

The "Insatiable Alpha Window"

July 15, 2020 | 587 upvotes | by [redpillschool](#)

Edit: Damn autocorrect. Title should say "Widow" not "window"

I posted this as an answer to a comment elsewhere but I don't know if I've ever written about it. The "Insatiable Alpha Widow"

The reason you want to avoid women with high body counts, and older (always single) women.

The higher the body count, the more likely she's alpha widowed (i.e. all subsequent partners are overshadowed by 'the one' who broke her tingle meter).

But, as the number grows and she ages, she reaches a state I call the "Insatiable Alpha Widow." Like an alpha widow on steroids.

So here's the theory:

Women tend to be hypergamous, that is, their goal is to get the highest quality partners they can. The easiest way to measure "higher quality" is by comparing mates to each other.

First guy makes a little cash, drives a beater. Second guy drives a Bugatti. Second guy wins.

First guy is strong, second guy has abs. Second guy wins.

First guy has a good sense of humor, second guy is locally famous and everybody knows him. Second guy wins.

And so on.

Women like men who are better than other men. This should not be a surprise to you.

Well, over the years, women might date a lot of guys. Some buff, some rich, some charming, etc.

Eventually over time, she will start comparing new men, not to a single previous partner, but instead, to all partners.

She dated a rich guy, a funny guy, a strong guy, and now if you don't compete in all 3 categories, then she thinks she can do better. And she has done better... just not in one guy.

But for her, that doesn't matter. She is now subconsciously comparing you to multiple guys and will be disappointed when you don't rock her the way each one did.

The strong guy was better at sex. The funny guy had a better sense of humor, etc.

She has been alpha widowed. But not by one guy. By all the guys.

"Insatiable Alpha Widow"

This leads to the thousand-cock stare, but is also why women in their late 30s+ tend to be more independent and less likely to settle down (unless it's to a beta bucks). They can no longer get the tingles from any particular guy except maybe a super hero but her chances to land one diminish as she speeds well past the wall.

Eventually, at a particular age, she will either settle into an unhappy marriage, or rationalize why she's happier alone.

But she will never be truly happy with any mere mortal again.

Archived from [theredarchive.com](#)

Comments

AutoModerator[M] [score hidden] 15 July, 2020 02:40 PM stickied comment

Why are we quarantined? The admin don't want you to know.

Register on our backup site: <https://www.trp.red> and reserve your reddit name today.

I am a bot, and this action was performed automatically. Please contact the moderators of this subreddit if you have any questions or concerns.

[deleted] • 501 points • 15 July, 2020 03:39 PM

In summary: The Insatiable Alpha Widow has built a frankenchad in her mind from pieces of all the chads she's been with.

dirks74 • 215 points • 15 July, 2020 04:01 PM

And her creation will ultimately kill her

ShinjiBoi • 67 points • 15 July, 2020 04:51 PM

lollll that was the funniest thing I've seen all week, I don't know why

lefty929 • 132 points • 15 July, 2020 04:34 PM

What a perfect description and use of the word Franken Chad. The internet never ceases to amaze

urbana1 • 38 points • 15 July, 2020 06:49 PM

Frankenchad and his antithesis Frankensimp (made up of all the simpiest of simpy sims)

slappysq • 116 points • 15 July, 2020 05:14 PM

I nominate "FrankenChad" for the official RP lexicon

trwawyrnd • 28 points • 15 July, 2020 10:42 PM

FrankenChad

put that in the sidebar mods!

[deleted] • 42 points • 15 July, 2020 06:02 PM

Frankenchad

This has to become a thing!

[deleted] 15 July, 2020 06:21 PM

[deleted]

monsieurhire2 • 25 points • 15 July, 2020 07:49 PM

Ha, you know, it's funny, but part of the reason I'm single was the never-ending quest to BECOME the Franken Chad, meaning I wanted to become good at everything, so therefore, I could never be dumped...

lololol.... so dumb...

But just look at what happens in Hollywood. Why, you could be Frankenchad, but then, a better Frankenchad exists, and another, and another... Yep, there's just no such thing as a risk-free absolute-victory life.

slavicslothe • 2 points • 16 July, 2020 07:21 AM

Only one person can be the best at a thing, and being the best is usually subjective. You only have to be competent to not be an incel. What is the point in mindfucking yourself like this? You all would legitimately be better off shooting your own kneecaps than subscribing to this embarrassing ideology.

monsieurhire2 • 4 points • 16 July, 2020 07:58 AM

Yep. It's dumb. Also, at the end of the day, if the person doesn't like you for your flaws as well as your strengths, then there's no point, because you'll be putting an act on for the rest of your life while being miserable inside.

But I grew up in an environment that was VERY gynocentric and emasculating, with very little male guidance. So I had no clue what I was doing, and this was an example of overcompensating.

RPOpenUp • 9 points • 15 July, 2020 08:43 PM

Frankenchad. damn you killed me man. you legitimately did

pexalol • 1 point • 23 July, 2020 01:50 PM

You guys forgot one thing though, Frankenstein was the doctor's name, not the monster's.

praguetologist • 8 points • 15 July, 2020 04:11 PM

LMAO

pianovirgin69 • 3 points • 17 July, 2020 07:02 AM*

Someone give this an award.

holybranchez • 182 points • 15 July, 2020 03:19 PM*

Solid hypothesis.

Dated a girl a while back.

Knew 3 guys she dated prior.

