

The Powers That Be

The Masculine Principle | 11 March, 2015 | by .



Is "someone" or some "thing" behind all of this monkeying around with our culture?

It's natural to seek someone to point a finger at, to try to explain how this could have happened to us. There are many different opinions and there are certainly enough pivotal events in history which are shrouded in mystery or lost knowledge to fuel many a conspiracy theory.

I can see two reactions amongst my readers as I say this. The first is going, "Well thank, goodness! I was wondering if he had the balls to bring that subject up."



The Cervelati (33rd Order of the Salami)



"Et Tu, Brute?" My other reader's eyes roll back in their heads as they begin suppress their gag reflex, "Oh no! Here comes the talk about the Illuminati and the Protocols of the Elders Zion. Or wait, maybe this guy believes it's the Rothschild's... and he's going to link both Hitler and

Marx to the banking elites and the Bilderberg Group... or perhaps he'll tell us about the Masons, the Skull and Bones and the sex-party initiations at the Bohemian Grove."

Well, not really - although I would like to briefly address some of these ideas to illustrate where my general position is on the matter and how it actually helped me understand the concepts of "truth" found within these pages.



"Conspiracy theories," by the way, are not ridiculous in and of themselves. Throughout history many major events involved conspiracies.

- Julius Caesar was assassinated in a conspiracy.
- Otto von Bismarck conspired to foment the Franco-Prussian War by falsifying documents, which resulted in the unification of the Germanic States.
- The US Founding Fathers met in secrecy and conspired to overthrow the rule of the king and start a new nation.

History is positively filled with conspiracy theories that turned out to be conspiracy "fact."

Even in the modern day, we know that the CIA has used various subversive techniques to influence the direction of a nation's populace. They did it in Central America and South East Asia. They did it in Iraq and Afghanistan, and they're doing it today in both the Ukraine and China through the use of various NGO's and other organizations.

The VENONA Project
By Cindy Flores, Hyeok Jung Kim, Dalia Moradi, Abanob Saleh, Xi Ye You
Seminar 3: Science & Technology of NVG, Professor Kent D. Boklan

Introduction
On February 1, 1953, a small group of code breakers of the U.S. Army's Signal Intelligence Service (SIS) launched the VENONA Project, a secret counterintelligence program to decrypt and reveal communication to the Soviet Union.

Background
• The code breakers were named "Alderson Hall" after their headquarters in Virginia.
• "Venona" was the code word for the project.
• The SIS led to creation of the National Security Agency (NSA).

Initial Discoveries
• There were 6 code systems used by the Soviet Union.
• "Cryptanalysts" (used by members of diplomatic corps).
• KGB (Soviet Espionage Agency).
• GRU (the Soviet Army General Staff Intelligence Directorate).
• GPU - Naval (Soviet Naval Intelligence Staff).

Information Theory
• Claude Shannon, the Father of Information Theory, says that the key used in encryption must be random for it to be secure.
• Encryption to encode messages or information so that only intended recipients can read it and unauthorized parties cannot.
• Use a key to encrypt the message (plaintext) into the ciphertext.
• Recipient uses a key to decrypt the ciphertext.
• XOR (X) Addition of numbers (mod 2).
• Shift of the message, where it is divided by n.
• Binary Base 2 number system (0,1).

Importance of the Venona Project
• Exposed the Soviet spy ring and information about the atomic bomb.
• Listed from 1943 to 1950, declassified in 1995; from Soviet the 2.
• Through the code they (1947-1951).
• Exposed Julius and Ethel Rosenberg for espionage and conspiracy to provide the code to the USSR. As a result, they were executed by electric chair in 1953.
• They were implicated by their in-laws Ruth and David Greenglass, communists who were also Soviet spies.
• They were a former U.S. State Department official who was convicted of espionage in 1950 for involvement in a communist espionage ring. During the time, many dimensions had a list of domestic communists (The "Red Scare").

The VENONA Breakthrough
Who broke it and how?
• Recent history shows that the Soviets were using some of their keys.
• New keys have to be random and only used once. It was inefficient for the Soviets to create new ones. So, they reused the keys.
• When the Soviets used XOR in the Venona cipher, the repetition of the key meant that the plaintext could be found by XORing two cipher texts together.
• Physical copies of the keys were often carried around and weren't properly disposed of after use.

Key Figures
• Carol Caldwell
• Was a new member of the army intelligence group when she was recruited and expected to start the VENONA project.
• Lieutenant Richard Hollick
• Discovered the repetitions and pattern in other Soviet codes, leading to the first partial decryption in 1943.
• Carol Fricker
• Cryptanalyst who first discovered the mathematical pattern in the Soviet cryptosystems and break the KGB cipher.
• Michael Gerasimov
• Made key code breaks and worked with the FBI to discover many espionage agents.

