

The Beta Game

8 upvotes | 6 November, 2013 | by Archwinger

The Red Pill focuses, quite a bit (nearly exclusively), on being a man – namely, on being “alpha,” in such a way that’s attractive to women. As a subset of those behaviors, The Red Pill focuses on various “game” techniques, ways of life, etc. that are successful with women. There’s a whole world of detractors out there who constantly condemn The Red Pill, who just don’t see the merit, or even the need for these ideas. Last night, I was up thinking about this, wondering why the huge majority of “normal” men (meaning non-Red-Pill men) not only dismiss Red Pill ideas, but don’t even think there’s a need when 99% of these men have most likely witnessed the broken world of man-woman interactions first hand.

What it boils down to is what girls you attract and why. If you go to bars and clubs every weekend, approach attractive girls (i.e., 9-10), and maintain sexual relationships with quite a few women at one time, you’re actually very unique. Most men – even most “Red Pill men”—aren’t living that life. Most men aren’t a 9-10 Alpha. Most men are a 5-6. Most men don’t go out and pick up women that are a 9-10. They end up with a woman who’s a 5-6, marry her, have kids, and live a normal, boring, henpecked life.

Here’s the thing: there’s this whole “beta game” going on underneath the game focused on by The Red Pill. There’s this entire world of average women who don’t get picked up by the desirable, top 20% of men. They could still get laid each night if they really want to, but they have to settle for a “lesser” man. A confident, good-looking, aggressive Alpha isn’t approaching these girls, because he can bed a 9-10. The only guys giving these women the time of day are “normal” 5-6, beta men. Some of these mediocre women are still entitled bitches who run off normal guys and sit around complaining that all men are shallow pigs for not dating them, but many aren’t – they’re fairly “normal” girls, in that they’re average in terms of looks/desirability and know it. Frankly, most normal women have a low self-esteem. They know they’re not 9-10s, they know the top guys don’t want them, if you hit them with a hard neg, they’d just call you an asshole and leave rather than laughing playfully, and if they saw you flirting with someone else, they’d give up right then and there. A lot of standard game techniques just don’t apply to them, at least not in the same way.

Those normal, average women are the regular target for most normal, average (non-alpha) men. And since for those women, game and alpha traits don’t apply – at least not to the extent they do when approaching a 9-10— and most men can eventually end up in a “normal” relationship with one of these mediocre women, this is likely what leads most normal men to conclude that The Red Pill is a bunch of bullshit made up by internet losers for which there’s no need. They went out and followed the tried and true advice of “just be yourself,” and ended up with a girlfriend, so clearly game and TRP is just something for loser computer nerd virgins to brag about on the internet. In their mind, they didn’t need to be exceptionally manly or use any special techniques to get a girlfriend, and anyone who thinks you need that is stupid. The poor saps just don’t know what they’re in for.

If you’re a normal, non-alpha guy, and you’re approaching a normal, 5-6 girl at a bar, you may very well be one of only a few guys to give this girl the time of day that night. She’s probably been watching a lot of higher-caliber guys hit on her hot friend and getting bored and pissed off, while a small number of inept creeps keep hitting her with beta crap. There’s this sub-game going on, where the coolest of the creeps – “king beta” if you will – ends up beating out the other betas to date the mousy chick the hot guys don’t want. If you tried standard game on her, it wouldn’t work very well. For normal guys meeting normal targets, they might actually have more success if they’re just “being themselves.” They’re not going to get laid that night, but might be able to talk one of these average girls into a date, then into being their girlfriend, then eventually get lucky. Then maybe marry her and live unhappily ever after.

Fortunately for the guy, these less attractive, less desired, less slutty girls aren't as likely to divorce-rape him in the future. Just take his masculinity and happiness away as they rule the marriage. Sure, his wife would leap on the cock of an alpha man in half a second if she could, but odds are that this girl will never have the opportunity to cheat, or if she does, it would be an undesirable beta and not worth the risk to her lifestyle. Many do end up cheating because they grow to hate their nice guy, henpecked husbands so damn much, but many don't and choose to stay unhappy for the benefit of their man's paycheck.