She told me.

And I knew them personally.

1st guy was a lot like me, cool, calm, collected and all that stuff.

2nd guy was not so much. Except he was AMBITIOUS; started a tech company at 22.

3rd guy was a musician

FROM MY EXPERIENCE...

1. She never once suggested I do anything like the 1st guy.
2. She occasionally shit tested me with "where do you see yourself" questions. Did it have anything to do with the 2nd guy? Probably stayed put because I had a scholarship.

3. She stupidly asks me to "sing" for her from time to time when we in bed. Am I a musician?

kingbossdj • 22 points • 15 July, 2020 07:56 PM

Wow. This is very interesting how you had first-hand experience like this.

babybopp • 4 points • 16 July, 2020 01:22 PM

Look at a woman like Halle berry or j lo... never have enough..

monsieurhire2 • 18 points • 15 July, 2020 07:52 PM

Regarding #3, you just GOTTA quote the Showgirls line about going to see Caesar sing, and then we all sing.... lololol... I mean, "baby, what do you mean, I just sang to you, in fact, we performed a duet!"

Giant-__-Otter • 1 point • 16 July, 2020 10:03 AM

I'd do my worse singing Nessun Dorma.

[deleted] 15 July, 2020 04:11 PM

[removed]

Darkbrotherhood1 • 12 points • 15 July, 2020 06:25 PM

aka the light switch effect

arakouzo • 78 points • 15 July, 2020 06:31 PM

The light switch effect is something a little different.

The light switch happens when today, she thinks you are amazing. She says she wants to spend the rest of her life with you. She's the happiest she's ever been.

Then tomorrow, the new guy at work hits on her and she can't stop thinking about him and subconsciously begins to feel trapped.

Two days from now, she's picking fights with you, acting bitchy, things she used to like about you she now finds annoying, and she's not in the mood for sex.

Three days from now she's dumping you and says that she hasn't been happy for months. The fact that three days ago she thought you were amazing doesn't even cross her mind. In that moment, she literally feels like she hasn't been happy for months.

Not only did her attraction to you turn off like a light switch. Her entire history of attraction to you vanished, too.

peterquill69 • 21 points • 15 July, 2020 10:34 PM

Lol, if I didnt know any better, I would say you were there when it happened to me #realtruth

Darkbrotherhood1 • 12 points • 15 July, 2020 06:33 PM

i was trying to allude to the similarities between what you said and the light switch effect, but i may have communicated that intent poorly. your definition is spot on

KarmaKill23 • 102 points • 15 July, 2020 03:03 PM

This is very VERY real and I thank you for naming it.

deleted comment talking about whether men should care what women think

women in their late 30s+ tend to be more independent and less likely to settle down (unless it's to a beta bucks). They can no longer get the tingles from any particular guy except maybe a super hero but her chances to land one diminish as she speeds well past the wall.

The fact that you can put your dick in her doesn't mean she doesn't have an agenda. The older she is, the closer to the Wall, the more desperate she is, especially if all her friends are getting married and she only gets pumped and dumped.

The point is not to care about what she thinks of you as a potential ONS dick, the point is to care whether she has plans for you that you aren't privy to, like for example baby trapping you or her suddenly finding god again and pretending to be a good girl you're looking for to settle with.

whatsthisgarg • 28 points • 15 July, 2020 04:49 PM

deleted comment talking about whether men should care what women think

LOL exactly the summation of this post, and actually a lot of posts here. Who cares what women think? Are you getting what you want or not?

women in their late 30s+

wtf? Is anyone here interested in this demographic?

[deleted] • 24 points • 15 July, 2020 07:18 PM

When I am 70 years old and still benching 1.5x my weight and wearing same size jeans as my grandkids, I'll be smashing late 30s women and loving it

all_abt_the_trip • 13 points • 15 July, 2020 07:31 PM

age divided by 2, plus 7 almost always works.....even at that age

Trollz4fun • 7 points • 15 July, 2020 10:02 PM

With a double dose of dick pills downed with Whey Protein.

[deleted] • 8 points • 15 July, 2020 10:55 PM

better add some bourbon to that whey protein shake

JamesSkepp • 12 points • 15 July, 2020 05:22 PM

wtf? Is anyone here interested in this demographic?

Are you getting what you want or not?

I assume there are 2 kinds of TRP-ers. The long-time readers who know the core ideas and lurkers who just happened to pass by. For the second category it's beneficial to state something that is obvious for the first category.

TheUnReasonableMan44 • 58 points • 15 July, 2020 03:23 PM

One of the biggest issues is finding a woman past the age of 19/20 in today's world who isn't already riding the CC.

It's not impossible... but for the vast majority of guys out there... they won't have the status or game level to be able to land a quality girl who isn't used up. Those guys will make concessions to their standards and expectations... because, "She's (good enough, fucks me, vibes with me, whatever bs reason they make up)"

Frankly, my thought process with any woman is that I am the best option she is ever going to have. It's probably not always true... but IDGAF, I'm gonna act like it. I think this attracts a different quality of chic.

Be the Alpha that widows chics.

RStonePT • 32 points • 15 July, 2020 04:20 PM

Chicks fuck, if you're looking for your virginal girl who kept it in her pants from 13 - 22 you're basically looking for a socially stunted girl today...

Great, she's not alpha widowed, but she's been so fucked up by her religious (usually) parents and pastor that she thinks sex is evil and won't fuck you either.

