I believe RP theory can improve most relationships even non RP ones. What do you ladies think our middle of the road sisters who are domestic but have drank a little of the feminist kool aid should do?

So I have noticed several of my female friends and family in LTRs hit their mid to late 20s and start to think seriously about babies and tying the knot. Most are in LTRs with quality provider Better Betas. Almost all of the BB say no. I have noticed a massive trend towards men that want a LTR with absolutely no family life commitments. Some might be willing to consider one kid in 10 years or so when she is 35ish but absolutely no marriage. Not exactly what a girl is looking for.

Frankly I understand where the guy is coming from. Marriage law is not set up to benefit men except for maybe teaching them not to marry feminists. However when you want to have kids, particularly if you are inclined to be a SAHM, it is extremely valuable safety net for women.

Most of the women proceed to try and “change their man” by slowly crushing his resolve to not be a father. Not exactly the red pill way. The whole situation is the girl’s fault for not taking the vetting process seriously in the first place when the guy says “I don’t want to have kids” because she doesn't want them right then either.

So here is the question: Is there a RP approved way to encourage a quality man to want a family? Perhaps by proving she would be a good mate? Or prove she isn’t a hagraven in disguise waiting to crush him in a divorce? Most of the men involved have been attacked by hagravens in the past. At what point must she leave her LTR in pursuits of a family?

Note: We are red pill women so lets assume our sisters wont stoop to a "but I was on the pill" pregnancy.
Men that say they don't want kids really mean it. So no matter how alpha and intoxicating a boyfriend is women who want a family need to move on if a guy states clearly he doesn't want children. I'd strongly advise that you should only try to 'encourage him' if he comes out and says, "I'm unsure right now" or "yes, but in the future."

Also be very weary because those two statements above can also mean, "yes but not with you..."

prove she isn’t a hagraven in disguise

If a female in my presence was a 'hagraven' she'd probably use the tactic of saying she's not a 'hagrave.'

Personally what convinces me is 'action' and specifically action during a storm. Here's how my ideal mate would convince me to marry again.

1. Sex is consistent, submissive, yet adventurous. She doesn't freak out if we go through dry spells.

2. She goes through the 'actions' of providing more then just sex in the relationship. Companionship, cooking, cleaning, hobbies, having fun with me on boring errands, events, etc. She doesn't use these things as tools to take away or increase to punish or reward me. She doesn't harbor resentment that there's a disequal amount of work in the relationship. She's happy to actually pre-build a family oriented type household. She doesn't keep a point system on me and if she does it's as fair as possible.

3. She doesn't question our entire relationship or harbor resentment when we fight or life is hard or I have trouble at work or home. Internal optimism and trust is the core of her being. She accepts the captain first mate dynamic and accepts that standing behind a great man can be rewarding and valuable.

4. She specifically does not put her self in situations to be seduced by other males. She doesn't hang with party girls. She prefers couples activities.

5. She trusts in my financial management and knows that me not buying her lot's of gifts, dates, and vacations might just be a savings for a ring/mortgage/family. Which are infinitely more rewarding then a nice dress or a steak. She knows our financial life(the economy and job market in our country is a mess)may have ups and downs and doesn't punish me as the reason for those ups and down in domestic comfort.

6. She knows that I will grow less attractive to her and she will grow less attractive to me over time and that's part of the process of getting familiar with somebody. She knows we can't maintain the lust at the start of a relationship and she's perfectly satisfied with that.

7. She's convinced me unequivocally that steps 1-6 will not go away when she has a ring on her finger and she realizes that marriage has its fair share of fights and emotional stress even time periods were you might be completely disconnected and out of love with the other person and you still stick for the kids and companionship.

8. She ignores or drops female friends that try to talk her into divorce rape and jumping back on the dating circuit.

9. She agrees not to become a domestic tyrant. She accepts that an autonomy over an aspect of the...
household is a privilege not a right. She recognizes that the kids are not an excuse to neglect sexual duties. She doesn't see sex as a duty.

10. She accepts all these hardships with a genuine feminine energy, smiles and exuberance and when she is down emotionally a simple look or hug from me is enough for her to power through.

11. She signs a prenup.

12. She unequivocally convinces me that staying at a healthy weight through diet and exercise is big part of her character and will not change.

A women like that 'might' turn me into a father.

CommentsAndCriticism • 3 points • 13 July, 2016 08:31 AM
Good luck with that sir.

[deleted] 11 July, 2016 06:58 PM*
[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 12 July, 2016 02:00 AM
Eh, I am into traditional gender roles but not marriage.