But that's the norm. In the eyes of the large majority of people, both men and women, it seems like The Red Pill and game just don't apply. Like they're stupid and there's no need. Because most people just go out there, be themselves, and end up with a partner who's a 5 or 6, get married, and be generally unhappy while producing the next generation of unhappy saps.

To an extent, these people may be right. The Red Pill may not be something every man necessarily needs. It's something that's really only beneficial for a guy who wants to break the mold. Most people are happy in the mold, or at least convince themselves they are. For a lot of guys, it takes a woman screwing them over royally before they really have enough of a fire under their ass to start seriously considering positive change. Until then, they happily play the beta game.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

elheretic • 7 points • 6 November, 2013 06:36 PM

Assortive mating means that like marries like. Beautiful, talented people tend to marry other beautiful, talented people. Average people tend to marry other average people. Homely people or less intelligent people or people with serious problems tend to marry other such people.

Or it used to be that way anyhow, pre-feminism and the sexual revolution.

There is one theory which says that part of the motivation for feminism was that unattractive women wanted access to more attractive men; feminism allowed them to have this temporarily in the form of one-night stands and short-term relationships. The result of this has been that many young women have spent a significant portion of their youth "punching above their weight" in the sexual market place, which has given them a false sense of their own attractiveness as a mate, which they then attempt to carry over into the marriage market place. In short, they have become arrogant.

The result of this is that women, including Christian women, have become unwilling to marry until they are much older and have had more than a few sexual partners. This decreases their value in the marriage market; they have difficulty bonding to their husbands, and they make it more difficult for their husbands to bond to them because they are older and hardened from having already slept with other men. Their attraction cues to men are skewed because they perceive themselves as being of higher market value than they really are, and they become resentful that the men they seem to be able to attract for marriage are less attractive than what they think they deserve.

1. Due to their modern arrogance, they don't find the men who are truly their assortive mating equivalents attractive now.

<http://sunshinemaryandthedragon.wordpress.com/2013/10/03/womens-arrogance-and-the-downfall-of-assortive-mating/>

TL;DR so I just copy pasted some shit based off your first paragraph

JimmyTheIntern • 3 points • 6 November, 2013 06:42 PM

Fortunately for the guy, these less attractive, less desired, less slutty girls aren't as likely to divorce-rape him in the future.

Citation needed.

whatsazipper • 5 points • 6 November, 2013 06:49 PM

It's false.

You'll get divorce raped if you go beta or if her friends suggest she can do better or she shouldn't have to settle or some alpha decides he wants to use her for a pump and dump.

Or she'll remain married but cheat or force an 'open' relationship.

The situation is heavily stacked against the married man. Don't get married.

Archwinger[S] • 0 points • 6 November, 2013 07:55 PM*

If you're a beta guy, you met a less desirable girl as a beta, you "were yourself" when you met her, dated for next to forever, then got married, then there's not really a moment when you "become beta" and she

loses interest in you and decides to divorce. She was never interested to begin with. She settled for you from the get-go.

Most married 5-6s don't have the opportunity to be an alpha's pump and dump. An alpha can get a hotter, unattached girl with a lot less trouble.

My unsupported hypothesis is that "beta" women, for lack of a better term, cheat less, not because they're happy to have a chump, but because they have less of an opportunity to do so. Alpha guys aren't picking them up, and beta guys every bit as depressing as their husbands aren't worth cheating with and risking loss of their husband's paycheck. It still happens, but less. Because a married 5-6 doesn't get picked up. She has to deliberately set out to cheat by going to a bar or other public place, not get hit on by alpha men, stay there all night until she finds a chump to settle with, and go home with him. That's a lot of effort just to disrespect your beta husband, and you're screwing an equally beta man. It's not a trade up.

Edit: But I'm not advocating marriage. Just noting that in the normal, non-game, non-TRP case, when an average chump meets an average, less-desirable girl, that's less likely to end in divorce than when an average chump marries a hot, slutty girl.

trplurker • 2 points • 7 November, 2013 08:11 AM

And that hypothesis would be wrong. Women *always* have opportunities to cheat, they walk to starbucks to get a latte and have passed up a dozen opportunities.

