#58 – The Destroyer Death Check, Warren Murphy BOOK REVIEW

Krauser PUA | 23 July, 2018 | by krauserpua



I should know better by now

When I was a teenager, I was a far-leftist. This isn't surprising generally, because children are brought up in a bubble where everything is provided for them, they don't need to work, and they must obey the authority of a controlling force (parents and school teachers). Upon approaching adulthood the child realises they'll have to fend for themselves and, usually, that's a frightening thought. Few teenagers know what they really want to do in life, and fewer still feel confident in achieving it.

So, much easier to vote Leftist and ask the government to keep giving you free shit.

 $\hat{a} \square \square If$ a person is not a liberal when he is twenty, he has no heart; if he is not a conservative when he is forty, he has no brain" is a quote <u>attributed to many wise men</u> and it's true. Nothing cures Leftism faster than looking at the tax deductions in your first paycheck.

Given that I was brought up in the **People's Republic Of Northern Britain** by Lefty parents, I had no hope of thinking straight. So I got into radical politics, starting with anarchism and then the various fringe issues of the day. One of them was the anti-McDonald's campaign. We were told that McDonald's were an evil multinational corporation who treated workers like shit, murdered millions of animals, cut down the Amazonian rain-forest, funded death squads in Brazil to clear tribes, and made unhealthy food that was killing the West.

Suppose for a minute all that is true, as I did. I once asked the middle-aged Lefty organiser [1], "Why are we only protesting McDonald's? What about Burger King?"



Is he the ONLY cunt in this game?

He didn't have an answer for that. Every charge levelled against McDonald's could be levelled against Burger King and Wendy's yet it was only Ronald McDonald who drew the fire. Why is that? It struck me as rather unfair.

I bring this up because is there any group in history that has been more unfairly maligned than the Nazis? You might well think they were bad eggs but the *only* bad guys in history? Come on! Stacked alongside Stalin, Mao or Hirohito, Hitler was almost benign. Look further into history at the Ottomans, the Mongols, the Mohammedans, or the Aztecs and you realise the Nazis were far from unique in their savagery or territorial ambition.

And yet the Nazis are the only group in the whole of history about which ANY lie is allowed. Satanists? sure. Sexual Deviants? sure. Genociders? sure. ID Software made an entire franchise, Wolfenstein, from presenting the Nazis as occultist sexual sadists opening the portals of hell to command an army of demons.



Although I suppose it makes them look cooler than the commies

Really? Why wasn't it Stalin doing that? Or Idi Amin?

Few people [2] realise that the traditional Left/Right split in politics is nonsense as traditionally conceived. Yes, there are basically only two types of human and they instinctively align along the same fault lines: r-selection vs K-selection. But that's not Left vs Right. L/R is a completely fabricated split to

<u>www.TheRedArchive.com</u> Page 1 of 5

disguise the fact that Nazis and Socialists are <u>almost the same thing</u>. You can even prove it historically, looking at where these things came from. I'll recap for you [3]

"Enter Benito Mussolini. Notice his given name is not Italian. It would have been Benedetto. He was named after Benito Juarez of Mexico by the admiring Mussolini Sr. a Marxist of the 1st International and acquaintance of Marx. He groomed Benito as a full blown Marxist. Young Mussolini was an active member of the Politburo of the Socialist Party of Italy and, for +-16 years, editor of its publications."

Mussolini's big 'aha!' moment was in realising that the socialisation of the means of production recommended by Marx and carried out in the USSR was not necessary. You could achieve the same ends by simply regulating production. It didn't matter whose name was on the shareholder's certificate – government or a private individual – so long as the whole enterprise was tightly controlled. So, how would that control be effected?

Mussolini set up "Unions" of workers, industrialists and workers who, under the direction of a National Labor Board, would achieve "Social Peace" through "Social Justice". This reverses the antagonistic proletariat vs bourgeosie relationship under capitalism with one of collusion between workers and owners.

"Every worker would get health benefits, vacation time and a retirement pension. And a minimum salary, established through collective bargaining sessions between the "unions" that represented the universe of a particular sector of the economy (auto makers, restaurants, doctors, lawyers...) under the watchful eye of the National Labor Board."

