

# Nick Krauser's opinions on race

Krauser PUA | 22 February, 2015 | by krauserpua

---

There's currently a rather involved discussion going on over at the RooshV Forum about me. You can go [here](#) to see what I originally wrote, and [here](#) for a thread Roosh started to whip things up. I'm not much interested in the opinions of people I've never met, but *I am interested* in correcting falsehoods that are spread about me – whether through malicious intent or innocent misunderstanding.

So, here are my actual views on race. Normally I restrict the “culture war” stuff to my Twitter so as to keep my blog purely Game. However, this will take more than 140 characters so the blog it must be...

1. First thing is I am **racialist** not racist. That means I think race is a natural organising line in humans, just as sex and age are. For examples of this just watch groups in action e.g. prison, dance halls, housing. It takes constant intervention by integrationists to deny this natural human impulse.
2. Second, I am committed to **truth and reality** above all else. Any time anyone tries to twist or deny reality, they are on my shit list. I [write about this all the time](#) in “compliance” in daygame, in martial arts, in science, and in business. It's a pervasive human weakness to deny truth in order to advance your goals. I'm against that. I think modern culture has become extremely anti-white, at the expense of truth, and it's a deliberate cultural marxist front.
3. Third, I believe each race has a **natural homeland** – the one they evolved in. So Europe for Europeans, Africa for Africans etc and smaller subdivisions within. That doesn't mean “no blacks in UK”, it just means UK is a white land and the laws and culture should reflect that. Everyone else has to integrate. Africans are accorded the same control of their homeland.

This naturally leads to a few fairly simple conclusions such as:

1. Different races have differently-weighted traits, [as HBD is quickly proving](#). Each race has a combination of positive and negative. There is no one “master race” but different combinations tend to lead to statistically observable trends that can be functional *or* dysfunctional depending on the environment. To deny these facts is to deny reality, which puts someone on my shit list as stated above. In practice in the West differences are usually denied in one direction only: to push down whites and push up non-whites. So, it's a (cultural marxist) politically-motivated denial. When I highlight non-white bad behaviour on my Twitter, that's in my mind redressing the balance of selective reporting against whites.
2. Every race has a right to be proud of it's own people, culture and to control it's own lands for it's best interests. Current Western culture is very careful in protecting these rights for everyone *except whites*. We are the only race not allowed to be proud. You see this on RVF when I'm immediately slandered as Stormfront and racist. That's the closet-SJWs having a go. I don't have any desire to see other races abandon their culture in favour of mine – for example I'm very much against colonizers forcing a subject people to speak the colonial language, and I'm against do-gooder NGOs trying to turn Nigerian schoolgirls into So-Cal SJWs.

Note this is NOT white supremacy. I don't think the world is the white man's playground. We have no more business in Africa than Africans have in Europe. So I was against colonialism and when the UK went to war in Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya and Kosovo I was against those wars at the time (I have a dim view of what lots of those foreigners were doing, but it was their country and not our problem. We had no

right to interfere).

Also I lived in alignment with (2) in my own life: I went to Japan on a legit visa, worked four years, paid taxes, followed their laws, learned their language, then I left when my visa expired. I committed no crimes there. I didn't apply for benefits. I didn't try to change their culture to my liking. I didn't demand regions of UK law. I didn't shame the Japanese for being "racist" for not letting me vote.

So that's the "serious" side of what I actually believe and will defend in debate. Now to discuss the inflammatory rhetoric that I often write.....

Firstly, I greatly value Victorian culture. I think that era was the greatest in UK history. One of the cultural habits of Victorians is "casual racism". It's basically an intersect of free speech, pattern observation, and ethnocentrism. It's an expression of national / racial pride little different to what other countries have also used to mythologise their own histories and to provide social cohesion throughout the nation. It's a crucial part of creating social order and a "we're in this together". It's now fallen out of favour when whites do it because it's a barrier to multiculturalism and the cultural marxist attack on white identity.

For me, **casual racism is fun**. It's like people from Newcastle trolling people from Liverpool or Sunderland. I see identity groups (race, sex, age, region etc) in a good-natured competition, like rival football teams or businesses. For example Bojangles is a Liverpool fan and also my friend. So we chat, have drinks but on match day I'm in the opposite stand singing "scousers are all pedos" and hoping my team wins. I'll shout at the referee when he disallows a Newcastle goal regardless of whether his decision is correct. I fully expect Bojangles to do the same to support his team and to ridicule mine. Then after the match we are friends again.

[I happily embrace people taking the piss out of white Brits](#) whether it's our bad teeth, vulgar women or stodgy plain food. I fully expect every other race to have funny and offensive stereotypes about my race. They are supposed to. That's part of their own racial pride.

That's pretty much my view on race. I have many non-white friends on a personal level because they intuitively sense this. On a public policy level I expect the UK government to protect the interests of my people from predation by rival groups. I expect my Indian friends to expect the Indian government to look after their interests, including when those interests are in conflict with the UK. And so on. There's no contradiction between this and having Indian friends.

I'm not even anti-Muslim. I'm just anti-Muslim-conquest-of-Europe. I think they have a stupid backward religion but that's their business. They can do whatever they want in their homeland.

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)