Riding the CC can be fine, or not. Base your decision on how she acts with you. Is she invested? Is she not? Because whether she's had 3 dicks or 30 it's not going to matter if you're getting a girls best.

And if you're not, then why are you sticking around?

TheUnReasonableMan44 • 36 points • 15 July, 2020 04:34 PM

I agree. I don't think the goal is to get a 'virginal girl.'

But I'm not going to wife/ltr a girl up whose had 30 dicks in her by the age of 22. You can find women who actually understand and know their value as a woman and therefore don't fuck every guy they are with.

These girls will usually come from homes with strong father figures and mothers who taught them not to give shit away for free. I've met a few in my time. They had good families. They didn't use social media. They had some education but knew they wanted a family and felt that sleeping around a bunch wasn't the way to accomplish that goal.

They aren't unicorns... but they are harder to find in todays society.

RStonePT • 4 points • 15 July, 2020 04:58 PM

I guess if you're able to meet your specific criteria by the higher value you deliver, then giver.

I've never seen value in the guys who deal with checklists as a surrogate to the observable behaviour right under their nose. Resume's lie, but actions never do

TheUnReasonableMan44 • 12 points • 15 July, 2020 05:10 PM

I have checklists... but they are related to her actions.

Something I've learned in CorporateLand is that resume's are bullshit. How you act when put to task is a much better indicator of success than what your resume says.

But at the same time, if you have the right skills and qualifications on the resume you get moved to the top of the pile for interviews. I've never hired someone based on their resume, but I've certainly moved them to the front of the line because of it.

Selecting for a LTR should very much be run like a job interview process. But too many dudes think with their dick rather than their brain.

yomo86 • 5 points • 15 July, 2020 08:16 PM*

It's more an assumption, a rule of thumb, the assumption that any attractive woman past 14 has been

fucked by half the city's Chads and Tyrones and is thus an emotional addicted whore is like the assumption of innocence... until proven otherwise

RStonePT • 6 points • 15 July, 2020 08:19 PM

I'm with you, my rule has always been to assume they have 2x my noth count, it sorts out any of the insecurity and cuts to the heart of it.

Either I have enough of a notch I dont care, or I shouldn't be with this woman long term. Either Shes acting right or she isn't. I don't have to deflect my own wants because of some arbitrary rule of cocks.

THOT_Analytica • 8 points • 15 July, 2020 05:14 PM*

Is there any way to put the female sexual genie back into her bottle? The suggestion of reviving socially-imposed monogamy has been met with derision. Jordan Peterson never credibly answered the podcast host Joe Rogan's simple question: How do you reconcile free market neo-liberalism with limited sexual freedom? One suggestion would be to discourage coeds from having sex with any male who "outranks" them on "Hot or Not." Just as a mother discourages her child from developing a taste for sweets, so middling coeds could avoid a taste for the Chads. And yet, this idea flies in the face of our present zeitgeist which asymmetrically acknowledges only male mate value. Environmentalists should see the silver lining in our present situation, as birth rates should continue to drop and our only descendants will be the offspring of the "hottest" late 30s men and late 20s women.

TheMailmanic • 15 points • 15 July, 2020 03:58 PM

Nah they exist but you have to go to traditional developing countries And speak the local language to have access to them. If you go to Asia and only speak English you're gonna get the foreigner worshipping sluts not the top shelf pussy

RStonePT • 14 points • 15 July, 2020 04:20 PM

Sure and if you pay a dowry (read, escort fee) to her parents she may let you fuck her too.

Project_Zero_Betas • 5 points • 15 July, 2020 05:53 PM

dowry (read, escort fee)

Lol good way of putting what a dowry really is

THOT_Analytica • 4 points • 15 July, 2020 05:12 PM*

The flip side is that college men will often marry women who previously "put out" to better looking men in college. The married man might consider this a form of intertemporal cuckoldry; he may feel that he has bought the cow, where others have gotten the milk for free. This resentment is likely to be acute for the married man who is now a successful professional and/or the primary breadwinner. In this instance, the married man (who perhaps forewent "partying" opportunities in college) will feel that his wife has gotten the better of this arrangement.

TheUnReasonableMan44 • 3 points • 15 July, 2020 08:50 PM

For casual shit... yeah, an 18/19yo is fine. But I'm close to 40. Maybe 2% of the 18-23yos I have met (not even fucked, just met) would I want to have to converse and hang out with regularly. That's kinda a LTR dealbreaker for me.

I can overlook a lot of shit for a casual fling. But I have to actually enjoy you as a human for any LTR or

even top tier FWB status. That eliminates the vast majority of young young women.

tawnyfive4251 • 4 points • 15 July, 2020 03:42 PM

Or just be under 18 and get that early access for LTRs

TheUnReasonableMan44 • 13 points • 15 July, 2020 04:09 PM

True... If you lock them down young, but then YOU also miss out on experiencing the joys of being an in-demand man.

Very few 18yo dudes could handle having a locked down Open LTR without fucking it up, because frankly 18yo boys just don't have the status to pull that off.

tawnyfive4251 • 1 point • 15 July, 2020 05:38 PM

Yeah facts abt open LTRs. I went open LTR with an ex and it became a shitshow because we were 15 and apparently it was only supposed to be "open" for her and so we broke off. Plate spinnings fun but I kinda got hit with oneitis again

THOT_Analytica • 2 points • 15 July, 2020 05:16 PM*

The flip side is that college men will often marry women who previously “put out” to better looking men in college. The married man might consider this a form of intertemporal cuckoldry; he may feel that he has bought the cow, where others have gotten the milk for free. This resentment is likely to be acute for the married man who is now a successful professional and/or the primary breadwinner. In this instance, the married man (who perhaps forewent “partying” opportunities in college) will feel that his wife has gotten the better of this arrangement.