Also a woman's attachment and choice of sexual partners are not based on actual access but on *perceived* access. If she see's a male exhibiting more alpha traits than her current male she will want to sleep with that other male. Whether that other male is or is not more alpha is irrelevant, whether she actually has access to that other alpha is also irrelevant. Merely the perception of access is all that is needed.

Here is how the thought patten works in a females subconscious. She's with a male with a rating of 6.5. She see's on TV, or with her friends, an alpha male that she perceives has a rating of 9. Her sex drive kicks in and selects that 9 male. Her inability to attract that 9 male will be blamed on her current attachment to the 6.5 male. He is *holding her back* and blah blah goes the hamster. She will want to rid herself of the attention of the 6.5 male in order to be *available* to the 9 male.

Notice that the female doesn't take action. In the mating ritual practiced by homo sapiens it's the male who approaches, the female just makes herself *available* in his orbit. The more *available* she is, the more she wants him. She can't very well be *available* if she's till attached to the 6.5. That is how wives come to hate they're husbands for absolutely no reason. In her subconscious she despises him because her attachment to him is preventing her from seeking better DNA that she perceives is available.

That recent post about the guy who's wife wanted an "open relationship" is prime example of this behavior.

JohnGalt316 • 2 points • 6 November, 2013 08:23 PM

this isn't true

ugly women play the same games

TheeRyanGrey • 2 points • 7 November, 2013 05:44 AM

This idea that men harbor that if they date down they won't have to work as hard and thus less fear of being fucked over needs to stop here and now.

Yes, at the outset you'll run shit with an iron fist. But women, even ugly ones, will fight for power. If you're beta enough to date down for safety, your beta ass will give in eventually.

Now, not only are you a beta, but a beta with a girlfriend uglier than him. You've committed to the ultimate act of selfishness: lowered your progeny's chances at beauty (and thus happiness) for your own needs and fears.

[deleted] • 1 points • 6 November, 2013 06:20 PM

[permanently deleted]

whatsazipper • 5 points • 6 November, 2013 06:29 PM

Women labeling a man an "asshole", "douchebag", etc., means he's a guy who doesn't fall for her manipulative tricks. He doesn't give an inch. While this leads to verbal expressions of frustration, the vaginal expression appears to be self-lubrication.

Archwinger[S] • 4 points • 6 November, 2013 06:35 PM

It's different for a low-caliber woman with poor self-esteem. She'll actually run home and cry herself to sleep if you tease her too hard. She'll actually mean it when she says you're an asshole. She doesn't get hit on by a lot of guys, and when a guy comes up to her and starts going all neg-happy to bring her down a peg or two, he'll figure out quickly that there aren't that many pegs beneath her.

A 5-6, mousy chick who isn't heavily experienced with guys is not getting horny and interested when a guy is a jerk. Standard game works on a 9-10 who gets hit on all the time. You really have to temper things down for a 5-6.

whatsazipper • 2 points • 6 November, 2013 06:39 PM*

Yes and no. If you're directly using that type of game on such a girl, she will recoil if you go too hard. Nonetheless, by the time a girl approaches her mid-twenties, it's doubtful she's inexperienced.

However, the mere act of standing up for your desires and not budging to women, has nothing to do with being a jerk, yet will get you labeled as such. That requires no tempering down.

UnpluggedMaestro • 1 point • 8 November, 2013 03:05 AM

I think it's easier to see it as a point system. I'll use some arbitrary numbers for a clearer explanation. Assume that a neg causes a -1 and a compliment is a +1. Assume also that in order for success you have to abide by associative mating.

That means that if you're a 6 you have to neg the 9 a total of 3 times to bring her down to your level before ANY type of techniques can be used (kino, etc).

That also means that if you're a 7 and she's a 5, you have to compliment her 2 times to bring her up.