Put that way, you can see why in 2008 I was telling my colleagues at work that Obama was a Mussolini style Fascist. The unions were called "Fascio" and it stuck. It was passed into law in 1927 as even Wikipedia notes. Go here for the principles: that's what fascism is [4]

"Lenin lamented the departure of young Benito as an irreparable loss to the cause of International Socialism. "With him we could have had Italy." Mussolini's brand of Socialism caught on and, of course, the first thing he did was clear other socialists off the field, much like the Bolsheviks did with the Mencheviks in Russia. This some idiots point to claiming he was against socialism. He wasn't. He was against anybody but him claiming the mantle of socialism. The same happened with Hitler, who created a third form of Socialism, mixing it with Bohemian nationalism, hence National Socialism.

Mussolini, who was actually quite intelligent, despised Hitler. After their first meeting describes him as an imbecile who sounds like "a broken gramophone. Jews here, Jews there, can't he talk about anything else!""

So far so good. Anyone who paid attention in Politics class at a decent university [5] knows that fascism and socialism are basically the same thing. But how did the terms get so corrupted now that most normies think fascism is "far right" as opposed to the reality of it being "far left"? The same way all the other terms got corrupted – by a sustained communist subversion campaign waged by the KGB and their traitorous agents in the West [6]. To finish this train of thought, we'd better call Saul:

"After the war, Soviet propaganda went overdrive to distance the "good" Socialism — Stalin's if you can believe that! — from "bad" socialism, Hitler's and Mussolini's. Painting them as "extreme right wing", that is, of all things, capitalist pigs. Roosevelt, himself a full blown Fascist and Truman, who could not distance himself from Roosevelt, let it be.

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 2 of 5

So Nazism and Fascism stopped being Socialism to become... right wing Capitalism courtesy of Soviet propaganda and the apathy of our own American fascists. Hayek warned us about this in the 50s. But nobody gave a crap.

And under Roosevelt's protection, hundreds of Marxist scholars who lost the tug of war with Hitler and Mussolini came to our Universities and trained two generations of intellectual morons committed to the destruction of our free society. We are now well into the third.

Are they left? Are they right? Paraphrasing the Bard, A turd, by any other name would smell as foul. It is a struggle between Liberty and slavery. A Free Society or Metropolis."

McDonald's, Burger King and Wendy's are <u>all doing the same thing</u>. They are fundamentally the same businesses so there's no reason to single out one for a pile-on. The only important differences between the types of socialism are in their territorial ambition. Stalin changed USSR doctrine to be "Communism in one country" whereas Hitler wanted his socialism throughout Europe and Mussolini wanted to rebuild the Roman empire for his. Those who believed in global communism became globalists and infiltrated the USA as Neo-Cons (because that was the most powerful nation and thus prime lever for their evil plan) and then later in Europe as the EU.

Saul Alinsky wrote in his Rules For Radicals [7], "â \Box If you push a negative hard enough, it will push through and become a positive" and the Left managed this by switching ownership for Hitler and the (supposed) holocaust. A quote attributed to Nazi propagandist Joseph Goebbels is "accuse the enemy of what only you are doing, when you are doing it, to spread confusion" [8] and it would seem the commies live by it. Nazis are now of the Right, according to modern culture.



You knew there was a sneaky Jew behind all this, didn't you?

We own all of *their* atrocities, not the Left. So not only has the Left managed to keep attention off the incredible barbarity of Stalin and Mao, it's managed to switch all the blame for socialist Hitler *onto the right*. Sneaky communists.

So, what's this long-winded preamble got to do with the second book in **The Destroyer** series? Only that this book is all-in on the weird fascination with Nazis for invented fetishes. The premise is this: a security guard at an isolated think tank is found dead from a heroin overdose and medical examination shows he was likely murdered with a hot dose. A close search of his clothes finds film negatives stitched into his collar of extreme blackmail photos of the think tank staff. Given that this think tank is a high-end government research facility theorising advanced strategy for world domination, the President needs to be sure it's not compromised and their plans given to the enemy. So Remo is called in to take the security guard's vacancy and infiltrate, to have a sniff around.