TheUnReasonableMan44 • 1 point • 15 July, 2020 08:53 PM

Fuck social constructs... but I've found I tend to have almost zero in common with that age for anything other than being fuck buddies and them being arm candy (for me and other guys I 'loan' them out to)

wehethethe • 1 point • 18 July, 2020 11:14 AM

Cellphones play a huge part in this. Even if they don't manage to fuck every guy they interact with they've likely received some form of attention from a man who is high status than you, which is enough contamination to make her think “I can do better”

[deleted] • 15 points • 15 July, 2020 04:51 PM

Alpha WINDOW -- When she has been plunged more than a 30 year old backed up toilet and has comps you cant ever compare to

RUN FORREST RUN

[deleted] 15 July, 2020 03:06 PM

[deleted]

redpillschool[S] • 17 points • 15 July, 2020 03:15 PM

Bad example. I'll edit.

Not just this but also she'll compare the WHOLE of you to the BEST PARTS of the previous guys.

sadomasochrist • 17 points • 15 July, 2020 03:52 PM

What you're describing is just an alpha widow TBH.

But I do agree there is a sort of "uber tier" alpha widow here, but IMO it's less a result of culture and choice and more biology.

There are some women who are very high T or have physical issues (e.g. PGAD) or any variety of mental problems (BPD) who have sex drives and thresholds that exceed almost all male sex drive\supply.

Lars Von Trier wrote around this in *Nymphomaniac*, which I advise anyone to watch. It's got a lot of great red pills in the watch.

These are women if you ask them outright "has any man ever satisfied or been able to keep up with you?" Her answer will be an immediate no or "sort of." If she says yes, with enough inspection she'll usually reveal she cheated and he left.

It's much easier to just classify these women as "basket cases."

Most or many of these women have sold their bodies, are in or have been in the fetish sex scene etc.

These are all terminal red flags. These women represent something like .5-1% of the general female population, but they are very vocal online. And so I think it's important to delineate these women aren't typical alpha widows, which are *much* more common.

redpillschool[S] • 7 points • 15 July, 2020 05:55 PM*

What you're describing is just an alpha widow TBH.

A 21 year old girl can be alpha widowed by one guy.

The Insatiable alpha widow has met no man including her list of alphas that measure up to her list of alphas. Subtle distinction.

sadomasochrist • 1 point • 15 July, 2020 06:11 PM

Right, but again, I think it makes more sense to just call this woman a basket-case. There's maybe a hundred or less of these women in each county.

The vast majority of sex workers share something in common. That is they place a low value on both the sex itself and romance.

The types we're talking about often have a high value on both.

More or less male sex *drive*, female *sexuality*.

From a male perspective, simply realizing you're not up to cut is enough in terms of management. And red flags are going to be numerous and standard.

Sex worker, fetish scene, provocative dress and or very aggressive, indiscriminately sleeps with other people, reputation etc.

I see no reason to desalinate her from any other slut, sex worker, etc outside of the academics of such. And even still, such delineation should be careful to avoid conflation.

The alpha widow is an important concept and this less important concept could cause confusion.

Insatiable\male sex drive are probably descriptive enough. Most of these women would probably also admit to masturbating several times a day.

redpillschool[S] • 5 points • 15 July, 2020 06:16 PM

There's maybe a hundred or less of these women in each county.

False. There are large in number, likely growing. I've met quite a few personally in the USA. Far higher incidence rate than 2 per state.

sadomasochrist • 1 point • 15 July, 2020 06:22 PM

That was *county*.

redpillschool[S] • 3 points • 15 July, 2020 06:24 PM

Ah, time for glasses!

I still think it's higher than a hundred per county. I think it's going to be the new 40 in a few decades.

sadomasochrist • 1 point • 15 July, 2020 06:32 PM

I don't think we're talking about the same thing. These women are certified nutcases and a lot of them probably have HIV. It can't be any higher than the distribution of BPDs and that's 1.5%.

I've long held the theory that this is a forum of mostly NPD men who have had a lot of run ins with BPD's. And even still, this subforum full of 1%ers, are only running into a handful of these women.

Maybe they might increase from .00 whatever percent to .5% or 1%, but there is no possible way.

I honestly believe the primary mechanism for women like this is outlier genetics.

I know that's somewhat heresy because we all mostly agree that "high and low" sex drive is mostly driven by the male, not the female.

But there are some genetic outliers. So it's foolish to ignore the cannibal, object sexual etc.

I would bet a large number of these women were also sexually assaulted as a kid, so my guess is it's mostly a toss up between mental illness and biology or some predisposition or something like that with a trigger.

To be clear, I wouldn't group this under "high sex drive" women, and I would say there's a narrow line between women who self identify as such and this.

But that narrow line is significant. And in medical literature they have a name for this, it is *compulsion*.

Interestingly enough, if the DSM accepted the condition of "sex addict" or "sexual compulsive" it'd probably be easiest to just categorize it that way.

But whenever they try to define it, it overly categorizes women who branch swing from their families so it gets canned. They never admit it, but it's pretty obvious why it doesn't exist.

