

# Wtf?

March 20, 2020 | 306 upvotes | by [QS26](#)



Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://www.theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

VestigialHead • 115 points • 20 March, 2020 04:55 AM

Hmm okay. So any women can walk around with a skirt so short we can see her panties and her tits showing and everything is fine.

Nothing slutty about it at all. Even though the ONLY reason she is dressed that way is to get potential sex partners to notice her. Which sort of does have a ring of sexuality and sluttiness about it.

Will these same femtards be okay with me attending their rallies with my cock out in some assless chaps? No feminists I am not innapropriately dressed - you are thinking like a rapist.

QS26[S] • 29 points • 20 March, 2020 04:56 AM

□□□□□□□□

Terra\_Ferrum • 27 points • 20 March, 2020 10:11 AM

And also it's one sided. If a man wears something revealing he is disgusting and just trying to make women uncomfortable.

GiveMeTheBroccoli • 15 points • 20 March, 2020 01:11 PM

Double standards double standards, also why can men wear skirts anymore? I want to move to Scotland

Lickiecat • 7 points • 20 March, 2020 02:16 PM

Nobody does that here unless it is a wedding sadly.

pandolfio • 5 points • 20 March, 2020 02:42 PM

If a girl has a short skirt and you're looking at it, you're a perv

If you're a guy and your balls are showing under a short, you're a perv

Head I win, tail you lose

VestigialHead • 3 points • 20 March, 2020 02:45 PM

Hahaha nice. The crazy thing is there are some people that actually think like that.

pandolfio • 6 points • 20 March, 2020 03:57 PM

All of feminism is based on the idea that women can have the cake and eat it. That they can have rights without responsibilities.

Well, if you have the right to show off your boobs in order to attract the best mate, then don't be surprised that people who are not the best mate are going to look at them as well.

And to make things worse, it's despicable how a woman will disparage (undesirable) men looking at her, for being thirsty dogs, taking a moral high stance. When in reality, the only thing that, in their minds, makes it immoral for a man to stare at her, is the fact that the man is not deemed attractive enough for her.

VestigialHead • 1 point • 20 March, 2020 11:28 PM

Yes it is incredibly hypocritical. For people that seem to think they are the smarter sex they certainly have some massive holes in their understanding of rationality and logic.

[deleted] 20 March, 2020 05:32 AM

[deleted]

VestigialHead • 24 points • 20 March, 2020 06:05 AM

I have no issues with anyone walking around in a slutty outfit. Especially when they are young and looking for sex and not long term relationships. I just get annoyed when feminists claim that you are not bringing unwanted attention to yourself when you do so.

Because dressing provocatively will not only attract the people you want to sleep with - it will attract unwanted people as well. Some of whom are criminals and rapists.

So yes you are right - it is idiotic for feminists to complain about people objectifying them when they deliberately go out of their way to stand out and be objectified. They seem to want their cake and eat it too.

CustardKarim • 1 point • 23 March, 2020 07:37 PM

You really think the only reason they wear shorter clothing is to find a sexual partner and her clothing determines her consent? You really are thinking like a rapist

VestigialHead • 2 points • 24 March, 2020 03:25 AM

Yes. People wear slutty or shorter clothing for the express purpose of getting attention. That attention is either to attract potential mates or to make them feel better because people they find attractive are looking at them. Even if they are married they are still wanting the attention - just less likely to turn the attention into sexual actions.

Why do you think throughout history people have pushed their wives and daughters to wear long covering clothing? It is for the exact opposite reason. Clothing that covers up leads to less sexual attention. This is basic common sense.

I get that in our current social climate it is no longer considered okay to suggest a women or a man cover up like in the past. I have no issues with that. Women can wear whatever they want. They just cannot wear whatever they want and also think they are not effecting what happens to them.

I am not saying they are making the rape happen. I am saying they are pointing themselves out as a more likely potential victim by displaying their skin.

CustardKarim • 1 point • 24 March, 2020 01:38 PM

It's not their fault. They don't get raped because of their clothing. They get raped because rapists rape.

VestigialHead • 1 point • 24 March, 2020 01:39 PM

I never said that rape is not caused by rapists. I am simply saying that they are making themselves more likely targets by what they wear. Which I have repeated over and over again. Did you not read the chains of replies here?