How It Works
The Code (Vernam Cipher) and How It Works
• Proven to be mathematically secure under ideal implementation by Claude Shannon.
1) Represent plain text into numbers (alphabet positioning, ie A=1)
2) Create a randomly generated key that is the same length as the plain text.
3) Combine the plaintext and random key using XOR or modular addition.
4) Convert the numbers back into cipher text.
5) To get back to the plain text, XOR the key again or subtract using modular arithmetic to get the plaintext.

Effectiveness
• The ciphertext does not give any information about the plain text except for the maximum length of the message.
• The randomness of the key means that even if a computer could try out every possible key, more than one plausible plaintext could be yielded.

Drawbacks
• Keys have to be randomly generated each time a message is sent.
• Since this is a mechanical code, there is no way to verify authentication.

References
• "The Venona Project: How the Soviets Spied on the U.S." by David Kahn, 1996.
• "The Venona Project: The Story of the Soviet Espionage Ring" by David Kahn, 1996.
• "The Venona Project: The Story of the Soviet Espionage Ring" by David Kahn, 1996.
• "The Venona Project: The Story of the Soviet Espionage Ring" by David Kahn, 1996.

Click Picture for Larger View

Furthermore, we now know for a fact from the [Venona Project](#) that during the Cold War, the Soviet Union and the KGB was indeed using subversive propaganda programs in an attempt to undermine Western Culture - to affect our belief in religion, sexual morality, patriotism and so forth. The rebellious sixties were indeed a wild ride for the Baby Boomers, *and it wasn't entirely accidental*.

Also, since the Financial Crisis of '08/09, there is no doubt that powerful banking and corporate interests influence the corridors of government. The "Man-Cession" that resulted also showed us that corporate interests and the interests of the citizens are absolutely not aligned with one another. This is what resulted in corporations off-shoring all of our good-paying manufacturing jobs, replacing us Westerners with what amounts to slave labour from the developing world, all in the name of Free Trade and Gloablization - which by the way, was mostly lobbied for by organizations funded by the very corporations that so vastly have profited from it.




If one were to "follow the money," those who most benefited from the Women's Lib of the 60's and 70's, [when women flooded the workforce](#), were large corporations. After all, by doubling the supply of workers available to them they halved the cost of labour and booked greater profits because of it.

Also, the more divorce and family break-down there is, the more these corporations create a demand for their goods. A divorced man and woman need two fridges instead of one, after all. Do you think General Electric doesn't understand this? Most of corporate profits from mass-produced products are made "at the margin." What that means is there is a fixed cost to mass-producing a certain product - that GE, for example, must sell five million refrigerators a year to simply break even on the costs of materials and the factory and infrastructure needed to assemble them. The profit is made from selling six million refrigerators, or, 100% of the profit is made on the *last* million refrigerators sold, *not* the first five million. Therefore, if an increase in divorce raises the demand for refrigerators by only 10%, they will now have increased the amount of refrigerators sold to 6.6 million, and will have increased their profits by 60%. That is a huge incentive to support the break-down of the family and traditional gender roles, don't you think?



The government as well has grown exponentially over the past century and has become an "organism" that is self-serving in its own right. Government never gets smaller but only grows, trying to justify its existence and ever-higher budgets by creating problems rather than solving them. In the case of the government, becoming as dysfunctional as possible actually *increases* their power. If children aren't learning to read at school, for example, this becomes the rationale for increasing the budget to hire special educators to "solve" the problem. The worse the government works, the bigger it grows. The more people the government pushes into welfare, the greater power those who control the welfare programs are granted. It's perverse, but because government is an abstract concept of "they" that seems theoretically perfectible, people assume that the government "should" do this or that because they are detached from the rest of the population. No-one ever seems to realize those people we put in government who "should" behave altruistically, are also the exact same neighbours and people you know you would never, ever trust to intrude into your life in other areas.

NGO's supposedly formed to help battered women are given billions of tax dollars per year. Does anyone really think they will ever declare that the "crisis" has passed and they will only need 50% of the tax dollars they did last year? Of course not - they'd lose power then. These organizations exist to serve themselves, not the people they purport to help. They have a vested interest in intensifying the problems rather than actually solving them.



Not only that, but there is the whole of history to look at. As I've pointed out before, [there are oodles of examples of cultures and civilizations](#)

[rising and falling](#), often for the same reasons - like an androgynizing of the sexes and falling birthrates. We aren't the first people to be faced with these problems - it seems to be a recurring problem. In fact, when looking at the structure of America and how its Constitution is used to anchor itself into Absolute Truth by declaring rights are granted by The Creator (The Absolute is out of human reach and can't be changed), rather than by the State and its laws (The Subjective is easily changed), it seems quite clear that they knew there is a human tendency to rationalize things away until there is no longer a functioning society.