Because of course, don't want to stigmatize home wrecking *for women*.

chomponthebit • 2 points • 15 July, 2020 07:02 PM

Might be worthwhile to consider the role of epigenetics - when certain telomeres are shortened due to traumas experienced by ancestors - in BPD as well.

The smell of cherry blossoms is neutral to a rat. Researchers put a rat in a cage, flooded the room with the smell of cherry blossoms, then zapped the cage with electricity. Repeat a few times, then mate that rat with another that hasn't undergone that experience. Flood the babies' cage with that smell and they become terrified.

May explain why a large portion of BPD women report no significant childhood abuse - it happened to an ancestor

sadomasochrist • 1 point • 15 July, 2020 07:08 PM

That's pretty crazy. I'm not versed in any of that, but it would be terrifying to learn that trauma is passed down.

But it's tough to look at it that way, because at least for BPD, it *is* passed by behavior.

You can give anyone BPD by treating them poorly as a child, and a large number inherit it, even if their childhoods are good.

And you'd think "well, do BPD women mistreat their children?"

Well even if they aren't neglected, they can be smothered which produces the same outcome apparently.

Way outside of our scope though.

At the end of the day, protocol is *run*.

chomponthebit • 1 point • 15 July, 2020 07:14 PM

Been there, and yeah, get the hell out. Imagine accidentally knocking one up and being intimately tied to that drama for the rest of your kid's life.

Here's a layman's article on epigenetics

Pooddit • 1 point • 15 July, 2020 10:10 PM

The high T girls are a thing for sure. I find myself drawn to them and I cannot understand why. Crazy, alphas but female, horny, drugs, narcissism, massive n counts...

sadomasochrist • 2 points • 15 July, 2020 10:16 PM

Hairy arms are a tell

Pooddit • 2 points • 16 July, 2020 01:09 AM

A lot of them have mustaches they shave but try to hide... Years of smoking cigarettes and possible PCOS or high T genetics can do this.

ModernDao • 1 point • 17 July, 2020 06:15 PM

History of hormonal birth control is an additional risk factor here.

LastRevision • 14 points • 15 July, 2020 04:02 PM*

All women are Alpha Widows because they've all had someone along the way who's better than whom she can settle with. Maybe excluding the rare girl who married her high school sweetheart, or met a guy before turning 21, but once she hits the clubs, it's over for a lifetime.

Considering it only takes *one hook up* to leave an impression- one guy who cared about her less than you, which, to her, makes a *direct statement of value*- as she's come to equate a man's genuine interest in her as something losery... it's over.

This is ultimately why *dating culture*, and relationships built on a foundation of "love," which emerged as the predominant structure for mate selection in the 1960s, would inevitably lead us here.

Dating- meeting dozens of potential long-term mates in a quest of finding *someone better*- was always the problem, but we are only now facing the end-stage of that problem, where long-term relationships are becoming nearly impossible as both men and women never feel their current mate is the *best they can do* on the quest for perfection.

THOT_Analytica • 4 points • 15 July, 2020 05:26 PM

Older post: <http://archive.is/NIRhM>

Summary:

Young women weaned on short term sexual partners in the “hook-up” college culture cannot easily transition to long term relationships with ordinary men, as their appetites for chiseled alpha males become hard wired during their most formative years. The manosphere is awash in theories about the sexual propensities of young women, predicting the end of Western Civilization because of declining birth rates.

TLDR:

While the menu of college relationships typically spans from one shot sexual exchanges (with no expectation of intimacy) to “friends with benefits,” in the post-college setting it is costlier for women to continue this routine. The biological logic here is obvious as the former coed’s fecundity will be jeopardized if she continues the “party” lifestyle into her thirties. Alpha males typically delay marriage, possibly into their forties, and marry much younger women (the cream of the crop of that younger cohort).

Ultimately former coeds will marry men who are mostly less attractive than the boys they “hooked-up” with in college. The former coed may feel she is “settling” for someone less attractive (in the visceral sense) than her former college flings (even though her husband is a better long-term mate). The woman’s psychic attachment to her more attractive lover(s) is symptomatic of the “endowment effect” much discussed in behavioral economics.

The flip side is that college men will often marry women who previously “put out” to better looking men in college. The married man might consider this a form of intertemporal cuckoldry; he may feel that he has bought the cow, where others have gotten the milk for free. This resentment is likely to be acute for the married man who is now a successful professional and/or the primary breadwinner. In this instance, the married man (who perhaps forewent “partying” opportunities in college) will feel that his wife has gotten the better of this arrangement.

On this note, the post-college social scene of the last few years is quite different than what it was even a mere decade ago. So-called third wave feminists, supported by the mainstream media and left leaning college professors, have pushed the “blank slate” theory to suggest young women are free to enjoy their sexual prime. Consequently, young women are encouraged to pursue sexual ends using the male paradigm; they are taught they can have as many sexual partners as they desire and can settle down and get married later. As indicated, the post-college dating scene is dominated by alpha males who have casual sex with many women. When they seek marriage later, these women typically find only beta males; these betas are tacitly aware these female candidates were providing sexual access to alphas on more agreeable terms.

All of this could explain the recent increase in never marrieds as the marriage market no longer clears. Pro-

sex feminists might consider that, in the sexual domain, the application of pure reason (à la the Enlightenment) is limited by the emotion of disgust. Just as we are disgusted at the thought of consensual sex between siblings, a future potential husband is disgusted at the thought of his wife having had dozens of men in the past.

