

Why are humans so sexually territorial of female family members?

2 upvotes | 24 April, 2017 | by salezman12

Now, I'm going to go ahead and assume that anyone reading this doesn't want to have sex with their Mother, Sister, or Daughter. If you do, don't worry about this topic, just go ahead and click the back button.

For the other 99.999% of us, why do we get our panties all in a wad when our female family members are just wanting to do the same thing everybody else wants to do: get laid. I've always found this to be one of the strangest human traits that exists. No other animal experiences this phenomena. What makes us so prone to twat block someone who we DON'T have any interest in fucking. If you're mother/sister/daughter wants to fuck, and let me assure you, they do, then why in God's name would you want to get in the way of that. You have nothing to gain. Nor do you have anything to lose when it does happen. TRP teaches that women want to be fucked hard and fast by every Chad they see, well let me remind you, AWALT and your mother/sister/daughter are part of ALL WOMEN. What gives?

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

Westernhagen • 10 points • 24 April, 2017 05:58 PM

It is a leftover instinct from the old days when, if your female relatives whored it up, that could end up costing YOU resources. In the case of your daughter, she could end up having a kid with a low-value man, thus producing low-value offspring, and perhaps the offspring would die. Thus ALL your investment in the daughter is wasted in a genetic dead end. This is even still true today; if your daughter gets knocked up by some stupid tatted biker and keeps the kid, then she has a low-value brat and makes herself repulsive to all high-value men, and thus all your investment in her is wasted.

This isn't hard.

AWALT but back in the old days, YOU - the patriarch - had the ability to keep them under control. You don't have those tools at your disposal now but that doesn't mean you want to give up and let them fuck whoever they want.

salezman12[S] • 2 points • 24 April, 2017 06:08 PM

Ok, but this just means that a person should be selective about who they are okay with their daughter fucking. I don't know anyone who is okay with their daughter fucking certain people but not others. I only know people who refuse to believe that their daughter has any sort of sexual instincts what-so-ever.

Westernhagen • 3 points • 24 April, 2017 06:14 PM

Unfortunately, we don't live in the world where you, the dad, gets to decide who she marries and can make sure she doesn't fuck anyone else. All you can do is try to raise her so that she'll be selective.

I only know people who refuse to believe that their daughter has any sort of sexual instincts what-so-ever.

That's because it's beyond their control who she fucks so they don't even want to think about it. I am sure if she brought home a loser boyfriend they'd try to steer her away from him, though.

salezman12[S] • 1 point • 24 April, 2017 06:20 PM

But even if she brought home an upstanding guy, he would still not want them to have sex, and if he could stop it, he would, in nearly every case.

Westernhagen • 3 points • 24 April, 2017 06:40 PM

Depends on her age. If she's in high school, he probably would stop them if he could. After that he'd be OK with it - not that he could do anything about it, so he might as well look on the bright side ("yes she's having sex, but not with a loser as far as I know").

[deleted] • 4 points • 24 April, 2017 06:13 PM

What

Basically any dad ever has met their daughters bf and either approved or disapproved.

salezman12[S] • 2 points • 24 April, 2017 06:19 PM

Even if they approve, they would still keep their daughter from getting laid, if they had the choice.

[deleted] • 1 point • 24 April, 2017 06:21 PM

But they can't. So who cares

salezman12[S] • 2 points • 24 April, 2017 06:22 PM

Well its more theory of "why". We get so caught up in this idea of don't worry about things we can't control that we mistake that for "don't take interest in ideas" I'm not worried about it in a sense of, "How do I change this" but it is perfectly reasonable for a person to ponder life's questions.

Bruno-OS • 1 point • 24 April, 2017 06:26 PM

Evolution isn't perfect. What works best is passed on. Being against your daughter banging anyone was probably better for your genetics than not resisting it all. Evolution doesn't find the best possible way, just a way that works.

Westernhagen • 1 point • 24 April, 2017 06:43 PM

You already know "why".

Because we don't live in the society that our brains and bodies were evolved to live in.

[deleted] • 0 points • 24 April, 2017 06:28 PM

I suppose it is because the guy your daughter or sister chooses basically becomes your family member. So it is important that he isn't a shit head.

salezman12[S] • 2 points • 24 April, 2017 06:34 PM

I think my point has been lost. I am not talking about choosing a family, I'm talking about fucking for fun. ONS, being a plate, doing what we all do. We basically say, I'm going to fuck like a jack rabbit whenever and with whoever and with how many ever people I want, but I'll be God damned if my female family member is going to enjoy the same. It's just really hypocritical.

[deleted] • 2 points • 24 April, 2017 06:36 PM

Because it lowers her ability to find a high value dude and if she settles for a low value shithead hes now part of your family

Its more about reputation. I don't think people give a shit about the actual sex part.

Westernhagen • 2 points • 24 April, 2017 06:44 PM

It is not hypocritical because men are not women and the consequences for men and women fucking for fun are not the same.

salezman12[S] • 1 point • 24 April, 2017 06:52 PM

Provided no one gets knocked up, yes they are.

[deleted] • 5 points • 24 April, 2017 06:12 PM

Gonna need pics of your sister

[deleted] • 3 points • 24 April, 2017 06:13 PM

From what I've been reading, it's probably because of the tribe culture we've built. I wouldn't mind if a strong capable man is fucking my sister. I'm not talking about the strong chads who pump and dump, I'm talking about the responsible men, you know the ones portrayed as heads of families.

If a weak betafag is fucking my sister I'd be pretty pissed because my sister is probably gonna end up unhappy and if they ever have a child together, that child will very likely grow up to be miserable.

If chads are fucking my sister then I'd be pretty pissed as well because that would mean that my sister is a slut who can't control herself and she might get pregnant and raise a kid as a single mom. That kid will grow up to be miserable as well.

What I'd prefer for my sister is a strong alpha male who's capable of raising a family so that the future of my sister and her future child is secured.

Security of the woman and her child is a woman's problem, so why am I concerned about it? Probably because my sister and I have the same genes and form part of the same tribe and it's natural for me to want what's best for her so that in terms of gene propagation and tribe continuity.

I would pump and dump without thinking twice but when it comes to my own family, I wouldn't want it to happen. That's how it is.

legitshitonly • 3 points • 24 April, 2017 07:43 PM

To answer the "why" is two-fold and really simple

1) We look out for our own. We don't want them to get in any bad situations. We care about them. Also like the other commenter said back in the day we gained from their value so we were even more invested.

... but we know that they are human too. We realize that it's going to happen, so

2) We block it out.

I have a sister and obviously I know what's going on but why do I have to think about it? She's going to do what she's going to do but we don't need to talk about it. Why would I want to root her on? When her boyfriend is with her around me I'm sure he's acting differently as a courtesy to my accepting of him.

I don't get your confusion.