This is the common sense of adjusting the values of others (besides adjusting your own values via inner game or outer game) for better success. I'm just putting it simply in numbers. In a very simplistic view. It's the idea of "negging a hottie, praising a nottie"

[deleted] • 0 points • 6 November, 2013 06:42 PM

This seems pretty logical. I can buy this.

whatsazipper • 1 point • 7 November, 2013 12:00 AM

Logical does not mean consistent with reality.

whiskey_bearfist • 1 point • 6 November, 2013 09:12 PM

yea this is a concept ive been trying to gather my thoughts on. i hang out in many social circles that would be considered very beta. i like to sit back and analyze the sexual successes and failures of my friends.

im just going to point out some characteristics ive noticed, in no particular order.

aversion to traditional beauty standards - i can have a guy tell me that a super model like Kate Upton "isnt that great" while fawning over a bookish brunette with nerdy glasses. i get that taste is a subjective thing, but i think its much more a case of rationalizing their ability to pull at different levels of SMV. its a mental trick that boosts their ego a bit. i think the rise of "suicide girls", alt models, and the whole nerdgirl scene is evidence of this. hell, i used to be the same way. i made a distinction between "cute" girls who were fun and approachable and "hot" girls who were distant and boring. i was just rationalizing my SMV rank.

the beta alpha - this is a trip to observe. this is a manipulative supermove that functions subconsciously. it reminds me of Dennis from Always Sunny. they give lip service to the progressive and femcentric imperative while behaving in a way that is completely opposite. theyre either lying to themselves, or the girls in question, but its very effective. what it amounts to is reassuring the girl that they are part of the correct social ingroup and then hitting on the same instinctual cues we discuss here once her defenses are down. the most hilarious case: guy is an emotionally abusive alcoholic who regularly cheats on the girl. she is a cropped hair, unshaven legs/pits/snatch, vegan, hell raising, in a band, feminist-activist. hes the archetype of a self destructive dark triad alpha asshole. she cant get enough of him. if he snaps his fingers, she jumps. its embarrassing.

nonjudgmental - this is important. they have to be able and willing to deal with a girl who has likely done some really sexually adventurous shit. this is a trait i have adopted in my lustful pursuits. if i were looking for a wife or partner, i would be very judicious, but thats not currently the case. the only time i draw a hard line is with women who either are or sleep with IV drug users and girls who sleep with homosexual/bi men. i have no ill will towards these groups, but they have vastly higher chance of STDs by orders of magnitude and there are plenty of other girls.

just talk to them - beta game (and really, all game) is based on this tenet. as OP said, girls in the 4-6 range don't have tons of highly valued men hitting on them. betas who work up the courage to "just talk to them" will often find a sex starved girl desperate for anything resembling a warm dick. a guy who lucks himself into a few of these relationships will be convinced that people who want to improve their game are just tryhard misogynists and "why can't they just talk to girls like human beings like me".

this is getting a bit rambly, so ill wrap it up..

suffice to say that there are LOTS of beta pussies getting it in. my goal as a poonhound is to learn the appropriate way to get it in regardless of the scene. learning beta game, or at least how to mimic it for profit, is part of that.

one criticism would be "why even bother learning how to crush it with girls in 4-6 range?" and to that i say, good point, but sometimes ya just want an easy in, sometimes these feminist idiots are quick fuckable, and also, im sure at some point in my progress ill grow out of considering anything below 7 acceptable... that seems to be the case with a lot of the bloggers in the 'sphere.

whatsazipper • 2 points • 7 November, 2013 05:22 AM

Not beta game. You're looking for hamster food for infiltration. Giving the hamster something palatable while making your advance. It's a form of calibration

UnpluggedMaestro • 1 point • 8 November, 2013 03:55 AM

Exactly. Beta game is used to get rid of a chick the "passive" way when social networks are at stake. Though this only works for pre-wall sluts still high on the carousel.

whiskey_bearfist • 1 point • 6 November, 2013 09:14 PM

i also forgot to mention a very common and prominent characteristic of the BP beta's game. that is - naive. they never see it coming when they get cheated on.

[deleted] • -1 points • 6 November, 2013 09:32 PM

[permanently deleted]