CustardKarim • 1 point • 24 March, 2020 01:45 PM

Well then the problem shouldn't be that women wear skimpy clothing. The problem should be that rapists are out and about and usually don't suffer consequences.

VestigialHead • 1 point • 24 March, 2020 02:03 PM

Nope. One problem is that women wear skimpy outfits but do not want to accept that they

are increasing the chances of unwanted sexual attention. This is just stupidity.

The other problem - which is certainly a bigger issue is that people rape other people. The majority certainly do face consequences - but these consequences do not in any way prevent people from raping. So if we can find a way to stop people raping that would be incredible.

BUT even if there were no rapes people still need to accept that their own behaviour can increase the chances of bad things happening to them. So skimpy clothing would still bring about unwanted sexual attention even if rape never happened again.

CustardKarim • 1 point • 24 March, 2020 02:24 PM

WHYYY are we blaming the victims. The problem is that from the get-go, wearing the clothes they want will result in getting raped.

VestigialHead • 1 point • 24 March, 2020 02:35 PM

Did you even read one word I wrote?

I was specifically blaming the rapists.

But just because the rapists are at fault does not mean the victims where not also at fault. They increased the odds of themselves being raped.

How is this difficult for you to understand? it is a very simple concept.

If you walk down a dark alley in a known dodgy area of town making heaps of noise and waving the cash you just won at the casino around do you really think you have not encouraged people to rob you?

Even though the robber is at fault for bashing you over the head and taking your cash are you not also at fault for advertising you have wealth in an area known for robberies?

I just cannot understand this desire from feminists to remove all responsibility for any of their actions and put it all on someone else.

CustardKarim • 1 point • 24 March, 2020 07:36 PM

Yes but the problem that I'M trying to communicate is that wearing skimpy clothing empowers rapists or increases your chances at all

throwaway696969596 • 25 points • 20 March, 2020 06:13 AM

Ok so they're saying that most rapists are women since women mostly slut-shame other women more than men?

QS26[S] • 3 points • 20 March, 2020 06:14 AM

No

throwaway696969596 • 7 points • 20 March, 2020 06:14 AM

It's a joke

QS26[S] • 5 points • 20 March, 2020 07:07 AM

No it's not I got this from a feminist page.

throwaway69696969596 • 8 points • 20 March, 2020 07:37 AM

I meant what I did was a joke

QS26[S] • 3 points • 20 March, 2020 07:38 AM

Oh of course

Terminal-Psychosis • 2 points • 20 March, 2020 02:21 PM

Poe's Law at work.

throwaway69696969596 • 3 points • 20 March, 2020 07:37 AM

I've seen that phrase before

the-unflattering-6 • 11 points • 20 March, 2020 10:07 AM

She looks like Jim Halpert from The Office. Literally no one thinks that.

QS26[S] • 4 points • 20 March, 2020 01:13 PM

You be surprised I talked to this SIMP who agreed with this statement.

seatbelt-malfunction • 2 points • 20 March, 2020 09:55 PM

Holy shit i said the same thing LOLLOL

PrometheusMadLad • 1 point • 20 March, 2020 09:25 PM

holy shit that's really accurate

Bazinga212002 • 11 points • 20 March, 2020 07:37 AM

Guys, we have to f-ing understand ! Just because she is making almost-naked videos of herself twerking and posting it on social media to attract thirsty simps and despos she is NOT a slut

qemist • 3 points • 20 March, 2020 08:00 AM

You're not supposed to talk about how sexualizing so much women's clothing is.

Pugwhisper • 6 points • 20 March, 2020 08:23 AM

Time to get the speedo out

baronmad • 5 points • 20 March, 2020 03:10 PM

"Officer officer officer, a women is being gang raped in that alley over there"

"Im not dressed as a police officer, you're just thinking i am"

Lickiecat • 4 points • 20 March, 2020 02:14 PM

I'm not dressed like lion food. I just like to put meat all over my body and walk through the cage, it is so empowering to watch the lions try to resist my deliciousness.

When is the next annual dress to make rapists think walk?

Terminal-Psychosis • 6 points • 20 March, 2020 02:27 PM

The silly thing is, everyone knows that walking down a dangerous street at night in a business suit is basically attempted suicide.

Denying personal responsibility is a major tactic of the rad-fem cult, and it gets people hurt.

