straight to, you're an incel. And your expectations now that you will be taken seriously.

What seems to be upsetting you more is that feminists have been called out on their bullshit and you now again want to move the goalposts so that you aren't lumped into a group that advocated for blowing up the Whitehouse, screamed oppression while expecting protection from males.

The trouble here is that you've taken what I've said and tried to lump me into an incel propaganda group, doing exactly what your asking me to not do.

So sauce for the Goode is certainly sauce for the gander or do you not want to be treated equally.

backwardrollypolly • 1 point • 22 May, 2020 12:46 PM

Well I didn't identify you as an incel. I identified you as misidentifying feminism as a movement. Which is a hallmark of incel ideology. There was no personal attack in the entirety of my statement.

If you choose not to listen to reason that's your prerogative. However, if you step aside from victimising yourself and take my points from an objective standpoint.

This woman in the post in no way associates with extreme feminism. The majority of women, regardless of your own skewed personal view will statistically not fall on the extreme of any viewpoint.

Look at the facts, why do you think that the majority of extreme posts are taken from the a small group of social media accounts that aren't given a national or international platform...

You profess a desire for equality, yet your own views are already so blinkered that you cannot debate without regressing to victimhood.

Some advice, widen your reading pool, learn to look at discussion objectively and gain some self confidence.

akihonj • 1 point • 22 May, 2020 12:55 PM

And I said if she ever has done that, then the generalisation applies. Sadly though it can also apply to any and every woman because as your claiming, reading between the lines here, feminists want equality for every woman so by extension represent every woman, or is that wrong and they only represent themselves.

Don't get upset at me because you think you have found an easy mark here, you haven't and I'll happily argue the hypocrisy of feminists all day long.

Your turn

akihonj • 1 point • 22 May, 2020 12:57 PM

Furthermore everything I know about feminism I've learned from feminists.

Or are you going to say that Sally miller Gerhardt isn't a feminist, Simon debouviour isn't a feminist, Andrea Dworkin isn't a feminist.

backwardrollypolly • 1 point • 22 May, 2020 01:00 PM

If I want equal rights that automatically means that I share the same view as women the world over? Please explain *exactly* how that makes sense.

Why is your viewpoint so skewed? You shouldn't want to endlessly argue anything, it highlights that arguing with you is as useless as arguing to a brick wall? If your position is so intransigent then why even bother debating?

akihonj • 1 point • 22 May, 2020 01:07 PM

No it doesn't mean that and you know that's not true so don't play that game, it means being treated the same and if your going to play being a shit head you get called a shit head, you know how this goes.

It also means that if your going to try to claim authority to speak for a group of people then you either police your own group or you stop speaking up for them, you can't have a group claiming victim status and showing why they earned it.

Here the thing that I find with feminists though, and correct me if I'm wrong here but why is it ok to point the finger at all men when it suits and when it suits deny any responsibility for your own actions.

Why is it always a game of moving goal posts, why is holding up slave advocates and genocidal advocates and terrorist sympathisers when they say something you agree with and then when getting called out for it you will happily throw them under the bus by denying that they said something, or denying that they are feminists, why for example are these things, god feminists when they say something you agree with, but not even feminists when they say something you get called out on, if in case you disagree try reading through the Wikipedia page for Gerhardt, Dworkin. Just two examples.

Yes you are getting called out for it, for your part in their behaviour. I hold you responsible. As should everyone. You are tarred with the same brush and you've earned your place on the fire with the rest of them.

backwardrollypolly • 1 point • 22 May, 2020 01:28 PM

I'm not denying that these people are *feminists* but pointing out that feminism is a broad umbrella. For example, use conservatism, Ronald Reagan was a conservative and so was Benito Mussolini. Should they be viewed in the same way?

You seem to be bringing in events outside the argument to facilitate your points but it's just confusing your views.

There is a difference between moving goalposts and seeing shades of grey. Have you ever heard of the phrase that there is no such thing as black and white? If you see the world in such binary terms you will limit yourself.

akihonj • 1 point • 22 May, 2020 02:07 PM

Ah another goal post shifting exercise, can't call us out because we don't say what they say. I wonder given your comparison to Regan and Mussolini, then you realise that one was a consecutive and the other was a facist, actually far left socialist not at all conservative, if you're going to try to conflate one thing with another at least move your goal posts in the right direction.

Secondly, there is no shades of grey when you along yourself to a particular movement or group, all your doing there is to, playing an analogy and you went to Mussolini first, excusing Nazism because not everyone hates Jews. Sorry but it didn't work then and isn't going to work now.

There is no confusion of views here, my views are solid and unchanging, everything that I've learned about feminism exists because of feminists, as far as I'm concerned you're wasting your breath here, for what purpose I can't understand, do you seriously think that you're going to change my view that feminists are toads, the kind of child murdering cretins who think nothing of abuse and murder as long it furthers their own agenda and as long nobody will ever find out then it's ok.

Women everywhere demand that men change to suit them and complain about those men when they have changed, women everywhere demand equal treatment and can't cope with being treated equally, when everywhere expect both to be treated as an equal and as a special case. You can thank Amy Yeung for proving that by the way.

So unless you've actually got something worth saying, don't think that you have to speak up just because, your views are no more important than mine meaning I will disregard anything you say because you of zero importance to me

Why not instead put forward a few quotes by feminists, to see if it can't be argued against, to change my views. Why not put forward some facts that I can't argue with, like I don't know, the number of women who murder their own child compared to the number of men.

What about the numbers of women involved in supply of child sex slaves.

What about the number of women who are responsible for starting a domestic incident.

The numbers of women found having sex, otherwise called rape, with underage boys, and why that number is increasing year on year.

Instead what you'll do is now avoid any and all of these points and try to play games, what you'll get pissed about though is that each time you do I'll come back to them and you'll just look more and more foolish.

So unless you have something of worth to say then maybe don't bother saying anything.

Charmingaxelotl • 0 points • 22 May, 2020 06:27 AM

Hamster spinning hard