I will sign specific contracts on the provision for children and her in the case of something going tits up, I will grant her power of attorney, etc. I will expect reciprocal contracts for the traditional feminine aspects of the gender roles to the maximum extent possible. I will have a dedication ceremony.

I won't get married. The state can void my contracts; it can't rewrite them. However, marriage is a state institution rather than a private contract. I don't want to participate into the corrupted and corruptible state institution. I do want accountability, and I am willing to be held accountable in turn.

[deleted] • 6 points • 11 July, 2016 06:17 PM
So here is the question: Is there a RP approved way to encourage a quality man to want a family?

If that's not what he wants, and if he's a quality man, then he's not going to be convinced otherwise.

Perhaps by proving she would be a good mate?

A quality man wouldn't be in an LTR with a woman who wasn't, regardless of the kids issue.

Or prove she isn't a hagraven in disguise waiting to crush him in a divorce?

In the same way that it's impossible for a man to 100% convince a woman that he's not just into her for sex, it's impossible for a woman to 100% convince a man that she's not just into marriage to put his balls on the divorce rape guillotine.

Most of the men involved have been attacked by hagavens in the past.

Which is a big part of why there's no act that these women can do that will get them to change the minds of these men.

At what point must she leave her LTR in pursuits of a family?

If having a family is more important than being with the man she's with now, then she should leave immediately.
It is in no one's best interest to try and convince a man who doesn't want a family to have one. The resentment it will most likely breed throughout the years would ruin the whole family.

The trend of men not waning to get married or have children (a trend I have not noticed) is most likely the male response to seeing their friends, fathers, brothers, screwed on custody and divorce raped. It's smart of them. If I wasn't already married, I wouldn't blame my husband for not wanting to marry me, especially since he's already been divorce raped. (He's a glutton for punishment I guess, lol! Just kidding.) I for one am glad to see men taking the proper precautions to protect themselves.

Yes indeed. Single with no kids is safer than having the government involved in your relationship. Next being married with no kids is risky. The next risk is to have kids, and the most risky is married with kids. The state enforced father to prison pipeline or state owned slave is not worth the risk.

Perhaps in future post we will learn that a woman can test her male prospects betaness by asking if they want kids and/or to be married with kids.

Marriage with kids will only resume when marriage risk are mitigated for men. Fathers must support their kids this is without question; however, monetary support is not always the solution. Shared parenting is the answer, but that will cut into the divorce industry complex (i.e. states getting federal tax dollars and div. lawyers profiting from litigation and prisons industry benefitting from housing so-called "deadbeat" dads), so it won't happen soon unless people get involved.

She needs to move on ASAP! Everyday she fets closer to 30, everyday she is less likely to find a man who wants kids.

I'm 33 and my friends keep telling me there's "lots" of time... They don't seem to get that guys who want to get married have pretty much already done it by my age.

The men who want kids (and are worth having them with) spent thier early 20s studying, mid 20s working and by 28 locked down a wife.

Pretty much what I said :/ too bad I didn't lose the anxiety back in my 20s and talk to normal guys

Watch out, at 35 the risks for having a child with autism or downs syndrome increase exponentially, and by 40 the risks are massive and you have a fair risk of horrible deformities.

If it's the family that's important to you, and not the pregnancy, it might be smart to adopt a baby. If you choose to go that route watch for fetal alcohol syndrome. If the baby is in an orphanage, the mother might have drunk alcohol during pregnancy. FAS will never be cured and you can't train it out. I remember watching a family friend clean her 16 year old adopted daughter's shit from the wall after she denied her an iphone... FAS is no joke
That's what I thought but I was never in this situation.

NameOfAction • 2 points • 11 July, 2016 08:39 PM

BP people tell you things to make you feel good. RP people tell you what they think or believe to be true so you can make the best, most informed decision possible.

BP people think you're too weak for the truth. RP people know you're strong enough to handle harsh words if it's true.

ColdEiric • 5 points • 11 July, 2016 07:33 PM

What do you ladies think our middle of the road sisters who are domestic but have drank a little of the feminist kool aid should do?

Detox the kool aid, and he might be open for marriage. Not-feminism just raises the odds of him being for marriage.

As far as I understood it, you want him to be passionate for the idea of marriage. Not just "Sure, whatever, I'll guess I'll take a shot."