Dovetailing these concerns is an academic cottage industry on the “increased female unhappiness” of Western women. The theory here is that modern women are less satisfied than their grandmothers because they now delay childbearing to an advanced age while simultaneously holding down demanding jobs in the competitive globalized workplace. Arguably, however, the amount of “happiness” for women has not decreased, but merely been frontloaded to their early 20s when they are having copious sex before settling down for marriage with lesser men. The actual “redistribution of happiness” has been to the alpha males who secure more sexual pleasure in their 20s at the expense of betas who remain lonely until they later make terms with leftover women.

Conclusion:

Is there any way to put the female sexual genie back into her bottle? The suggestion of reviving socially-imposed monogamy has been met with derision. Jordan Peterson never credibly answered the podcast host Joe Rogan’s simple question: How do you reconcile free market neo-liberalism with limited sexual freedom? One suggestion would be to discourage coeds from having sex with any male who “outranks” them on “Hot or Not.” Just as a mother discourages her child from developing a taste for sweets, so middling coeds could avoid a taste for the Chads. And yet, this idea flies in the face of our present zeitgeist which asymmetrically acknowledges only male mate value. Environmentalists should see the silver lining in our present situation, as birth rates should continue to drop and our only descendants will be the offspring of the “hottest” late 30s men and late 20s women.

Intertemporal Cuckoldry: The Rational Choice of Post-College Social Life

Irene_9 • 1 point • 17 July, 2020 07:37 AM

What you brilliant article. Thank you, thank you!

Zavss • 6 points • 15 July, 2020 04:57 PM

The quest for perfection is what is destroying society.

Look at Hitler and how he wanted the aryan's.

Trying to look for perfection never ends well.

For the allowance of imperfection, the refusal for the individual to adhere to a singular goal, is dangerous for the overall systems goal.

Polyamory is the natural state of things. It could be that women had multiple men for different needs. Same with men, having multiple women. Humanity was one giant orgy.

However, today polyamory is discouraged.

Also as Civilization ever expands and increases, the more the individual has to sacrifice for the collective. Thus the individual retreats into their mind. Women will seek to have one man in the physical realm, to be all the men in her mental realm. Same with men. When your fucking somebody but think of someone else.

In today's modern world. The individual goes into hyper-realized states of reality to distract themselves from there disappointing reality.

People can't deal with fucking shit. We value too many things in today's world. Hence cryptocurrencies. More made up value to buy shit we don't want, to impress people we don't like.

I only value two things: My well being, and having a good time.

TheUnReasonableMan44 • 4 points • 15 July, 2020 04:53 PM

long-term relationships are becoming nearly impossible as both men and women never feel their current mate is the best they can do on the quest for perfection

This is actually a really interesting point. It's not just women, but men as well.

I have a friend who is married to, for all practical purposes, a wonderful human. She cooks, cleans, is called mom (because she's earned it) by his older two kids from his previous marriage, has given him a third kid, tries to keep herself healthy and generally does her best to be the archetypical quality house wife.

She is not by any measure of the word hot, sexy or whatever. Because of this he has this feeling that he's missing out on all the fun of the current world. Back in the 50's he would have been considered to be living the life.

Me personally, I want the best of both worlds. I want a quality woman in the home and the freedom to experience the fun of the world. I've made this happen. But I also don't expect perfection, from my OLTR or my plates.

AloofusMaximus • 1 point • 16 July, 2020 05:02 PM

Yeah I think a lot of the alpha widow conjecture comes from dudes that have never been told "you're the one that got away".

Women break off things in most relationships (marriage, LTRs, and less serious dating). They're just not used to being dumped by someone they're actually attracted to.

Most needy guys will sit there and pine for their exes for months, because THEY (the guy) didn't want things to end, whereas she already had the next thing lined up. When a dude does that to her, it's just the same thing in reverse.

[deleted] • 6 points • 15 July, 2020 05:50 PM

Comparison is the thief of joy, it's honestly kinda sad that girls can't help but be hypergamous.

Imagine only being satisfied with a girl who has the best ass, best tits, best face, best personality, and the most kinky of all the girls you've been with...

goodmansaysfuckyou • 7 points • 15 July, 2020 09:44 PM

I don't usually comment here, but what the fuck...

From my unfortunate experience with marriage, multiple women prior to, and multiple women after said marriage; I have learned one important thing. Whatever you do will forever be expected and is the bare minimum requirement in a relationship with her.

For instance...if she is sick and you do the dishes, then you will forever be the full time dishwasher in that house. If you do laundry, then you will forever be the permanent laundry mistress in that house.

Take those simple examples and combine them with your post and you get the standard common denominator in women. They will always and forever *expect* what they have already been given regardless of the source.

Her "settling" is just a short layover at the airport between cock carousel destinations in search of her Composite Perfect Man. At the first boarding call announcement she will pack up her prolapsed anus and fly off in search of bigger and better cock life support units.

Duchat • 3 points • 15 July, 2020 05:54 PM

I remember a screen of a twitter post where a woman said, "I've slept with two men, one was nice and treated me well, the other was a jerk but had a big dick. Now I want a nice guy with a big dick."

reddick1 • 6 points • 15 July, 2020 08:02 PM

I have a female friend in her 30s that said the same thing word for word.