They are more happy about rape numbers than actually keeping women (they don't care about men) safe.

GiveMeTheBroccoli • 3 points • 20 March, 2020 01:13 PM

Just because she is starting strip in bed with you, it does not mean she is wanting sex, rapist simp

Terminal-Psychosis • 3 points • 20 March, 2020 02:15 PM\*

He is not dressed like a wealthy business man,  
you're just thinking like a gold digger.

These people have zero ability for self-reflection.

Girls that listen to this tripe get hurt. Rad-fem cult don't care.

casteliacitysax • 2 points • 20 March, 2020 01:24 PM

Ah yes, the same retarded argument from these freaks. She is dressing slutty.

JETS\_WPG • 2 points • 20 March, 2020 01:24 PM

Pretty sure that's a dude in the pic!!

DrPVM • 2 points • 20 March, 2020 02:25 PM

*She* looks like a *he*

omawesomeness13 • 2 points • 20 March, 2020 08:18 PM

Why does that person look the like John Krazinski if he decided to be a drag queen?

KindPrecise • 2 points • 21 March, 2020 12:40 AM

I think it's positive they've used a man in the picture, to highlight this issue

QS26[S] • 1 point • 21 March, 2020 02:05 AM

□□□□□□□

HungryBrain1 • 2 points • 21 March, 2020 03:47 AM

Nah. She's likely dressed like a slut desperate for attention, drama, and the opportunity to claim she's a victim.

QS26[S] • 2 points • 21 March, 2020 05:08 AM

Exactly

seatbelt-malfunction • 1 point • 20 March, 2020 09:54 PM

I'm thinking like she looks like Jim Halpert in drag.

QS26[S] • 1 point • 21 March, 2020 02:11 AM

That analogy is perfect

Sakura-Kaiba • 1 point • 21 March, 2020 02:53 AM

where is the original post? I want to troll this bitch so hard.

QS26[S] • 1 point • 21 March, 2020 05:09 AM

It's on Instagram the account is @feminist

GiveMeTheBroccoli • 1 point • 29 March, 2020 01:21 AM

Her hair looks like pudding

unsurelife83 • -23 points • 20 March, 2020 04:38 AM

It's correct though, right? I mean, the invite should come from the woman, not her dress.

QS26[S] • 8 points • 20 March, 2020 04:53 AM

☐☐♂☐ OMG you don't get it.

unsurelife83 • 3 points • 20 March, 2020 04:55 AM

Oh! Sorry. May be I am not understanding this post.

QS26[S] • 2 points • 20 March, 2020 04:56 AM

Are you trolling?

unsurelife83 • 3 points • 20 March, 2020 04:59 AM

No no.. I'm not. As I mentioned, may be I'm not getting the gist of the post.

QS26[S] • 4 points • 20 March, 2020 05:01 AM

The fact that they say someone thinks like a rapist for this is ridiculous.

unsurelife83 • 3 points • 20 March, 2020 05:03 AM

So isn't the post gist "dressing provocatively is not an invite to rape"? My comment above was based off on that. My apologies if I misunderstood.

QS26[S] • 8 points • 20 March, 2020 05:04 AM

No ☐☐♂☐ the gist is saying that if you think a woman is dressed like a slut it means that you think like a rapist which makes no sense.

unsurelife83 • 4 points • 20 March, 2020 05:10 AM

Oh.. yeah it doesn't make sense.. thank you.

VestigialHead • 1 point • 20 March, 2020 04:56 AM

You are being sarcastic right?

unsurelife83 • 3 points • 20 March, 2020 04:58 AM

No, I am genuinely not understanding here. My assumption about the post was "dressing provocatively is not an invite for rape". May be I misunderstand the post.

VestigialHead • -2 points • 20 March, 2020 05:03 AM

Ahh so you are a feminist trolling the site. Got it.

unsurelife83 • 4 points • 20 March, 2020 05:08 AM

I can't help it if you think I'm a troll. I have seen similar pictures before and they all had the same message "provocative dressing is not an invite to rape". I'm come from a country where rapes crimes are pretty high in numbers. In most cases, the criminals reasoning to rape was "she was dressed provocatively". So it's become a PSA of some sort to say dressing shouldn't be a reason for rapes.