[deleted] • 2 points • 12 July, 2016 03:20 AM

it's been shown over and over that while marriage is declining on a whole, it has remained stable or even increased among the upper class and educated. the poor and uneducated are turning away from marriage, for everyone else it's business as usual. if you're looking for a man to marry, look to the traditional, educated middle-upper class. they're very much into patriarchal marriage and passing their legacy to children. the catch, of course, is that you must be a woman who is desirable to a traditional, educated, well-off man.

my SO was initially wary of having children due to a shitty childhood. his parents were in an angry, violent, loveless marriage and after his wealthy father left he lived in poverty with his mother and siblings. he didn't want to bring a child into that chaos, and only changed his mind on children once he was sure that a marriage with me would be peaceful and loving.

CommentsAndCriticism • 1 point • 13 July, 2016 08:38 AM

Do you have links to the data on marriage? I would be interested in seeing that. I know for example that for couples who are both college educated, the rate of initiation for divorce by women is 90%, around 20% higher than average.

[deleted] • 2 points • 12 July, 2016 04:54 PM

Is there a RP approved way to encourage a quality man to want a family?

The RPW way is to not change your man at all. It is to accept him as he is. So if a man says he doesn't want kids, you're supposed to take him at his word.

If he says "someday" it's a gamble. Who knows if your time line and his will ultimately match up? Those are the deep kind of questions that are usually fleshed out during a traditional engagement however because of today's society, it doesn't often happen anymore.

The younger you are, the longer you can hold out on a relationship. If you're 22 versus 28.

CommentsAndCriticism • 2 points • 13 July, 2016 08:45 AM

If I was a woman and I was dead-set on children, I wouldn't trust a man who said "maybe I want children". This is because of the "ticking biological clock" the OP is refering to. My ex-girlfriend wanted marriage and kids. I
kind of strung her along saying "I'm not too sure, I'm still on the fence." when it came to children. Well, there was a small part of me that was open to having children, but not marriage, I made that very clear. I believe I said "if you are a good girl maybe I will marry you after ten years", just because I heard it on a patrice o'neal podcast and it sounded hilarious. I knew marriage was a scam since I was a kid.

I am a woman who never wanted/wants kids. No matter how wonderful a man is, he will never change my mind. Hence, before I got together with my boyfriend (of 2 years), I made my child free status clear to him and that we would terminate our relationship if he changed his mind and wanted kids. So far it is great.
My point is that if someone is sure he/she doesn't want a family, listen. Don't try to change them and make them resent you.

Suggesting a prenup would be a great first step. Most BBs are too afraid to mention it.

There are no "what ifs" or "should've beens": what is, is what should be. You shouldn't have to encourage a "quality man" to want a family - if you feel the need to, he's automatically the wrong man. The "SO is perfect! only if [total fucking dealbreaker]" is a toxic mentality for both genders - one most people would hugely benefit from stopping.

If we are to be honest with ourselves, "encourage someone" means push what we want onto someone because the realization that our S.O. does not want what we want and that we have to start looking all over again is often too painful - especially for women - and so it's covered up as we delude ourselves only to end up resenting each other.

A man wants kids when he's convinced the woman is best he'll ever get, alas if that's the case the woman is unlikely to want said man. FOMO further exacerbates this. Most women must choose between a man they want kids with & a man who wants to have a family with them (a painful realization), much like most men make a similar choices. Point is, by the time you're asking how to "encourage" children, it's time to retrace back to when/where things went wrong.

I clicked this thread because the title led me to believe it would be focusing more on this question:

At what point must she leave her LTR in pursuits of a family?

I'm getting concerned for my sister (25) and her boyfriend of 4 years (probably 27-28). When I saw them a few weeks ago, the BF was gearing up to start a 2 year grad program. I haven't stuck my nose in to ask if he's planning to ever marry her, but I know she gets frustrated that other friends have started relationships after her yet gotten married before her. I don't know what the deal is.
That question can only be answered by an individual. You may not know that they have talked about it and he wants to wait until he's done with school. I wouldn't encourage your sister one way or another. It's not your call.

timeforstretchpants • 1 point • 12 July, 2016 04:32 PM
I should have clarified. Not looking for advice about my sister. More interested in hearing general opinions about if/when women should move on from guys who seem content to never marry (assuming marriage is the goal).
My sister... Oy. We don't get on as well as I'd like as it is. No way I'd intervene!

[deleted] • 1 point • 12 July, 2016 04:47 PM
Oh ok! Lol I was going to say, isn't that common sense? I'll re-read your post and answer again.