It turns out there is an escaped Nazi, a former prison doctor who tortured inmates, and his young daughter on the small think tank's staff. Remo has to smoke them out but their identity isn't clear to Remo or the reader until the final act plot twist.



The covers rock, though

This would be a normal pot-boiler for any other series but in the strange hands of Warren Murphy, it gets very weird very quickly. Firstly is the unusual focus on sexual deviance. The director of the institute is a deranged narcissist obsessed with control, one of the senior staff is a big fat puff who plays pocket billiards in public, and all the other staff are seriously weird in one way or another. All are being blackmailed due to being caught on camera doing sick sex acts. Murphy clearly has no respect for academia or intellectuals [9] so the think tank is presented as a pointless talking shop of fruitcakes living on the taxpayers dime.

<u>www.TheRedArchive.com</u> Page 3 of 5

There are a couple of murders and Remo does his usual thing of beating the shit out of everyone with ninja death moves. One scene has him cripple a biker gang who ride onto campus at the fat puff's call, and that same fat puff is masturbating while it happens. There are faculty events such as a chess tournament and an open-mic.

One thing the book does fairly well is introduce the theme that Remo has been overworked by his boss, who has kept him on high alert for three months straight. This causes him to come off the boil and make mistakes. Still, this whole book smells of weirdness.

For example, the final showdown has Remo sit down for a game of chess with the old Nazi poisoner. His chair has hidden needles that spring out to inject the unsuspecting victim but Remo sees them and subtly moves his wrist to avoid them penetrating the skin. He then shams being poisoned so the Nazi gloatingly reveals his plans [10]. He "hypnotises" Remo into fucking his daughter while he watches. Not only is that odd, but the way it is written is downright freaky.

"Remo moved on. Harder. Faster. His mind sensed the heavily calloused skin on the tips of his fingers.

Her groans grew in intensity, raised in pitch. She was in pain now. Suffering. She would soon shout and stop and Remo, under drugs, would have to obey.

He leaned forwards heavily onto her body and smashed his heavily muscled shoulder down into her mouth, chipping her front teeth. Hard. Stopping her from calling out the command to stop. Her voice was muffled under his shoulder.

Stohrs had stopped taking pictures. He was now just a spectator. The Nazis had killed by gang rape. Stohrs was watching that fate overtake his daughter, a death administered by a one-man gang.

Then Stohrs called out, "Stop."

Remo stopped. And the bitch lay semi-conscious, bleeding from the mouth and groin."

I don't know about you, but I find that unpleasant. It's like the author is getting off on it rather than presenting it as the sickness in the characters themselves. Yet there's something oddly compelling about these **Destroyer** books compared to the **Mack Bolan** books. The latter have a clean-cut heroic jib where Bolan is absolutely beyond reproach and takes no sick pleasure in exterminating baddies. In contrast, both Remo and his writer Murphy seem like rather sick puppies who get off on it all. I'm reading these books with the same morbid fascination I'd watch a Johnny Berba or Deepak Wayne video: what will these fruity retards conjure up next?




I consider myself above such sensationalism

Speaking of getting off on personal dysfunction, perhaps you'd enjoy reading one of my memoirs. Start with <u>Balls Deep</u> for just ten english pounds in PDF or twenty in paperback.

- [1] Who with the benefit of hindsight, I'm sure was a pedophile.
- [2] Though it seems to be rapidly changing
- [3] <u>This specific rundown owes a lot to Saul Montes-Bradley on Twitter</u>. His was the most pointed listing of relevant facts I could find. Thanks pal!
- [4] It may surprise you that fascism doesn't mean "anything a Leftist disagrees with"
- [5] Such as myself
- [6] Academics, journalists, politicians
- [7] The book Hillary Clinton did her thesis on, which she dedicated to Alinsky.
- [8] Ironically, it seems he didn't actually say it and it's just another lie about the Nazis.

[9] Nor do I. Good on him

<u>www.TheRedArchive.com</u> Page 4 of 5



<u>www.TheRedArchive.com</u> Page 5 of 5