VestigialHead • 1 point • 20 March, 2020 05:14 AM

Except that it is a certain fact that dressing provocatively WILL elicit a person unwanted sexual attention. So it IS increasing the chance of a rape. No-one is saying that a person dressing provocatively should be raped. No-one should ever be raped. But the fact is there are many criminals who do rape. They are more likely to target someone if they dress provocatively.

So the PSA is absolute bullshit. It is simply feminists not wanting to shoulder any responsibility for bad things that happen to them.

BlatantNapping • 2 points • 20 March, 2020 12:31 PM

If you encourage one woman not to dress provocatively to avoid being raped, you're just saying "make sure the rapist rapes someone else." Ok, let's say all the "slutty" women stop dressing "slutty." Who are the rapists raping now?

Why do you seem to care more about women dressing slutty than addressing "the fact" that there are criminals who rape?

VestigialHead • 1 point • 20 March, 2020 12:56 PM

What the fuck are you talking about? At no time have I said that it was okay for someone to rape someone.

If you know of a way to prevent criminals raping people then I am all ears. most of the world would like to hear your solution.

As I see it there is NO WAY TO PREVENT people raping. They have mental conditions that mean they cannot filter out their desires and have to act on them.

I do NOT care whether women dress slutty or not - completely their choice. BUT I do care if they then claim that their wardrobe choices and actions had no effect on those around them which includes rapists. Because feminists have been trying desperately to deflect all responsibility away from women and place it onto men.

They claim women are raped if a man and women both drink and get drunk and then have sex. To them this means the women could not consent. But for some incredible reason this does not also mean the man could not consent. It seems only a women needs to give consent. Nice equality.

So because of this aggressive attempt by the third and fourth wave feminists to deflect all responsibility, men have to fight back to gain equality again. Something men have not had for decades now.

BlatantNapping • 2 points • 20 March, 2020 01:19 PM

There's a lot here you're talking about so:

1) The idea that dressing provocatively leads to rape. If you say there is no way to

prevent rapists from raping, then clearly not dressing "slutty" is not a way to avoid being raped, and there would not be less rape if women dressed to your standards of modesty. So you're just enforcing an arbitrary standard on women based on your personal feelings.

Which is kind of the definition of slut shaming and, ironically, what the OP was about. You're assuming that if a woman dresses the way you think is slutty, she's more likely to be raped. You are putting yourself in the position of the way you think a rapist thinks, and judging women's dress accordingly.

2) Feminists are trying to deflect responsibility away from women and onto men. The feminists I know are not into blaming genders, they're blaming societal norms. For instance, idk if you're a man or a woman. A feminist would take issue with your statements regardless. There are also many aspects of the way men are treated in society that fall under the umbrella of feminism, and are enforced by both women and men. See penis shaming or "boys don't cry" type of thoughts.

3) Intoxication and consent, in feminist circles, is not gender based. Drunk people should not be hooking up because of concerns about consent anyway, but it doesn't matter who is taking advantage of whom. When one A is more intoxicated than B and A is the sexual aggressor, it's assault because B is not in a position to give consent. Men are often victims of sexual assault while under the influence.

This is all really hard to prove in court. Most rapes are. But that doesn't mean that anyone thinks men cannot be victims or that consent does not apply to them, too.


XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

It gets hard to have a discussion when someone bounces around topics like this. It feels often like people do that on purpose to throw out a bunch of unsupported statements to distract from the fact that they're unable to support their original point.

VestigialHead • 1 point • 20 March, 2020 01:30 PM

1. I am not saying that if women do not dress provocatively that there will be less rape. I am saying that if a criminal goes out into the city with the desire to rape then a woman who is dressed provocatively is a much more likely target. So slutty dressing WILL increase the odds of a particular woman being raped - as simple as that.
2. As for the consent when drunk thing - I personally think the entire thing is absolutely ridiculous - every adult can and does give consent when drunk.

But the issue is with the inequality in this area. There are several cases on universities where a man and woman who already like each other and have spoken about having sex went to a bar and got drunk - both were drunk. They then went to a dorm room and had sex. The man is then accused with rape. The woman is NOT accused with rape. This has happened several times. So even though you seem to be claiming that both partners are equally to blame - that is not what is happening in real life. So yes there are many prominent professors and university students



that DO think only women need to give consent.