JackGetsIt • 1 point • 13 July, 2016 01:57 PM*

At what point must she leave her LTR in pursuits of a family?
Let's assume he hasn't flat out said he doesn't want them and he's doing the guy thing where he's not sure and delaying. I've done this with countless girlfriends, not on purpose but just because I liked the comfort of the relationship but wasn't sure about children with 'her.' Basically me thinking something better might come along. It sounds terrible but that's how a lot of men think. That's why the 'college marriage' is a thing.
Here's my recommendation if I were a female:
A girl should seriously consider moving on if he hasn't talked about a family with 'you' within this time period.
18-20 1-2 year relationship
20-24 6 month relationship
24-26 3 month relationship
26-32 1-3 month relationship
After 32 you should strongly consider not having children.
I'd say you could also add 3-6 months to any of these if you're dating a guy your own age and subtract 3-6 months if he's 5-10 years older.

Bluesky83 • 2 points • 17 July, 2016 06:34 AM
After 32 you should strongly consider not having children.
Why?

JackGetsIt • 1 point • 17 July, 2016 06:51 AM*
Increased birth defects, increased autism rates (although older fathers is a larger factor), increased pregnancy complications. Risk of Down syndrome and forms of retardation also goes up. If you think about it when you hit 32 you've been fertile and delayed pregnancy for 20 years (granted 6-8 of those year are imposed by legitimate social convention).

Bluesky83 • 2 points • 17 July, 2016 07:12 AM
I agree that there's a greater risk of complications. But the overall risk is still quite low until the
late thirties, and doctors certainly don't advise women older than 32 not to have kids.

For example, a 35 year old mother has a one in 365 chance of down syndrome. That's still less than one percent.

Another consideration is that many women who feel strongly about wanting children are willing to accept the risk of a child with disabilities; most parents of children who are "different" love them just as much as children without health problems. Ditto for increased risk of pregnancy complications, women who want to be mothers are willing to take that risk. Sure, if you're 28 and thinking about having a baby, it makes sense to do so sooner rather than later, but if you're already 32+ it's okay to want children despite the risks.

(P.S. In medical contexts, retardation is not used to describe mental handicaps anymore because society has turned 'retard' into a degrading insult and a slur. Sorry if that's being too SJW for you, I just prefer accuracy in language.)

JackGetsIt • 2 points • 17 July, 2016 01:24 PM

My post did not say 'don't have a child' past thirty. It just said 'consider.' I would hope that women that 'feel strongly' about having a child would do everything in their power to position themselves to have children at younger ages and not at 32 plus.

but if you're already 32+ it's okay to want children despite the risks.

I also didn't mean to imply it's bad to want children past 32. My chart and comments were aimed at younger women who are being lied to by the media and feminism that there are zero risks of having children in mid life and that it's a thing to be encouraged and celebrated. They are being told there is no female 'wall' or that the wall is much further away then it is. Young women are also being told that if you focus on nothing but career through your twenty's and thirty's there will be a high value man like the one you work with at your white collar job, who wants to marry your older body and this just isn't how attraction works.

Throughout history and especially in hunter gather culture that we evolved from the women were having the bulk of children at much younger ages then we have now.

In medical contexts, retardation is not used to describe mental handicaps

For about 50 years it was until in the 70's the public got a hold of the word and started to use it as a slang for stupid; the medical community had to come up with a new set of words to counteract the new connotations. I hope that you see in my post I was using it in it's traditional sense, 'to hinder' 'delayed' and not as an insult. I share your need for accuracy in language but I didn't want to list all the medical terms that would now fall under retardation or a delayed processing.

timeforstretchpants • 1 point • 15 July, 2016 09:08 PM

I'm envisioning this as a really nice chart. Thanks for sharing your perspective!

JackGetsIt • 1 point • 15 July, 2016 09:14 PM

Thanks! I doubt anyone would follow it as both men and women always think they are an exception to the rule. Also love your user name by the way!

timeforstretchpants • 1 point • 15 July, 2016 09:31 PM

I tried to calculate when my guy first brought it up... I was 27 when we met, but he's six years
older... Good thing we had some intense conversations the first week we met!

linsann0310 • 1 point • 21 July, 2016 02:52 AM

Not really sure this will help any but when I was 24 I started dating my now husband he was 21 the first week I told him straight up I want a marriage and kids he said he didn't I said ok then we should go our separate ways. Over night his mind changed we got married 8 mos later and have 3 kids now. As I was young I didn't ask what changed till much later he said he wanted me so badly that he was willing to do almost anything to get me so it was a no brainer agree to what I wanted. The back story is I first met him when he was 16 as I'm 3.5 years older I saw him as a kid but he saw me as a goddess his words. I walked our block in town everyday and didn't know his work was a building I walked passed he saw me almost everyday for almost 2 years I think. He said I was his dream woman so when I said I wanted marriage and kids and if he didn't that was fine I would move on he changed his mind. I didn't know any of this till after we were married lol.