She's been with dozens, if not hundreds, of guys. Has her own plate system going on. A couple years back she actually met the "perfect guy" - perfect job, perfect body, perfect face, perfect dick. But she still didn't feel like she wanted to settle down with him. I told her that she's spoiled and damaged from her past and she agreed lol. Now that she's nearing 35 she is wondering why she isn't getting many dates or meeting new guys any more.

ModernDao • 3 points • 17 July, 2020 06:27 PM

In my experience, there are four things that set the stage for a woman to be alpha-widowed. If you're good enough, that will be you. If she's lucky, you'll keep her around, and she'll just be "yours," and not become an AW. If she's unlucky, it's just her turn.

The four criteria that set the stage for an epic alpha-widowing, A.K.A alpha pair-bonding.

1. Be the best sex she's ever had by a wide margin. Really understanding the physical, psychological, emotional, and energetic aspects of sexuality in such a way that she's left a quivering mess, unable to speak for half-hour or more after a romp. Most women have never been fucked like that. Yes, you need to be physically strong enough to do that, but there's much more to it. When you can provide that level of prowess AND the ability to connect on a deep emotional level, magic happens. **TL;DR: Be Chad Thundercock**
2. Intermittent reward. Be unpredictable. Make her work for it, invest, and get intermittent, unpredictable reward, which is your approval. For natural Chads, this happens naturally and without premeditation, and he's his own mental point of origin, architect of his own frame. **TL;DR Be unpredictable, Master Frame Control**
3. Good genes and epigenetics. We can't do anything about our genetic inheritance, but through our lifestyle, we can choose to switch on the healthy genes and switch off the disease genes. This matters more than you think--it forms the basis for the thousands of subtle, subconscious assessments a woman is constantly making about your potential as the father of her progeny. **TL;DR: Eat clean, lift, be fit, get enough sleep, manage stress, don't drink or use drugs to excess. Be healthy as fuck.**
4. Have enough wealth and/or business prowess and ambition that you are in control of your time. Bonus: standing atop the dominance hierarchy in your field. **TL;DR. Have a few bucks and control of your time, and possibly top-tier status in your field.**

In my experience, having creating a few AW's, (unintentionally of course) and knowing numerous other women who fit the AW criteria, at least three of four above criteria are always present, if not all four.

Experience will show you the warning signs of an alpha widow. It is sometimes, not always, possible to overshadow whatever alpha that widowed her in the first place. In order to do so, you must exceed all the above benchmarks. The higher her N count, the less likely it is that you can exceed them in all three areas. When you see these red flags stack up, it's imperative to either eject altogether, or maintain frame control as a woman will try to lock you down if she sees provider potential in you and you come close to exceeding the attraction level of her previous alpha.

For those that aspire to some form of long-term pair bonding, it is essential that you be with someone for whom you can fit the criteria who also has some intelligence and integrity. These women are exceedingly rare in

modern society.

For myself, if I see the AW process unfolding and I don't see a long-term match, I extricate myself from the situation to keep things clean; I don't need the life chaos that comes with an unrequited lover chasing that high. It can create a wake of chaos in one's life that detracts from my overall quality of life.

Stay solar, gents.

ropetigerdaddy • 12 points • 15 July, 2020 04:38 PM

I find the obsession with body counts here maddening. Are we fucking children or men?

Everyone acts if they can just find that one girl who hasn't fucked half the world they will be all set. As a guy who has fucked several virgins, I laugh. We want a whore, but only for us. We want a girl who is good at sex, but has no experience.

AWALT motherfuckers. Either they are a whore in the past, present or future.

And the whole obsession reads like insecurity. She fucked someone else. So be better, fuck her fucking brains out. Use DEVI.

Or we can be little pussies worried she might have fucked a better man. Be the better man.

THOT_Analytica • 10 points • 15 July, 2020 05:18 PM*

While the menu of college relationships typically spans from one shot sexual exchanges (with no expectation of intimacy) to "friends with benefits," in the post-college setting it is costlier for women to continue this routine. The biological logic here is obvious as the former coed's fecundity will be jeopardized if she continues the "party" lifestyle into her thirties. Alpha males typically delay marriage, possibly into their forties, and marry much younger women (the cream of the crop of that younger cohort).

Zaii • 3 points • 15 July, 2020 09:22 PM

you don't usually find the perfect partner on the first try. I don't fault anyone for trying to find love. I bet half of all dudes banged a chick that wasn't a 10/10 and that didn't make them "tainted"

THOT_Analytica • 1 point • 17 July, 2020 11:05 AM*

Taken to its logical end, Ryan's explanation would imply that being a man in a big city makes it easier to get laid. There are so many beautiful women all around, that Pam hardly even stands out! There must be enough to go around! As you probably know, due to the 80/20 principal, the rare successful man in the city is cleaning up big time with major harems and a rotating schedule that would even make Rollo blush. Women aren't invisible in big cities- men are.

Zaii • 1 point • 17 July, 2020 12:40 PM

Bro you can get gonorrhea too

redpillschool[S] • 4 points • 15 July, 2020 05:57 PM

I find the obsession with body counts here maddening. Are we fucking children or men?

Not an obsession. You have to see the reason why these factors make a difference.

Or you can remain blind. Not sure why you would.

ropetigerdaddy • 5 points • 15 July, 2020 09:35 PM

How is it blind?

Everyone here parrots AWALT. So how can one say AWALT and also think they've found a precious snowflake? Its pedestalizing women, just a certain type of woman.

