

**“Out of that 40 percent, there are maybe 20 percent that we would consider, and out of those 20, 10 have girlfriends, so all the girls are fighting over that other 10 percent,” she said. (or, the effects of women outnumbering men in a hyper-sexualized environment with limited hypergamous pathways)**

December 6, 2013 | 43 upvotes | by [DeckofLuckyStrikes](#)

---

TheRedPill is crucial on college campuses now more than ever. While this article is a bit old (2010), it shows the shifted power dynamics of heterosexuals simply because of gender imbalance. As the end of the article posits in a rather implicit manner, college women seem to long for the days of male abundance for their greater selection.

<http://www.nytimes.com/2010/02/07/fashion/07campus.html?pagewanted=all>

Some nice tidbits in the article:

“Girls feel pressured to do more than they’re comfortable with, to lock it down,” Ms. Lynch said.

Stephen M. Farmer, North Carolina’s director of admissions, said that the university has a high female presence in part because it does not have an engineering school, which at most schools tend to be heavily male.

“On college campuses where there are far more women than men, men have all the power to control the intensity of sexual and romantic relationships,” Kathleen A. Bogle, a sociologist at La Salle University in Philadelphia, wrote in an e-mail message.

“Girls will sit there with their friends for 15 minutes trying to figure out what punctuation to use in a text message.”

EDIT: Grammer + Link

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

TRPsubmitter • 44 points • 6 December, 2013 06:05 AM\*

I love the jealous commentary on the 4th photo of the slideshow:

The on-campus gender imbalance puts guys in a position to play the field, and tends to mean that *even the ones willing to make a commitment come with storied romantic histories*.

Why is that a bad thing? Try to reject a woman's "storied romantic history" and you'll be blasted.

EDIT: Had to make an edit for this thing:

Women on gender-imbalanced campuses are paying a social price for success and, to a degree, are being victimized by men precisely because they have outperformed them, Professor Campbell said. In this way, some colleges mirror retirement communities, where women often find that the reward for outliving their husbands is competing with other widows for the attentions of the few surviving bachelors.

The sheer audacity of that statement is amazing. Solipsism through the roof. So women are STILL somehow victims even though they supposedly have outperformed men? At what point can you finally stop being a victim?

And more appalling: who cares if men die younger...amirite? What's really important is that women have no providers after their own dies! Those dumb menz always dying!!

“By my sophomore year, I just had the feeling that there is nobody in this school that I could date,” said Ashley Crisostomo, a senior at Fordham University in New York, which is 55 percent female. She has tended to date older professionals in the city.

How the fuck can you not find anyone to date in NYC? ***She has tended to date older professionals in the city***....oh hypergamy, that's why.

“A lot of guys know that they can go out and put minimal effort into their appearance and not treat girls to drinks or flatter them, and girls will still flirt with them,” said Felicite Fallon,

Oh no! They didn't treat girls to drinks!

rule\_of\_law • 5 points • 6 December, 2013 08:27 AM

Everyone go look at the 3rd picture on that slideshow. It's comical. Switch the genders and suddenly it looks a lot more familiar to you from the media.

gekkozor • 3 points • 6 December, 2013 04:39 PM

Oh noes, women have to take the initiative in their romantic lives rather than just having partners thrown into their laps?

I can't imagine what that must be like. Such oppression.

[deleted] • 3 points • 6 December, 2013 05:21 PM

One of my friends goes to Fordham, he says the bitches there are unreal, all rich girls who won't even look at you if they think you aren't from wealth

selfsufficientnigga • 2 points • 6 December, 2013 08:47 PM

The on-campus gender imbalance puts guys in a position to play the field, and tends to mean that even the ones willing to make a commitment come with storied romantic histories.

Why is that a bad thing? Try to reject a woman's "storied romantic history" and you'll be blasted.

From their perspective, it is a bad thing for an LTR. A 'storied romantic history' means that the return:investment ratio won't be as high as they wish, so they will actually have to put work into the relationship.

A 'storied romantic history' means that the guy is:

- 1) not inexperienced so not as easy to manipulate
- 2) much more likely to have some form of abundance mentality, so again not as easy to manipulate

There are more points to it but to put it down simply, it's because, as usual, women want high profit but very low risk.

[deleted] • 11 points • 6 December, 2013 01:47 PM\*

But at some schools, efforts to balance the numbers have been met with complaints that less-qualified men are being admitted over more-qualified women.

Oh so *now* it's a problem

"Women do not want to get left out in the cold, so they are competing for men on men's terms," she wrote. "This results in more casual hook-up encounters that do not end up leading to more serious romantic relationships. Since college women say they generally want 'something more' than just a casual hook-up, women end up losing out.

Do you see the shaming language? Men aren't *serious* because they are going for sex and not settling down and being *Serious* and catering to what I want. They should just grow up, amirite?

Women on gender-imbalanced campuses are paying a social price for success and, to a degree, are being victimized by men precisely because they have outperformed them, Professor Campbell said. In this way, some colleges mirror retirement communities, where women often find that the reward for outliving their husbands is competing with other widows for the attentions of the few surviving bachelors.