And, of course, I have myself pointed out that placing the Relative Truth above the Absolute Truth is as old as humankind itself, making it a natural part of the human condition - something we've had to struggle against since we emerged from the primordial ooze.


The fact of the matter is, I haven't a clue who all the players are behind the scene, nor can I actually *prove* that any of them really exist in some sinister sense or not - although I have my suspicions.

However, there ARE certain things we can see which remove any doubt that "they" fully understand what they are doing. Look at this claim from the Canadian NGO called C.A.R.E. which is designed to help women in developing nations:



"When a girl in the developing world receives seven years of education, she marries four years later and has 2.2 fewer children."


In other words, I am not the only one who understands that [monkeying with hypergamy](#) by pushing women into the workforce [splits apart the genders](#) and [causes the birthrate to fall](#). This is not a judgement on whether women should get an education or not - it is just pointing out that it's *known* what the effects of it are, and in fact it's being manipulated into these developing countries' populations as a means of birth-control - something which again is not a judgement, because many of the poorest countries in the world certainly do need to lower their birthrates.



Countries in the Western World, however, have *negative* birthrates and are in serious peril of collapsing and disappearing from the face of the earth over the next century - or less. Therefore, it is only logical to assume that "they" also **know** they are killing off our Western culture [by affirmative actioning women over men](#) - despite half-assed claims to show [they are concerned with the birthrates of their dying nations](#). Why are we at the same time cheering that women receive 60% of all university degrees? Furthermore, in the one area men still dominate - STEM subjects - there is a decided movement to affirmative action in at least 50% females, just to be "fair." Obviously, there are people who fully understand why our Western birthrates have plummeted, and they keep recommending more of it! One is left only with the conclusion that these people are purposefully trying to kill off Western Culture by shoving a feminist suicide-pill down our throats.

And I *do* know that the world is running pell-mell towards a one-world order, the end result of globalization. I also know that the end goal of the philosophies of Marxism were never about Soviet domination of the earth, but rather about creating a *global government*. The idea of Communism is *international* socialism while Fascism is *national* socialism, and it certainly does seem that this goal of creating a one-world system based on a global, centralized government that adheres to Socialism will be achieved in my lifetime. Each and every time a "crisis" has occurred over the past decades, it has been used to justify sacrificing national sovereignty to some supra-national organization or another. This is actual treason, by the way, since nowhere in the constitution of any country on earth is there authorization to cede national sovereignty to a foreign ruling body like this.

So what to do? I could write an entire book about "who" and still never prove it - at least not any further than hundreds of others already have. If that's your thing, you are more than welcome to take what I have written and draw your own conclusions.



What I have doing, however, is following the line of Anatoliy Golitsyn, author of *New Lies for Old* and one of the highest level KGB officers to ever defect to the USA. After being interrogated by the CIA for several weeks, who were pushing picture after picture in front of Golitsyn trying to get him to identify all of the KGB moles in various institutions and embassies around the world, he got angry and shouted at them:

"Who care about all the names in the KGB, if you don't understand what they are doing?"



Throughout this chapter, that is what I will be focusing on: *What* "they" are doing, rather than *who* "they" are.

I have zeroed in on the aspect of the problem which I loosely call "Marxism," although perhaps this is not the best term because what we are dealing is a bastardized version of Marx's Economic Theory. I've veered off more into the world of Cultural Marxism - since we are dealing with culture - and further, the

philosophical significance of Hegel's and later Marx's "Dialectic," which was based upon the idea of "The Truth is Relative" and the abolishing of the Absolute. This obviously upset [the "truth hierarchy" as put forth by the Bible, John Locke, and the Constitution as created by the USA's Founding Fathers:](#)



Click Pic for "The Masculine Principle"

- 1 - God's Law/Absolute Truth
- 2 - Natural Law/Objective Truth = Masculine Principle
- 3 - Civil Law/Subjective Truth = Feminine Principle

After Hegel & Marx, the ordering became like this:

- 1 - Subjective Truth = The Feminine Principle
- 2 - Subjective Truth = The Feminine Principle
- 3 - Subjective Truth = The Feminine Principle



Also, it's not just "Marxists" who want to exert their will by tearing down the higher truths and replacing them with lower ones. For example, Auguste Comte, the father of the social sciences, [devised a system back in the 1800's for totalitarian population control based upon sociological manipulations](#), and he too asserted that the world must be led by the feminine principle rather than the masculine principle.

Marx himself had this to say of the subject of women and society:

"Anyone who knows anything of history knows that great social changes are impossible without feminine upheaval. Social progress can be measured exactly by the social position of the fair sex, the ugly ones included."

This, of course, leads to wondering just what the heck was it that Marx meant by that, and why do totalitarians want the world structured under the feminine principle rather than the masculine principle?

That's what we'll be examining next under the loose term of "Marxism."

[Previous](#) / [Index](#) / [Next](#)



Archived from theredarchive.com