Its my experience that women try to commit and something happens and they get dumped (usually due to lack of sexual interest) or they get bored. Then they ride the CC. Just because you found one in the middle of or prior to CC riding doesn't make it special.

dark_dragoon10 • 6 points • 15 July, 2020 03:59 PM

I guess I'm the girl in this situation... high body count and always comparing my current girlfriend to previous girls... lol

OneEyedFox • 2 points • 15 July, 2020 06:41 PM

Hey! You must have met my Ex wife!

sawyer94117 • 2 points • 15 July, 2020 07:50 PM

Also women are being raised to all believe they deserve the best.

It'll get you more than if you didn't think you deserve anything but as some point you have to re-calibrate. It's like going into a negotiation and saying "I want everything". Most people would reject such an "offer" but some will do better than others. She'll lean toward the best offer but in the back of her mind she'll think she still deserves everything. In the past she'd have to be careful not to kill the host, but nowadays if she can then the host must not have ever been worth her time anyway. You can't tell her she can't demand as much as she once did because she's chosen to surrender herself with those who feed into a preferred, albeit warped, sense of reality. The thing is, deep down, she knows she can't trust these voices and is secretly looking for someone to tell her to behave. I think that's why all those pseudo-rape fantasies are making a killing the mainstream. Some guys may even see this and try to go that route. But she can pull the rug out at any moment. It actually gives her more power, which, deep down, she hates.

The only way not to get wrapped up in the web is not to step in the web.

DeakinFrost • 2 points • 16 July, 2020 04:01 AM

Human memory is incredibly misunderstood, so this fact and scientific contribution should fortify your hypothesis:

When we remember something and draw from memory we aren't actually remembering the event itself, we are remembering the last time we remembered it.

Like a photo, of a photo, of a photo. A copy, of a copy, of a copy.

Eye witness testimony uncorroborated is the weakest form of evidence submittable in a court of law because it's completely unreliable and any attorney worth their dime can disintegrate a witness testimony in an afternoon of cross examination.

Your hypothesis of the insatiable alpha widow is, metaphorically, a scrap book of magazine clippings, photos, memories and experiences mixed together with glue made of emotion and solipsism.

Those memories and subsequent characteristics have been muddled together in the same scrap book or photo album.

I concur, and therefore summarize that female sexual liberation and the glorification of promiscuous behavior isn't liberation at all, but self sabotage and behaviors that act against their own personal interests.

autoeroticassfxation • 4 points • 15 July, 2020 09:06 PM

The "tingles" also come from being treated poorly. You cannot love a woman and still make her "tingle" once she's been through a toxic relationship.

Ivabighairy1 • 2 points • 15 July, 2020 05:41 PM

They are talking about this post on the Blue Pill sub

LoosingMyVulcanMind • 1 point • 15 July, 2020 04:29 PM

Damn.... Truth.

Sickem_roy • 1 point • 15 July, 2020 10:00 PM

Pussy proolly loose af anyway

JackOfDiamonds • 1 point • 16 July, 2020 02:38 AM

this is a really good theory.

Killljoys13 • 1 point • 16 July, 2020 03:02 AM

Absolutely incredible theory and it's spot on!

kekuse • 1 point • 16 July, 2020 04:53 AM

yes, this is one of the keystone theories of TRP.

Lateralanouncer • 1 point • 16 July, 2020 05:21 AM

That's also why they say. Your insecure about her body count. Yea you should be. Imagine her telling you about the 9 inch ninja. The guy that collected Porsche (this actual came up with an ex). Etc etc.

I would also add its related to a woman's feelings. The first man that touched.... Etc etc. her will have a deep emotional reaction that can never be re matched. Even if he was not her best her feels tell her he was.

slavicslothe • 1 point • 16 July, 2020 07:23 AM

Did you mean to type "alpha window"? I ask because you managed to do it twice.

redpillschool[S] • 1 point • 16 July, 2020 02:08 PM

This damn autocorrect I'll tell you what.

Kronos320 • -1 points • 15 July, 2020 09:55 PM

This post made me leave this group, so delusional as to what human being are actually like and how they interact with eachother. If you are trying to date women like this, you arent looking for the right women.

redpillschool[S] • 1 point • 16 July, 2020 02:08 PM

Why would anybody try to date women like this?

Where would you get such an insane idea?

metallica11 • -3 points • 15 July, 2020 03:35 PM

It's far more dangerous to be compared to that ONE guy that has it all that send her tingle meter to meteoric heights.

It's that 7/10 girl who mostly dated the young entrepreneur owning his own house, college athlete, local popular chef, who then spends 2 months being the play toy of a 6 foot 2 ripped businessman worth 30 million dollars

owning his own penthouse that used to be D1 athlete, has two puppies, and you can sure as hell is charismatic and smooth in person. Takes her up to his penthouse dressed in a tailored suit pouring her a glass of 1k french wine overlooking the city before exposing his ripped abs and having her way with her.

.....
exos • 10 points • 15 July, 2020 03:51 PM

.....
You are living in a fantasy land and this post reeks of jealousy. Get out there and do some shit with your life rather than being jealous of that guy. Be that guy.
.....

[deleted] 15 July, 2020 03:50 PM

[deleted]

.....
redpillschool[S] • 1 point • 15 July, 2020 05:57 PM

.....
You wouldn't care, if you're pumping and dumping.
.....