Even women educationally spanking men is a fucking victimisation of them.

[deleted] • 21 points • 6 December, 2013 03:24 AM

that last sentence is so true

if a girl is taking a long time to text you back, stop assuming it's because she's playing hard to get. most of the time she's just trying to think of the right words to say and isn't clever enough to exchange a quick, sharp report.

yummybits • 12 points • 6 December, 2013 04:18 AM

Bullshit. Grammar is the last thing on their mind.

redpillshadow • 3 points • 6 December, 2013 04:45 AM

do smileys count as punctuation?

tryhard-exe • 3 points • 6 December, 2013 04:52 AM

I never understood why girls use so many smilies.

DeckofLuckyStrikes[S] • 6 points • 6 December, 2013 04:56 AM

<http://elitedaily.com/dating/gentlemen/truth-smileys/>

[deleted] • 3 points • 6 December, 2013 07:31 AM

Bitches love smiley faces

[deleted] 6 December, 2013 06:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

Hoodwink • 9 points • 6 December, 2013 11:18 AM

caucasians/asians

I believe there is some pretty widespread discrimination against asians especially in California.

It's really funny considering discrimination against Asians (males mostly) is basically out there, but women who make up (57% of college students)[[http://usatoday30.usatoday.com/news/education/2010-01-26-genderequity26\\_st\\_n.htm](http://usatoday30.usatoday.com/news/education/2010-01-26-genderequity26_st_n.htm)]? There must be discrimination because the feminists said so! It's white-knighting on a national-political level..

hermit087 • 2 points • 6 December, 2013 10:42 PM

They openly admit that they discriminate against races and genders, its the official policy. So yes, that makes no sense.

[deleted] • 12 points • 6 December, 2013 05:16 AM

Holy shit. If I was 21 now - my God would I do damage.

onlysextoday • 5 points • 6 December, 2013 03:06 PM

Hell you don't need to be 21 to pick up college girls.

[deleted] • 3 points • 6 December, 2013 04:43 PM

True. Can't argue. Trying to pick up college girls in your 40s is shady, though. Not saying it doesn't happen, but generally 40+ year old dudes target a little older.

HOB0\_JESUS • 3 points • 6 December, 2013 04:56 PM

Only shady if you think it is. Granted I'm just about 30, not 40+, but I have seen plenty of 18-25 year-olds hooking up/dating 40+ guys. Women love older men, you offer so much more than some guy her age, go forth and conquer.

[deleted] • 4 points • 6 December, 2013 06:36 PM

Well I'm over 40 attending college for my masters and I see 20 year old girls on campus, obviously.

While I could imagine a quick roll in the hay with a girl that young, anything more would be not realistic, IMO. Their lack of maturity and life experience would drive me nuts in an extremely short period of time.

But that's just me.

onlysextoday • 1 point • 7 December, 2013 05:22 AM

Plates. But I see where you're coming from.

[deleted] • 3 points • 6 December, 2013 03:10 PM

Fuck 21. I like the "now" me: lifting weights, moved out of parents' house. AND I plan to go back to grad school.

[deleted] • 6 points • 6 December, 2013 02:15 PM

I go to a school with a 60% guy, 40% girl ratio.

Where can I find one of these Utopias?

onlysextoday • 7 points • 6 December, 2013 03:08 PM

Liberal arts schools, don't go there for the degree just go for the parties.

30303030303030 • 6 points • 6 December, 2013 07:25 PM

wherever they give away useless degrees

Doctor\_Mayhem • 4 points • 7 December, 2013 04:05 AM

"If you want to learn, go to a library, if you want to get laid, go to college." - Frank Zappa

He must've been referring to Liberal Arts colleges.

ouchmyfuckingback • 8 points • 6 December, 2013 07:13 AM

Freshman reporting in.

It's on.

Makes\_U\_Mad • 5 points • 6 December, 2013 11:31 AM

Know that the older guys here are expecting great fucking things, boyo. Now hop to it. Between all the tail and the studying, I hope you can get by on 3 - 4 hours of sleep a night.

7Vega • 3 points • 6 December, 2013 08:36 PM

*Most would prefer to go out with friends, rather than date a campus brute.*

Right. That must be why you wrote this article.

Doctor\_Mayhem • 3 points • 7 December, 2013 03:36 AM

The phenomenon has also been an area of academic inquiry, formally and informally. "On college campuses where there are far more women than men, men have all the power to control the intensity of sexual and romantic relationships,"

This quote, pretty much sums up what the problem is from a woman's eyes. How dare those men have power in relationships! They can't stand the fact that they don't have the power to leave men embarrassed and shamed for daring to hit on their princess asses. The entitlement is hilarious, the irony delicious.

However, one thing I have to ask, this article was written nearly 4 years ago. I just moved to this area a few months ago, but haven't bothered to check the scene. Anybody been out in the field that can say if things have changed?

the99percent1 • 2 points • 6 December, 2013 07:57 AM

I think you are gonna have to edit the edit.. You spelt grammar wrong.